List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3141904/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



IOHN RICKARD

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of HeartÂFailure. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2013, 62, e147-e239.	2.8	7,017
2	Quality of Life and Clinical Outcomes in Elderly Patients Treated with Ventricular Pacing as Compared with Dual-Chamber Pacing. New England Journal of Medicine, 1998, 338, 1097-1104.	27.0	584
3	HRS Expert Consensus Statement on remote interrogation and monitoring for cardiovascular implantable electronic devices. Heart Rhythm, 2015, 12, e69-e100.	0.7	449
4	Insights From a Cardiac Resynchronization Optimization Clinic as Part of a Heart Failure Disease Management Program. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2009, 53, 765-773.	2.8	424
5	2012 EHRA/HRS expert consensus statement on cardiac resynchronization therapy in heart failure: implant and follow-up recommendations and management. Heart Rhythm, 2012, 9, 1524-1576.	0.7	300
6	Incidence and predictors of right ventricular pacing-induced cardiomyopathy in patients with complete atrioventricular block and preserved left ventricular systolic function. Heart Rhythm, 2016, 13, 2272-2278.	0.7	285
7	2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management ofÂHeartÂFailure: Executive Summary. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2013, 62, 1495-1539.	2.8	276
8	Clinical Benefits of Remote Versus Transtelephonic Monitoring of Implanted Pacemakers. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2009, 54, 2012-2019.	2.8	187
9	Assessing the accuracy of an automated atrial fibrillation detection algorithm using smartphone technology: The iREAD Study. Heart Rhythm, 2018, 15, 1561-1565.	0.7	127
10	Characterization of super-response to cardiac resynchronization therapy. Heart Rhythm, 2010, 7, 885-889.	0.7	91
11	Right ventricular afterload sensitivity dramatically increases after left ventricular assist device implantation: A multi-center hemodynamic analysis. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2016, 35, 868-876.	0.6	76
12	Machine Learning Prediction of Response to Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology, 2019, 12, e007316.	4.8	76
13	The QRS Narrowing Index Predicts Reverse Left Ventricular Remodeling Following Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2011, 34, 604-611.	1.2	62
14	Predictors of response to cardiac resynchronization therapy: A systematic review. International Journal of Cardiology, 2016, 225, 345-352.	1.7	60
15	Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy in Non-Left Bundle Branch Block Morphologies. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2010, 33, 590-595.	1.2	59
16	Recurrent Atrial Fibrillation After Initial Long-Term Ablation Success. Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology, 2018, 11, e005785.	4.8	53
17	Usefulness of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy in Patients With Adriamycin-Induced Cardiomyopathy. American Journal of Cardiology, 2010, 105, 522-526.	1.6	50
18	The Utility of Rapid Atrial Pacing Immediately Post-TAVR to Predict the Need for Pacemaker Implantation. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 13, 1046-1054.	2.9	47

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Predictors of Response to Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy in Patients With a Non-Left Bundle Branch Block Morphology. American Journal of Cardiology, 2011, 108, 1576-1580.	1.6	45
20	Durability of the survival effect of cardiac resynchronization therapy by level of left ventricular functional improvement: Fate of "nonresponders― Heart Rhythm, 2014, 11, 412-416.	0.7	45
21	Randomized Study of Persistent Atrial Fibrillation Ablation. Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology, 2016, 9, e003596.	4.8	43
22	Current management and clinical outcomes for catheter ablation of atrioventricular nodal re-entrant tachycardia. Europace, 2018, 20, e51-e59.	1.7	40
23	Redefining the Classifications of Response to Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology, 2021, 7, 871-880.	3.2	33
24	Machine Learning of 12-Lead QRS Waveforms to Identify Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy Patients With Differential Outcomes. Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology, 2020, 13, e008210.	4.8	29
25	QRS prolongation induced by cardiac resynchronization therapy correlates with deterioration in left ventricular function. Heart Rhythm, 2012, 9, 1674-1678.	0.7	27
26	Cardiac Venous Left Ventricular Lead Removal and Reimplantation Following Device Infection: A Large Singleâ€Center Experience. Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 2012, 23, 1213-1216.	1.7	26
27	Clinical Inferences of Cardiovascular Implantable Electronic Device Analysis atÂAutopsy. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2016, 68, 1255-1264.	2.8	26
28	Life-Threatening Complications ofÂAtrialÂFibrillation Ablation. JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology, 2019, 5, 284-291.	3.2	25
29	Utility of a novel watch-based pulse detection system to detect pulselessness in human subjects. Heart Rhythm, 2011, 8, 1895-1899.	0.7	24
30	The impact of left ventricular size on response to cardiac resynchronization therapy. American Heart Journal, 2011, 162, 646-653.	2.7	24
31	Survival of Patients With Biventricular Devices After Device Infection, Extraction, and Reimplantation. JACC: Heart Failure, 2013, 1, 508-513.	4.1	21
32	Incidence and predictors of late atrioventricular conduction recovery among patients requiring permanent pacemaker for complete heart block after cardiac surgery. Heart Rhythm, 2017, 14, 1786-1792.	0.7	21
33	Elevated Red Cell Distribution Width Is Associated With Impaired Reverse Ventricular Remodeling and Increased Mortality in Patients Undergoing Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. Congestive Heart Failure, 2012, 18, 79-84.	2.0	20
34	Cardiac resynchronization therapy-heart failure (CRT-HF) clinic: A novel model of care. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0222610.	2.5	20
35	Echocardiographic Predictors of Longâ€Term Survival in Patients Undergoing Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy: What Is the Optimal Metric?. Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 2017, 28, 410-415.	1.7	19
36	Worldwide pacemaker and defibrillator reuse: Systematic review and metaâ€analysis of contemporary trials. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2018, 41, 1500-1507.	1.2	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Effect of PR interval prolongation on long-term outcomes in patients with left bundle branch block vs non–left bundle branch block morphologies undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy. Heart Rhythm, 2017, 14, 1523-1528.	0.7	17
38	Transvenous Lead Extraction in Chronic Kidney Disease and Dialysis Patients With Infected Cardiac Devices. Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology, 2018, 11, e005706.	4.8	17
39	Effect of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy on Left Ventricular Remodeling in Patients With Cardiac Sarcoidosis. American Journal of Cardiology, 2019, 123, 329-333.	1.6	17
40	Chest radiography is a poor predictor of left ventricular lead position in patients undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy: comparison with multidetector computed tomography. Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology, 2011, 32, 59-65.	1.3	16
41	Cardiovascular implantable electronic device function and longevity at autopsy: an underestimated resource. Heart Rhythm, 2016, 13, 1971-1976.	0.7	15
42	Survival in Octogenarians Undergoing Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy Compared to the General Population. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2014, 37, 740-744.	1.2	13
43	Catheter Ablation in Patients With Cardiogenic Shock and Refractory Ventricular Tachycardia. Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology, 2020, 13, e007669.	4.8	13
44	The Importance of Early Evaluation after Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy to Redefine Response: Pooled Individual Patient Analysis from Five Prospective Studies. Heart Rhythm, 2021, , .	0.7	13
45	Atrial fibrillation future clinic. Novel platform to integrate smart device electrocardiogram into clinical practice. Cardiovascular Digital Health Journal, 2021, 2, 92-100.	1.3	12
46	Left Ventricular Size does not Modify the Effect of QRS Duration in Predicting Response to Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2017, 40, 482-487.	1.2	10
47	Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy With or Without Defibrillation in Patients With Nonischemic Cardiomyopathy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology, 2021, 14, e008991.	4.8	10
48	Cardiac venous injuries: Procedural profiles and outcomes during left ventricular lead placement for cardiac resynchronization therapy. Heart Rhythm, 2020, 17, 1298-1303.	0.7	10
49	Clinical recognition of pacemaker battery depletion and automatic reprogramming. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2017, 40, 969-974.	1.2	9
50	Baseline Right Ventricular Dysfunction Predicts Worse Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy Implantation. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2020, 26, 227-232.	1.7	8
51	The gap between what patients know and desire to learn about their cardiac implantable electronic devices. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2020, 43, 118-122.	1.2	8
52	The Symptoms and Clinical events associated with Automatic Reprogramming (SCARE) at replacement notification study. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2018, 41, 1611-1618.	1.2	7
53	The ECG Belt for CRT response trial: Design and clinical protocol. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2020, 43, 1063-1071.	1.2	7
54	Long-Term Outcomes in Patients With a Left Ejection FractionÂâ‰╋5% Undergoing CardiacÂResynchronization Therapy. JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology, 2021, 7, 36-46.	3.2	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Pivotal trials of cardiac resynchronization therapy: evolution to therapy in mild heart failure. Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology, 2011, 31, 61-68.	1.3	6
56	Predictors of longâ€ŧerm outcomes greater than 10 years after cardiac resynchronization therapy implantation. Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 2020, 31, 1182-1186.	1.7	6
57	Comparative Analysis of Procedural Outcomes and Complications Between De Novo and Upgraded Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology, 2021, 7, 62-72.	3.2	6
58	Super and Nonresponders to Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation: A Quality-of-Life Assessment Using Patient Reported Outcomes. Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology, 2021, 14, e009938.	4.8	6
59	A Clinical Prediction Rule to Identify Patients at Heightened Risk for Early Demise Following Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 2014, 25, 278-282.	1.7	5
60	Relation of Electrocardiographic Left Atrial Abnormalities to Risk of Stroke in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. American Journal of Cardiology, 2018, 122, 242-247.	1.6	5
61	Successful extraction of right ventricular lead remnants using the FlexCath® steerable sheath. Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology, 2016, 45, 107-110.	1.3	4
62	Electrocardiographic predictors of pacemaker battery depletion: Diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, and clinical risk. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2020, 43, 2-9.	1.2	4
63	Optimizing Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy: an Update on New Insights and Advancements. Current Heart Failure Reports, 2018, 15, 156-160.	3.3	3
64	Clinical Outcomes and Characteristics With Dofetilide in Atrial Fibrillation Patients Considered for Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator. Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology, 2020, 13, e008168.	4.8	3
65	The utility of a novel mapping algorithm utilizing vectors and global pattern of propagation for scarâ€related atrial tachycardias. Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 2021, 32, 1909-1917.	1.7	3
66	Cardiac resynchronisation therapy in anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy. Heart, 2021, , heartjnl-2020-318333.	2.9	3
67	Advances in implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy. Expert Review of Cardiovascular Therapy, 2016, 14, 291-299.	1.5	2
68	Long term outcomes in patients with chronic right ventricular pacing upgraded to cardiac resynchronization therapy. Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 2019, 30, 1979-1983.	1.7	2
69	Remote Monitoring of Permanent Pacemakers and Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators. Cardiac Electrophysiology Clinics, 2021, 13, 449-457.	1.7	2
70	Characterization of health care utilization in patients receiving implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapies: An analysis of the managed ventricular pacing trial. Heart Rhythm, 2017, 14, 1382-1387.	0.7	1
71	Obesity Predicts Survival After Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy Independent of Effect on Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction. Circulation: Heart Failure, 2020, 13, e007424.	3.9	1
72	Right ventricular dilatation and systolic dysfunction and relationship to QRS duration in patients with left bundle branch block and cardiomyopathy. PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology, 2021, 44, 1890-1896.	1.2	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Periprocedural Management of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. Current Treatment Options in Cardiovascular Medicine, 2014, 16, 298.	0.9	Ο
74	Bridging the gap between heart failure and the device clinic. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 2017, 14, 601-607.	2.8	0
75	Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy inÂPatients With Non-Left Bundle BranchÂMorphologies. JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology, 2019, 5, 1011-1012.	3.2	Ο
76	Abstract 23072: Unrecognized Venous Injuries After Cardiac Implantable Electronic Device Transvenous Lead Extraction. Circulation, 2017, 136, .	1.6	0
77	Case Studies of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy "Nonresponders― Cardiac Electrophysiology Clinics, 2022, 14, 273-282.	1.7	0