
## Jeremy T Bruskotter

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3249047/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Research note: human behavior and effective chronic wasting disease management. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2023, 28, 481-489.	1.0	3
2	Tragic tradeâ€offs accompany carnivore coexistence in the modern world. Conservation Letters, 2022, 15, e412841.	2.8	7
3	Tolerance for Wolves in the United States. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 2022, 10, .	1.1	1
4	Impact of Location on Predator Control Preference Patterns. Frontiers in Conservation Science, 2022, 3, .	0.9	1
5	Social value shift in favour of biodiversity conservation in the United States. Nature Sustainability, 2021, 4, 323-330.	11.5	59
6	Agency mission statements provide insight into the purpose and practice of conservation. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2021, 26, 262-274.	1.0	4
7	Emotion as a source of moral understanding in conservation. Conservation Biology, 2021, 35, 1380-1387.	2.4	24
8	Finding Purpose in the Conservation of Biodiversity by the Commingling of Science and Ethics. Animals, 2021, 11, 837.	1.0	5
9	A Minimally Nonanthropocentric Economics: What Is It, Is It Necessary, and Can It Avert the Biodiversity Crisis?. BioScience, 2021, 71, 861-873.	2.2	1
10	How scholars prioritize the competing values of conservation and sustainability. Biological Conservation, 2021, 257, 109126.	1.9	10
11	Bringing social values to wildlife conservation decisions. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 2021, 19, 355-362.	1.9	39
12	The future of wildlife conservation funding: What options do U.S. college students support?. Conservation Science and Practice, 2021, 3, e505.	0.9	8
13	Can Deliberative Democracy Favor a Flourishing Relationship Between Humans and Carnivores?. Frontiers in Conservation Science, 2021, 2, .	0.9	0
14	How anthropomorphism is changing the social context of modern wildlife conservation. Biological Conservation, 2020, 241, 108297.	1.9	63
15	Conservation Hospice: A Better Metaphor for the Conservation and Care of Terminal Species. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 2020, 8, .	1.1	3
16	The changing sociocultural context of wildlife conservation. Conservation Biology, 2020, 34, 1549-1559.	2.4	78
17	You can't just use gold: Elevated turbidity alters successful lure color for recreational Walleye fishing. Journal of Great Lakes Research, 2020, 46, 589-596.	0.8	8
18	Pathways from Environmental Ethics to Pro-Environmental Behaviours? Insights from Psychology. Environmental Values, 2020, 29, 317-337.	0.7	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Exploring nationality and social identity to explain attitudes toward conservation actions in the United States and Australia. Conservation Biology, 2020, 34, 1165-1175.	2.4	19
20	What Drives Declining Support for Long-Term Ecological Research?. BioScience, 2020, 70, 168-173.	2.2	8
21	What is an endangered species?: judgments about acceptable risk. Environmental Research Letters, 2020, 15, 014010.	2.2	5
22	Diverse public perceptions of species' status and management align with conflicting conservation frameworks. Biological Conservation, 2020, 242, 108416.	1.9	25
23	The VIPs of Wolf Conservation: How Values, Identity, and Place Shape Attitudes Toward Wolves in the United States. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 2020, 8, .	1.1	14
24	Exploring the ins and outs of biodiversity in the moral community. Biological Conservation, 2020, 245, 108580.	1.9	5
25	Conservationists' moral obligations toward wildlife: Values and identity promote conservation conflict. Biological Conservation, 2019, 240, 108296.	1.9	43
26	Trophy hunting: Values inform policy. Science, 2019, 366, 433-433.	6.0	3
27	Social identity shapes support for management of wildlife and pests. Biological Conservation, 2019, 231, 167-173.	1.9	49
28	The value of argument analysis for understanding ethical considerations pertaining to trophy hunting and lion conservation. Biological Conservation, 2019, 235, 260-272.	1.9	14
29	A Multilevel, Systems View of Values Can Inform a Move towards Human–Wildlife Coexistence. , 2019, , 20-44.		12
30	Tolerance for Wildlife. , 2019, , 85-106.		22
31	Towards Human–Wildlife Coexistence through the Integration of Human and Natural Systems. , 2019, , 384-413.		10
32	The Symbolic Wolf: A Construal Level Theory Analysis of the Perceptions of Wolves in the United States. Society and Natural Resources, 2019, 32, 322-337.	0.9	17
33	Just conservation: What is it and should we pursue it?. Biological Conservation, 2018, 221, 23-33.	1.9	111
34	How Minnesota wolf hunter and trapper attitudes and risk- and benefit-based beliefs predict wolf management preferences. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2018, 23, 552-568.	1.0	8
35	Nature for whom? How type of beneficiary influences the effectiveness of conservation outreach messages. Biological Conservation, 2018, 228, 158-166.	1.9	14
36	Support for the U.S. Endangered Species Act over time and space: Controversial species do not weaken public support for protective legislation. Conservation Letters, 2018, 11, e12595.	2.8	26

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Authority, cultural relativism and the principles of just conservation: Rejoinder to Pooley and Redpath (2018). Biological Conservation, 2018, 223, 184-185.	1.9	4
38	The perceived psychological distance of climate change impacts and its influence on support for adaptation policy. Environmental Science and Policy, 2017, 73, 93-99.	2.4	108
39	The role of science in understanding (and saving) large carnivores: A response to Allen and colleagues. Food Webs, 2017, 13, 46-48.	0.5	4
40	Modernization, Risk, and Conservation of the World's Largest Carnivores. BioScience, 2017, 67, 646-655.	2.2	62
41	Revisiting the challenge of intentional value shift: reply to Ives and Fischer. Conservation Biology, 2017, 31, 1486-1487.	2.4	12
42	Why social values cannot be changed for the sake of conservation. Conservation Biology, 2017, 31, 772-780.	2.4	214
43	Predators and the public trust. Biological Reviews, 2017, 92, 248-270.	4.7	74
44	A conceptual framework for understanding illegal killing of large carnivores. Ambio, 2017, 46, 251-264.	2.8	79
45	Expert judgment and uncertainty regarding the protection of imperiled species. Conservation Biology, 2017, 31, 657-665.	2.4	26
46	Attitudes toward predator control in the United States: 1995 and 2014. Journal of Mammalogy, 2017, 98, 7-16.	0.6	48
47	Evaluating the principles of wildlife conservation: a case study of wolf (Canis lupus) hunting in Michigan, United States. Journal of Mammalogy, 2017, 98, 53-64.	0.6	21
48	Conservation Triage Falls Short Because Conservation Is Not Like Emergency Medicine. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 2017, 5, .	1.1	19
49	Factors influencing spatial heterogeneity of female whiteâ€ŧailed deer harvest dynamics. Wildlife Society Bulletin, 2016, 40, 758-763.	1.6	7
50	Changes in attitudes toward animals in the United States from 1978 to 2014. Biological Conservation, 2016, 201, 237-242.	1.9	92
51	Saving the World's Terrestrial Megafauna. BioScience, 2016, 66, 807-812.	2.2	168
52	Emotions and the Ethics of Consequence in Conservation Decisions: Lessons from Cecil the Lion. Conservation Letters, 2016, 9, 302-306.	2.8	92
53	Ecological value and the US Endangered Species Act: Comment on Waples et al. (2015). Endangered Species Research, 2016, 30, 187-190.	1.2	6
54	Explaining Hunting Participation in Ohio: A Story of Changing Land Use and New Technology. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2015, 20, 484-500.	1.0	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Evaluating whether nature's intrinsic value is an axiom of or anathema to conservation. Conservation Biology, 2015, 29, 321-332.	2.4	147
56	Typology of Ohio, USA, Tree Farmers Based Upon Forestry Outreach Needs. Environmental Management, 2015, 55, 308-320.	1.2	1
57	Assessing Tolerance for Wildlife: Clarifying Relations Between Concepts and Measures. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2015, 20, 255-270.	1.0	64
58	When shooting a coyote kills a wolf: Mistaken identity or misguided management?. Biodiversity and Conservation, 2015, 24, 3145-3149.	1.2	4
59	Hunted predators: Intrinsic value. Science, 2015, 349, 1294-1295.	6.0	8
60	Why Should I Care? Exploring the Use of Environmental Concern as a Frame of Communication in Zoos. Journal of Environmental Education, 2015, 46, 56-71.	1.0	9
61	Assessing sustainability knowledge of a student population. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, 2014, 15, 375-389.	1.6	72
62	Removing Protections for Wolves and the Future of the U.S. Endangered Species Act (1973). Conservation Letters, 2014, 7, 401-407.	2.8	40
63	Otters and Anglers Can Share the Stream! The Role of Social Science in Dissuading Negative Messaging About Reintroduced Predators. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2014, 19, 532-544.	1.0	10
64	Determining Where the Wild Things will be: Using Psychological Theory to Find Tolerance for Large Carnivores. Conservation Letters, 2014, 7, 158-165.	2.8	235
65	Tolerance for Predatory Wildlife. Science, 2014, 344, 476-477.	6.0	248
66	Building tolerance for bears: A communications experiment. Journal of Wildlife Management, 2013, 77, 863-869.	0.7	77
67	To the editor: If science is "sanctifying the wolf―the news media is not complicit. Biological Conservation, 2013, 158, 420.	1.9	2
68	The predator pendulum revisited: Social conflict over wolves and their management in the western United States. Wildlife Society Bulletin, 2013, 37, n/a-n/a.	1.6	11
69	The Future of Fishing: An Introduction to the Special Issue. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2013, 18, 319-321.	1.0	3
70	Will Hunters Steward Wolves? A Comment on Treves and Martin. Society and Natural Resources, 2012, 25, 97-102.	0.9	69
71	Volunteer participation in collaborative watershed partnerships: insights from the Theory of Planned Behaviour. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 2012, 55, 77-94.	2.4	10
72	Rescuing Wolves: Threat of Misinformation—Response. Science, 2012, 335, 795-796.	6.0	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	The Role of Affect in Public Support and Opposition to Wolf Management. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2012, 17, 44-57.	1.0	99
74	Additional considerations for gray wolf management after their removal from Endangered Species Act protections. Journal of Wildlife Management, 2012, 76, 457-461.	0.7	20
75	Learning to live with black bears: A psychological model of acceptance. Journal of Wildlife Management, 2012, 76, 1331-1340.	0.7	89
76	Gray Wolf Conservation at a Crossroads. BioScience, 2011, 61, 584-585.	2.2	18
77	Rescuing Wolves from Politics: Wildlife as a Public Trust Resource. Science, 2011, 333, 1828-1829.	6.0	32
78	Human Dimensions of Large Carnivore Conservation and Management: Introduction to the Special Issue. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2010, 15, 311-314.	1.0	75
79	Are Gray Wolves Endangered in the Northern Rocky Mountains? A Role for Social Science in Listing Determinations. BioScience, 2010, 60, 941-948.	2.2	16
80	Gray Wolves Not Out of the Woods Yet. Science, 2010, 327, 30-31.	6.0	6
81	Attitudes Toward Wolves in the United States and Canada: A Content Analysis of the Print News Media, 1999–2008. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2010, 15, 389-403.	1.0	104
82	Narrowing the Definition of Endangered Species: Implications of the U.S. Government's Interpretation of the Phrase "A Significant Portion of its Range―Under the Endangered Species Act of 1973. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2009, 14, 73-88.	1.0	6
83	Assessing the Impact of Decision Frame and Existing Attitudes on Support for Wolf Restoration in the United States. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2009, 14, 353-365.	1.0	18
84	Social and Cognitive Correlates of Utah Residents' Acceptance of the Lethal Control of Wolves. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2009, 14, 119-132.	1.0	103
85	Minnesota Anglers' Fisheries-Related Value Orientations and Their Stewardship of Fish Resources. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2008, 13, 207-221.	1.0	32
86	Are attitudes toward wolves changing? A case study in Utah. Biological Conservation, 2007, 139, 211-218.	1.9	59
87	Conserving the World's Megafauna and Biodiversity: The Fierce Urgency of Now. BioScience, 0, , biw168.	2.2	14