

# L D Bryant

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3340230/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

44  
papers

925  
citations

516710

16  
h-index

477307

29  
g-index

48  
all docs

48  
docs citations

48  
times ranked

973  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Psychosocial aspects of genetic screening of pregnant women and newborns: a systematic review. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2004, 8, iii, ix-x, 1-109.	2.8	228
2	Explaining variation in Down's syndrome screening uptake: comparing the Netherlands with England and Denmark using documentary analysis and expert stakeholder interviews. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2014, 14, 437.	2.2	61
3	Understandings of Down's syndrome: A Q methodological investigation. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2006, 63, 1188-1200.	3.8	55
4	Attitudes towards prenatal diagnosis and termination in women who have a sibling with Down's syndrome. <i>Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology</i> , 2005, 23, 181-198.	1.8	42
5	"All is done by Allah". Understandings of Down syndrome and prenatal testing in Pakistan. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2011, 72, 1393-1399.	3.8	40
6	Descriptive information about Down syndrome: a content analysis of serum screening leaflets. <i>Prenatal Diagnosis</i> , 2001, 21, 1057-1063.	2.3	37
7	Good practice or positive action? Using Q methodology to identify competing views on improving gender equality in academic medicine. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e015973.	1.9	37
8	Interpretations of informed choice in antenatal screening: A cross-cultural, Q-methodology study. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2012, 74, 997-1004.	3.8	36
9	Midwives' perceptions of their role as facilitators of informed choice in antenatal screening. <i>Midwifery</i> , 2013, 29, 745-750.	2.3	33
10	Using Q-methodology to guide the implementation of new healthcare policies. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2018, 27, 737-742.	3.7	33
11	"Balance" is in the eye of the beholder: providing information to support informed choices in antenatal screening via Antenatal Screening Web Resource. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2007, 10, 309-320.	2.6	32
12	The role of attitudes towards the targets of behaviour in predicting and informing prenatal testing choices. <i>Psychology and Health</i> , 2010, 25, 1175-1194.	2.2	27
13	Measurement of adherence in a randomised controlled trial of a complex intervention: supported self-management for adults with learning disability and type 2 diabetes. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2016, 16, 132.	3.1	25
14	Experiences of parents with a child with Down syndrome in Pakistan and their views on termination of pregnancy. <i>Journal of Community Genetics</i> , 2013, 4, 107-114.	1.2	19
15	Identifying people with a learning disability: an advanced search for general practice. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2017, 67, e842-e850.	1.4	19
16	Is advice incompatible with autonomous informed choice? Women's perceptions of advice in the context of antenatal screening: a qualitative study. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2014, 17, 555-564.	2.6	18
17	Supported self-management for adults with type 2 diabetes and a learning disability (OK-Diabetes): study protocol for a randomised controlled feasibility trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, 342.	1.6	18
18	Differences in the perceived role of the healthcare provider in delivering vascular health checks: a Q methodology study. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2013, 14, 172.	2.9	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Mentoring as an intervention to promote gender equality in academic medicine: a systematic review. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e040355.	1.9	14
20	The lure of 'patient choice'. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2007, 57, 822-6.	1.4	13
21	Randomized controlled feasibility trial of supported self-management in adults with Type 2 diabetes mellitus and an intellectual disability: OK Diabetes. <i>Diabetic Medicine</i> , 2018, 35, 776-788.	2.3	11
22	Managing with Learning Disability and Diabetes: OK-Diabetes – a case-finding study and feasibility randomised controlled trial. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2018, 22, 1-328.	2.8	11
23	Tell it Right, Start it Right: An evaluation of training for health professionals about Down syndrome. <i>British Journal of Midwifery</i> , 2016, 24, 110-117.	0.4	10
24	Characterizing adults with Type 2 diabetes mellitus and intellectual disability: outcomes of a case-finding study. <i>Diabetic Medicine</i> , 2018, 35, 352-359.	2.3	10
25	Non-invasive prenatal testing for Down's syndrome: psychologically speaking, what else do we need to know?. <i>Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology</i> , 2014, 32, 1-4.	1.8	8
26	Altruism or obligation? The motivations and experience of women who donate oocytes to known recipients in assisted conception treatment: an interpretative phenomenological analysis study. <i>Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology</i> , 2017, 38, 4-11.	2.1	8
27	The feasibility of using the EQ-5D-3L with adults with mild to moderate learning disabilities within a randomized control trial: a qualitative evaluation. <i>Pilot and Feasibility Studies</i> , 2018, 4, 164.	1.2	8
28	What helps people to reduce or stop self-harm? A systematic review and meta-synthesis of first-hand accounts. <i>Journal of Public Health</i> , 2023, 45, 154-161.	1.8	8
29	Ten years of NIHR research training: perceptions of the programmes: a qualitative interview study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e046410.	1.9	7
30	Parental expectations of raising a child with disability in decision-making for prenatal testing and termination of pregnancy: A mixed methods study. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2020, 103, 2373-2383.	2.2	6
31	Prenatal screening for Down's syndrome: some psychosocial implications of a 'screening for all' policy. <i>Public Health</i> , 2001, 115, 356-8.	2.9	6
32	Attitudinal ambivalence towards Down's syndrome and uncertainty in prenatal testing and termination intentions. <i>Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology</i> , 2011, 29, 250-261.	1.8	5
33	Presenting life with cystic fibrosis: a Q-methodological approach to developing balanced, experience-based prenatal screening information. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2015, 18, 1349-1362.	2.6	4
34	Understanding the functions of repeated self-harm: A Q methodology approach. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2021, 268, 113527.	3.8	4
35	Prenatal screening for Down's syndrome. <i>Public Health</i> , 2001, 115, 356-358.	2.9	3
36	Development of a supported self-management intervention for adults with type 2 diabetes and a learning disability. <i>Pilot and Feasibility Studies</i> , 2018, 4, 106.	1.2	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	When Does a Lot Become Too Much? A Q Methodological Investigation of UK Student Perceptions of Digital Addiction. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2021, 18, 11149.	2.6	3
38	Student and staff perceptions of alcohol as part of student life in Denmark: A Q methodology study. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0205923.	2.5	2
39	Developing and feasibility testing of data collection methods for an economic evaluation of a supported selfmanagement programme for adults with a learning disability and type 2 diabetes. <i>Pilot and Feasibility Studies</i> , 2018, 4, 80.	1.2	2
40	Conveying Information About Screening and Diagnosis. , 2020, , 154-160.e2.		1
41	Developing partnerships for research: training workshops for mental health service users, carers and workers. <i>Psychiatric Bulletin</i> , 2006, 30, 67-69.	0.3	1
42	The Impact of Prenatal Screening on Disability Communities and the Meaning of Disability. <i>The International Library of Bioethics</i> , 2022, , 45-56.	0.2	1
43	Hole in the heart: bringing up Beth. <i>Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology</i> , 2017, 35, 423-425.	1.8	0
44	What is so complicated about prenatal testing for Down syndrome? A personal view. <i>Human Genetics</i> , 2021, , 1.	3.8	0