Graeme T Laurie

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3148009/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

		430874	395702
54	1,264 citations	18	33
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
57	57	57	1259
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The social licence for research: why <i>care.data</i> ran into trouble. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2015, 41, 404-409.	1.8	260
2	Tackling community concerns about commercialisation and genetic research: A modest interdisciplinary proposal. Social Science and Medicine, 2007, 64, 272-282.	3.8	107
3	The other side of the coin: Harm due to the non-use of health-related data. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 2017, 97, 43-51.	3.3	85
4	An Ethics Framework for Big Data in Health and Research. Asian Bioethics Review, 2019, 11, 227-254.	1.3	74
5	Reflexive governance in biobanking: on the value of policy led approaches and the need to recognise the limits of law. Human Genetics, 2011, 130, 347-356.	3.8	72
6	Delivering proportionate governance in the era of eHealth. Medical Law International, 2013, 13, 168-204.	1.1	48
7	Liminality and the Limits of Law in Health Research Regulation: What are we Missing in the Spaces in-Between?. Medical Law Review, 2017, 25, 47-72.	0.5	44
8	Managing Access to Biobanks: How Can We Reconcile Individual Privacy and Public Interests in Genetic Research?. Medical Law International, 2010, 10, 315-337.	1.1	43
9	The Stem Cell Research Environment: A Patchwork of Patchworks. Stem Cell Reviews and Reports, 2009, 5, 82-88.	5.6	40
10	Data Safe Havens and Trust: Toward a Common Understanding of Trusted Research Platforms for Governing Secure and Ethical Health Research. JMIR Medical Informatics, 2016, 4, e22.	2.6	38
11	Recognizing the Right Not to Know: Conceptual, Professional, and Legal Implications. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2014, 42, 53-63.	0.9	36
12	Towards Principles–Based Approaches to Governance of Health–Related Research Using Personal Data. European Journal of Risk Regulation, 2013, 4, 43-57.	1.2	35
13	Familial genetic risks: how can we better navigate patient confidentiality and appropriate risk disclosure to relatives?. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2019, 45, 504-507.	1.8	34
14	Charting Regulatory Stewardship in Health Research: Making the Invisible Visible. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics, 2018, 27, 333-347.	0.8	29
15	RHETORIC OR REALITY: WHAT IS THE LEGAL STATUS OF THE CONSENT FORM IN HEALTH-RELATED RESEARCH?. Medical Law Review, 2013, 21, 371-414.	0.5	27
16	Beyond regulatory compression: confronting the liminal spaces of health research regulation. Law, Innovation and Technology, 2016, 8, 149-176.	3.2	27
17	CHALLENGING MEDICAL-LEGAL NORMS: THE ROLE OF AUTONOMY, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND PRIVACY IN PROTECTING INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILIAL GROUP RIGHTS IN GENETIC INFORMATION. Journal of Legal Medicine, 2001, 22, 1-54.	0.5	23
18	Foresighting Futures: Law, New Technologies, and the Challenges of Regulating for Uncertainty. Law, Innovation and Technology, 2012, 4, 1-33.	3.2	23

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	YEARWORTHv. NORTH BRISTOL NHS TRUST: PROPERTY, PRINCIPLES, PRECEDENTS AND PARADIGMS. Cambridge Law Journal, 2010, 69, 476-493.	0.1	20
20	Reconfiguring Social Value in Health Research Through the Lens of Liminality. Bioethics, 2017, 31, 87-96.	1.4	18
21	When can the Child Speak for Herself? The Limits of Parental Consent in Data Protection Law for Health Research. Medical Law Review, 2018, 26, 369-391.	0.5	17
22	What Does It Mean to Take an Ethics+ Approach to Global Biobank Governance?. Asian Bioethics Review, 2017, 9, 285-300.	1.3	16
23	A qualitative study of participants' views on re-consent in a longitudinal biobank. BMC Medical Ethics, 2017, 18, 22.	2.4	15
24	Cross-Sectoral Big Data. Asian Bioethics Review, 2019, 11, 327-339.	1.3	15
25	Respecting Autonomy Over Time: Policy and Empirical Evidence on Re-Consent in Longitudinal Biomedical Research. Bioethics, 2016, 30, 210-217.	1.4	12
26	Co-production and Managing Uncertainty in Health Research Regulation: A Delphi Study. Health Care Analysis, 2020, 28, 99-120.	2.2	11
27	Developing a Public Interest Mandate for the Governance and Use of Administrative Data in the United Kingdom. Journal of Law and Society, 2016, 43, 360-392.	0.7	10
28	Fail to Prepare and you Prepare to Fail: the Human Rights Consequences of the UK Government's Inaction during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Asian Bioethics Review, 2020, 12, 459-480.	1.3	10
29	Beyond categorisation: refining the relationship between subjects and objects in health research regulation. Law, Innovation and Technology, 2021, 13, 194-222.	3.2	9
30	Involving publics in biobank governance: moving beyond existing approaches., 0,, 151-177.		8
31	Role of the UK Biobank Ethics and Governance Council. Lancet, The, 2009, 374, 1676.	13.7	8
32	International stem cell environments: a world of difference. Nature Reports Stem Cells, 2009, , .	0.0	7
33	Examining the power of the social imaginary through competing narratives of data ownership in health research. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2021, 8, Isaa068.	1.6	6
34	Biomedicine, self and society: An agenda for collaboration and engagement. Wellcome Open Research, 2019, 4, 9.	1.8	6
35	Social Value. , 2021, , 46-55.		5
36	How do we make sense of chaos? Navigating health research regulation through the liminality of the Brexit process. Medical Law International, 2018, 18, 110-134.	1.1	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Delivering a Practical Framework for Ethical Decision-Making Involving Big Data in Health and Research. Asian Bioethics Review, 2019, 11, 223-225.	1.3	4
38	Through the Thicket and Across the Divide: Successfully Navigating the Regulatory Landscape in Life Sciences Research. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	4
39	The Administrative Data Research Centre Scotland: A Scoping Report on the Legal & Data Research Centre Scotland: A Scoping Report on the Legal & Data Issues Arising from Access & Data Issues Data. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	4
40	Clarifying how to deploy the public interest criterion in consent waivers for health data and tissue research. BMC Medical Ethics, 2020, 21, 23.	2.4	3
41	Data Sharing and Privacy. , 2017, , 143-160.		2
42	Asian Bioethics Review Enters a New Era. Asian Bioethics Review, 2020, 12, 1-3.	1.3	1
43	Vulnerabilities and Power. , 2021, , 90-98.		1
44	Meeting the Publishing Needs of the Bioethics Community. Asian Bioethics Review, 2021, 13, 1-4.	1.3	1
45	Diversity of Experience and Perspective in Bioethics. Asian Bioethics Review, 2022, 14, 103-105.	1.3	1
46	Memories of Ken Mason. Medical Law Review, 2017, 25, 522-525.	0.5	0
47	Bidding farewell to 2020: what lessons have we learned and what can bioethics continue to teach us?. Asian Bioethics Review, 2020, 12, 375-378.	1.3	О
48	Enacting Bioethics. Asian Bioethics Review, 2020, 12, 253-255.	1.3	0
49	Sustaining Bioethical Contributions in Times of Crisis and Change. Asian Bioethics Review, 2020, 12, 61-63.	1.3	O
50	Conception, COVID, and Communication. Asian Bioethics Review, 2021, 13, 129-132.	1.3	0
51	Widening the Reach of Bioethics Scholarship. Asian Bioethics Review, 2021, 13, 371-374.	1.3	O
52	Information Governance of Use of Health-Related Data in Medical Research in Scotland: Current Practices and Future Scenarios. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
53	Theory and Practice in Bioethics. Asian Bioethics Review, 2022, 14, 1-3.	1.3	0
54	Reconciling fragmented sectors of health research regulation: toward an ecosystem of processual regulation. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 2022, 9, .	2.9	0