Andrew B Rosenkrantz

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3141627/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

440 papers	14,907 citations	20817 60 h-index	29157 104 g-index
441 all docs	441 docs citations	441 times ranked	11833 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System Version 2.1: 2019 Update of Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System Version 2. European Urology, 2019, 76, 340-351.	1.9	1,270
2	Interobserver Reproducibility of the PI-RADS Version 2 Lexicon: A Multicenter Study of Six Experienced Prostate Radiologists. Radiology, 2016, 280, 793-804.	7.3	398
3	Standards of Reporting for MRI-targeted Biopsy Studies (START) of the Prostate: Recommendations from an International Working Group. European Urology, 2013, 64, 544-552.	1.9	383
4	A Prospective, Blinded Comparison of Magnetic Resonance (MR) Imagingâ€“Ultrasound Fusion and Visual Estimation in the Performance of MR-targeted Prostate Biopsy: The PROFUS Trial. European Urology, 2014, 66, 343-351.	1.9	344
5	Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Magnetic Resonance Imaging Targeted Biopsy in Patients with a Prior Negative Biopsy: A Consensus Statement by AUA and SAR. Journal of Urology, 2016, 196, 1613-1618.	0.4	305
6	Body diffusion kurtosis imaging: Basic principles, applications, and considerations for clinical practice. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2015, 42, 1190-1202.	3.4	274
7	Free-Breathing Radial 3D Fat-Suppressed T1-Weighted Gradient Echo Sequence. Investigative Radiology, 2011, 46, 648-653.	6.2	251
8	Prostate Cancer Localization Using Multiparametric MR Imaging: Comparison of Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PI-RADS) and Likert Scales. Radiology, 2013, 269, 482-492.	7.3	237
9	Prostate Cancer: Feasibility and Preliminary Experience of a Diffusional Kurtosis Model for Detection and Assessment of Aggressiveness of Peripheral Zone Cancer. Radiology, 2012, 264, 126-135.	7.3	223
10	Free-Breathing Contrast-Enhanced Multiphase MRI of the Liver Using a Combination of Compressed Sensing, Parallel Imaging, and Golden-Angle Radial Sampling. Investigative Radiology, 2013, 48, 10-16.	6.2	210
11	Multiparametric MRI for prostate cancer diagnosis: current status and future directions. Nature Reviews Urology, 2020, 17, 41-61.	3.8	207
12	Variability of the Positive Predictive Value of PI-RADS for Prostate MRI across 26 Centers: Experience of the Society of Abdominal Radiology Prostate Cancer Disease-focused Panel. Radiology, 2020, 296, 76-84.	7.3	207
13	Prostate Imaging-Reporting and Data System Steering Committee: PI-RADS v2 Status Update and Future Directions. European Urology, 2019, 75, 385-396.	1.9	200
14	MRI Features of Renal Oncocytoma and Chromophobe Renal Cell Carcinoma. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2010, 195, W421-W427.	2.2	192
15	Diffusionâ€weighted imaging of the abdomen at 3.0 Tesla: Image quality and apparent diffusion coefficient reproducibility compared with 1.5 Tesla. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2011, 33, 128-135.	3.4	186
16	Radiologist, Be Aware: Ten Pitfalls That Confound the Interpretation of Multiparametric Prostate MRI. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2014, 202, 109-120.	2.2	183
17	Relationship Between Prebiopsy Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Biopsy Indication, and MRI-ultrasound Fusionâ€“targeted Prostate Biopsy Outcomes. European Urology, 2016, 69, 512-517.	1.9	163
18	PI-RADS Steering Committee: The PI-RADS Multiparametric MRI and MRI-directed Biopsy Pathway. Radiology, 2019, 292, 464-474.	7.3	162

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Optimization of Prostate Biopsy: the Role of Magnetic Resonance Imaging Targeted Biopsy in Detection, Localization and Risk Assessment. Journal of Urology, 2014, 192, 648-658.	0.4	156
20	Update of the Standard Operating Procedure on the Use of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the Diagnosis, Staging and Management of Prostate Cancer. Journal of Urology, 2020, 203, 706-712.	0.4	152
21	Comparison of Interreader Reproducibility of the Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System and Likert Scales for Evaluation of Multiparametric Prostate MRI. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2013, 201, W612-W618.	2.2	146
22	Image Guided Focal Therapy for Magnetic Resonance Imaging Visible Prostate Cancer: Defining a 3-Dimensional Treatment Margin Based on Magnetic Resonance Imaging Histology Co-Registration Analysis. Journal of Urology, 2015, 194, 364-370.	0.4	146
23	Diffusionâ€weighted imaging outside the brain: Consensus statement from an ISMRMâ€sponsored workshop. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2016, 44, 521-540.	3.4	146
24	Proposed Adjustments to PI-RADS Version 2 Decision Rules: Impact on Prostate Cancer Detection. Radiology, 2017, 283, 119-129.	7.3	142
25	Utility of the Apparent Diffusion Coefficient for Distinguishing Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma of Low and High Nuclear Grade. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2010, 195, W344-W351.	2.2	121
26	Patient-specific 3D printed and augmented reality kidney and prostate cancer models: impact on patient education. 3D Printing in Medicine, 2019, 5, 4.	3.1	121
27	Prostate Cancer Foci Detected on Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging are Histologically Distinct From Those Not Detected. Journal of Urology, 2012, 187, 2032-2038.	0.4	109
28	Predictive value of negative 3T multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging of the prostate on 12â€core biopsy results. BJU International, 2016, 118, 515-520.	2.5	109
29	Optimum Imaging Strategies for Advanced Prostate Cancer: ASCO Guideline. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, 1963-1996.	1.6	107
30	Prostate Cancer: Comparison of 3D T2-Weighted With Conventional 2D T2-Weighted Imaging for Image Quality and Tumor Detection. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2010, 194, 446-452.	2.2	104
31	Histogram Analysis of Whole-Lesion Enhancement in Differentiating Clear Cell from Papillary Subtype of Renal Cell Cancer. Radiology, 2012, 265, 790-798.	7.3	102
32	Computed diffusion-weighted imaging of the prostate at 3 T: impact on image quality and tumour detection. European Radiology, 2013, 23, 3170-3177.	4.5	102
33	The Learning Curve in Prostate MRI Interpretation: Self-Directed Learning Versus Continual Reader Feedback. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 208, W92-W100.	2.2	102
34	Current Status of Hybrid PET/MRI in Oncologic Imaging. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2016, 206, 162-172.	2.2	98
35	Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PI-RADS), Version 2: A Critical Look. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2016, 206, 1179-1183.	2.2	92
36	Alternative Metrics (â€œAltmetricsâ€) for Assessing Article Impact in Popular General Radiology Journals. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 891-897.	2.5	92

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	The Diagnostic Performance of Dynamic Contrast-enhanced MR Imaging for Detection of Small Hepatocellular Carcinoma Measuring Up to 2 cm: A Meta-Analysis. Radiology, 2016, 278, 82-94.	7.3	91
38	Transition Zone Prostate Cancer: Revisiting the Role of Multiparametric MRI at 3 T. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 204, W266-W272.	2.2	89
39	Prostate cancer vs. postâ€biopsy hemorrhage: Diagnosis with T2â€•and diffusionâ€weighted imaging. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2010, 31, 1387-1394.	3.4	88
40	Length of capsular contact for diagnosing extraprostatic extension on prostate MRI: Assessment at an optimal threshold. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2016, 43, 990-997.	3.4	88
41	PI-RADS Version 2: A Pictorial Update. Radiographics, 2016, 36, 1354-1372.	3.3	88
42	The Current State of MR Imagingâ€“targeted Biopsy Techniques for Detection of Prostate Cancer. Radiology, 2017, 285, 343-356.	7.3	88
43	Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Ultrasound Fusion Targeted Prostate Biopsy in a Consecutive Cohort of Men with No Previous Biopsy: Reduction of Over Detection through Improved Risk Stratification. Journal of Urology, 2015, 194, 1601-1606.	0.4	87
44	Assessment of hepatocellular carcinoma using apparent diffusion coefficient and diffusion kurtosis indices: preliminary experience in fresh liver explants. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2012, 30, 1534-1540.	1.8	83
45	Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI of the prostate with high spatiotemporal resolution using compressed sensing, parallel imaging, and continuous golden-angle radial sampling: Preliminary experience. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2015, 41, 1365-1373.	3.4	83
46	Diffusionâ€weighted imaging of the prostate: Comparison of b1000 and b2000 image sets for index lesion detection. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2013, 38, 694-700.	3.4	82
47	Methods and Challenges in Quantitative Imaging Biomarker Development. Academic Radiology, 2015, 22, 25-32.	2.5	80
48	Clinical Utility of Quantitative Imaging. Academic Radiology, 2015, 22, 33-49.	2.5	79
49	Gleason Score 3â€‰+â€‰4=7 Prostate Cancer With Minimal Quantity of Gleason Pattern 4 on Needle Biopsy Is Associated With Low-risk Tumor in Radical Prostatectomy Specimen. American Journal of Surgical Pathology, 2014, 38, 1096-1101.	3.7	78
50	Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Prostate Cancer Management. Investigative Radiology, 2015, 50, 594-600.	6.2	78
51	Factors Influencing Variability in the Performance of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Detecting Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Literature Review. European Urology Oncology, 2020, 3, 145-167.	5.4	75
52	Prostate tumour volumes: evaluation of the agreement between magnetic resonance imaging and histology using novel coâ€registration software. BJU International, 2014, 114, E105-E112.	2.5	74
53	Prostate Cancer: Multiparametric MRI for Index Lesion Localizationâ€”A Multiple-Reader Study. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2012, 199, 830-837.	2.2	73
54	Abbreviated MRI Protocols for the Abdomen. Radiographics, 2019, 39, 744-758.	3.3	73

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	The Yellow Journal: Changes Afoot. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 215, 1-2.	2.2	73
56	Wholeâ€lesion apparent diffusion coefficient metrics as a marker of percentage Gleason 4 component within Gleason 7 prostate cancer at radical prostatectomy. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2015, 41, 708-714.	3.4	71
57	Zoomed echo-planar imaging using parallel transmission: impact on image quality of diffusion-weighted imaging of the prostate at 3T. Abdominal Imaging, 2015, 40, 120-126.	2.0	71
58	Prebiopsy MRI and MRI-ultrasound Fusionâ€“targeted Prostate Biopsy in Men With Previous Negative Biopsies: Impact on Repeat Biopsy Strategies. Urology, 2015, 86, 1192-1199.	1.0	71
59	Prostate Cancer: Diffusion-weighted MR Imaging for Detection and Assessment of Aggressivenessâ€”Comparison between Conventional and Kurtosis Models. Radiology, 2017, 284, 100-108.	7.3	64
60	The Institutional Learning Curve of Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Ultrasound Fusion Targeted Prostate Biopsy: Temporal Improvements in Cancer Detection in 4 Years. Journal of Urology, 2018, 200, 1022-1029.	0.4	64
61	Imaging Facilities' Adherence to PI-RADS v2 Minimum Technical Standards for the Performance of Prostate MRI. Academic Radiology, 2018, 25, 188-195.	2.5	60
62	Generalist versus Subspecialist Characteristics of the U.S. Radiologist Workforce. Radiology, 2018, 286, 929-937.	7.3	59
63	Time-Dependent Diffusion in Prostate Cancer. Investigative Radiology, 2017, 52, 405-411.	6.2	58
64	Characterization of malignancy of adnexal lesions using ADC entropy: Comparison with mean ADC and qualitative DWI assessment. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2013, 37, 164-171.	3.4	57
65	MRI Phenotype in Renal Cancer. Topics in Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2014, 23, 95-115.	1.2	56
66	The U.S. Radiologist Workforce: An Analysis of Temporal and Geographic Variation by Using Large National Datasets. Radiology, 2016, 279, 175-184.	7.3	54
67	The Role of Ipsilateral and Contralateral Transrectal Ultrasound-guided Systematic Prostate Biopsy in Men With Unilateral Magnetic Resonance Imaging Lesion Undergoing Magnetic Resonance Imaging-ultrasound Fusion-targeted Prostate Biopsy. Urology, 2017, 102, 178-182.	1.0	54
68	Prostate Cancer Detection Using Computed Very High b-value Diffusion-weighted Imaging: How High Should We Go?. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 704-711.	2.5	52
69	Burnout in Academic Radiologists in the United States. Academic Radiology, 2020, 27, 1274-1281.	2.5	52
70	Prostate cancer: Utility of fusion of T2â€weighted and high bâ€value diffusionâ€weighted images for peripheral zone tumor detection and localization. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2011, 34, 95-100.	3.4	51
71	Role of MRI in Minimally Invasive Focal Ablative Therapy for Prostate Cancer. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2011, 197, W90-W96.	2.2	49
72	Prostate Cancer: Comparison of Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced MRI Techniques for Localization of Peripheral Zone Tumor. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2013, 201, W471-W478.	2.2	49

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	MACRA, MIPS, and the New Medicare Quality Payment Program: An Update forÂRadiologists. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 316-323.	1.8	49
74	Optimizing the Number of Cores Targeted During Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging Fusion Target Biopsy. European Urology Oncology, 2018, 1, 418-425.	5.4	49
75	Differences in Perceptions Among Radiologists, Referring Physicians, and Patients Regarding Language for Incidental Findings Reporting. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 208, 140-143.	2.2	47
76	Prostate Cancer: Comparison of Tumor Visibility on Trace Diffusion-Weighted Images and the Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Map. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2011, 196, 123-129.	2.2	46
77	The utility of quantitative ADC values for differentiating high-risk from low-risk prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Abdominal Radiology, 2017, 42, 260-270.	2.1	44
78	Evolving Use of Prebiopsy Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Medicare Population. Journal of Urology, 2018, 200, 89-94.	0.4	44
79	Enriched Audience Engagement Through Twitter: Should More Academic Radiology Departments Seize the Opportunity?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2015, 12, 756-759.	1.8	43
80	Utility of MRI Features for Differentiation of Retroperitoneal Fibrosis and Lymphoma. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2012, 199, 118-126.	2.2	42
81	Prostate Cancer: Utility of Whole-Lesion Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Metrics for Prediction of Biochemical Recurrence After Radical Prostatectomy. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 205, 1208-1214.	2.2	42
82	National Trends in Inferior Vena Cava Filter Placement and Retrieval Procedures in the Medicare Population Over Two Decades. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1080-1086.	1.8	42
83	Risk Stratification by Urinary Prostate Cancer Gene 3 Testing Before Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Ultrasound Fusion-targeted Prostate Biopsy Among Men With No History of Biopsy. Urology, 2017, 99, 174-179.	1.0	41
84	Emerging Challenges and Opportunities in the Evolution of Teleradiology. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 215, 1411-1416.	2.2	41
85	Utility of Diffusional Kurtosis Imaging as a Marker of Adverse Pathologic Outcomes Among Prostate Cancer Active Surveillance Candidates Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2013, 201, 840-846.	2.2	40
86	Characterization of Prostate Microstructure Using Water Diffusion and NMR Relaxation. Frontiers in Physics, 2018, 6, .	2.1	40
87	Prostate cancer: Utility of diffusionâ€weighted imaging as a marker of sideâ€specific risk of extracapsular extension. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2013, 38, 312-319.	3.4	39
88	The Current State of Teleradiology Across the United States: A National Survey of Radiologistsâ€™ Habits, Attitudes, and Perceptions on Teleradiology Practice. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 1677-1687.	1.8	39
89	The Evolution of MRI of the Prostate: The Past, the Present, and the Future. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, 384-396.	2.2	39
90	Histogram-Based Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Analysis: An Emerging Tool for Cervical Cancer Characterization?. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2013, 200, 311-313.	2.2	37

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Prostate Cancer: Top Places Where Tumors Hide on Multiparametric MRI. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 204, W449-W456.	2.2	37
92	Academic Radiologist Subspecialty Identification Using a Novel Claims-Based Classification System. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 208, 1249-1255.	2.2	37
93	Survey-Based Assessment of Patientsâ€™ Understanding of Their Own Imaging Examinations. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2015, 12, 549-555.	1.8	36
94	Prediction of Prostate Cancer Risk Among Men Undergoing Combined MRI-targeted and Systematic Biopsy Using Novel Pre-biopsy Nomograms That Incorporate MRI Findings. Urology, 2018, 112, 112-120.	1.0	36
95	Prostate Cancer. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2013, 37, 980-988.	0.9	35
96	Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PI-RADS): Reflections on Early Experience With a Standardized Interpretation Scheme for Multiparametric Prostate MRI. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2014, 202, 121-123.	2.2	35
97	Prospective Pilot Study to Evaluate the Incremental Value of PET Information in Patients With Bladder Cancer Undergoing 18F-FDG Simultaneous PET/MRI. Clinical Nuclear Medicine, 2017, 42, e8-e15.	1.3	35
98	Discrepancy Rates and Clinical Impact of Imaging Secondary Interpretations: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1222-1231.	1.8	35
99	Imaging and evaluation of patients with high-risk prostate cancer. Nature Reviews Urology, 2015, 12, 617-628.	3.8	34
100	Liver MRI at 3 T Using a Respiratory-Triggered Time-Efficient 3D T2-Weighted Technique: Impact on Artifacts and Image Quality. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2010, 194, 634-641.	2.2	33
101	Utility of Quantitative MRI Metrics for Assessment of Stage and Grade of Urothelial Carcinoma of the Bladder: Preliminary Results. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2013, 201, 1254-1259.	2.2	33
102	What Do Patients Tweet About Their Mammography Experience?. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 1367-1371.	2.5	33
103	Changes in Emergency Department Imaging: Perspectives From National Patient Surveys Over Two Decades. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 1282-1290.	1.8	33
104	Utility of whole-lesion ADC histogram metrics for assessing the malignant potential of pancreatic intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs). Abdominal Radiology, 2017, 42, 1222-1228.	2.1	33
105	A Comparison of Radiologists' and Urologists' Opinions Regarding Prostate MRI Reporting: Results From a Survey of Specialty Societies. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 210, 101-107.	2.2	33
106	Increasing Utilization of Chest Imaging in US Emergency Departments From 1994 toÂ2015. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 674-682.	1.8	33
107	Comparison of 3D two-point Dixon and standard 2D dual-echo breath-hold sequences for detection and quantification of fat content in renal angiomyolipoma. European Journal of Radiology, 2012, 81, 47-51.	2.6	32
108	MACRA 2.0: are you ready for MIPS?. Journal of NeuroInterventional Surgery, 2017, 9, 714-716.	3.3	32

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Private Practice Radiologist Subspecialty Classification Using Medicare Claims. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 1419-1425.	1.8	32
110	A County-Level Analysis of the US Radiologist Workforce: Physician Supply and Subspecialty Characteristics. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 601-606.	1.8	32
111	Generalist versus Subspecialist Workforce Characteristics of Invasive Procedures Performed by Radiologists. Radiology, 2018, 289, 140-147.	7.3	32
112	The state of prostate MRI in 2013. Oncology, 2013, 27, 262-70.	0.5	32
113	Does Suspicion of Prostate Cancer on Integrated T2 and Diffusion-weighted MRI Predict More Adverse Pathology on Radical Prostatectomy?. Urology, 2013, 81, 1279-1283.	1.0	31
114	Whole-lesion diffusion metrics for assessment of bladder cancer aggressiveness. Abdominal Imaging, 2015, 40, 327-332.	2.0	31
115	Geographic Variation in Gender Disparities in the US Radiologist Workforce. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1073-1079.	1.8	31
116	MRI Evaluation of the Urothelial Tract: Pitfalls and Solutions. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2016, 207, W108-W116.	2.2	30
117	Informatics Solutions for Driving an Effective and Efficient Radiology Practice. Radiographics, 2018, 38, 1810-1822.	3.3	30
118	Highâ€grade bladder cancer: Association of the apparent diffusion coefficient with metastatic disease: Preliminary results. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2012, 35, 1478-1483.	3.4	29
119	Prognostic implications of the magnetic resonance imaging appearance in papillary renal cell carcinoma. European Radiology, 2013, 23, 579-587.	4.5	29
120	The Patient Experience in Radiology: Observations From Over 3,500 Patient Feedback Reports in a Single Institution. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2016, 13, 1371-1377.	1.8	29
121	Assessing Transgender Patient Care and Gender Inclusivity of Breast Imaging Facilities Across the United States. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1164-1172.	1.8	29
122	Changing Musculoskeletal Extremity Imaging Utilization From 1994 Through 2013: A Medicare Beneficiary Perspective. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 209, 1103-1109.	2.2	28
123	Increasing Subspecialization of the National Radiologist Workforce. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 812-818.	1.8	28
124	Interreader Concordance of the TI-RADS: Impact of Radiologist Experience. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 214, 1152-1157.	2.2	28
125	Prostate MRI Can Reduce Overdiagnosis and Overtreatment of Prostate Cancer. Academic Radiology, 2015, 22, 1000-1006.	2.5	27
126	Explorative Investigation of Whole-Lesion Histogram MRI Metrics for Differentiating Uterine Leiomyomas and Leiomyosarcomas. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 210, 1172-1177.	2.2	27

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	Diagnostic errors in abdominopelvic CT interpretation: characterization based on report addenda. Abdominal Radiology, 2016, 41, 1793-1799.	2.1	26
128	Changing Utilization of Noninvasive Diagnostic Imaging Over 2 Decades: An Examination Familyâ€“Focused Analysis of Medicare Claims Using the Neiman Imaging Types of Service Categorization System. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 210, 364-368.	2.2	26
129	Radiology Practice Consolidation: Fewer but Bigger Groups Over Time. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 340-348.	1.8	26
130	Impact of delay after biopsy and post-biopsy haemorrhage on prostate cancer tumour detection using multi-parametric MRI: A multi-reader study. Clinical Radiology, 2012, 67, e83-e90.	1.1	25
131	Utility of MRI Features in Differentiation of Central Renal Cell Carcinoma and Renal Pelvic Urothelial Carcinoma. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2013, 201, 1260-1267.	2.2	25
132	National specialty trends in billable diagnostic ultrasound in the ED: analysis of Medicare claims data. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2014, 32, 1470-1475.	1.6	25
133	Impact of size of region-of-interest on differentiation of renal cell carcinoma and renal cysts on multi-phase CT: Preliminary findings. European Journal of Radiology, 2014, 83, 239-244.	2.6	25
134	T2â€weighted prostate MRI at 7 tesla using a simplified external transmitâ€receive coil array: Correlation with radical prostatectomy findings in two prostate cancer patients. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2015, 41, 226-232.	3.4	25
135	Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Values of the Benign Central Zone of the Prostate: Comparison With Low- and High-Grade Prostate Cancer. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 205, 331-336.	2.2	25
136	T2-weighted imaging of the prostate: Impact of the BLADE technique on image quality and tumor assessment. Abdominal Imaging, 2015, 40, 552-559.	2.0	25
137	Likert score 3 prostate lesions: Association between wholeâ€lesion ADC metrics and pathologic findings at MRI/ultrasound fusion targeted biopsy. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2016, 43, 325-332.	3.4	25
138	High-Resolution 3-T Endorectal Prostate MRI: A Multireader Study of Radiologist Preference and Perceived Interpretive Quality of 2D and 3D T2-Weighted Fast Spin-Echo MR Images. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2016, 206, 86-91.	2.2	25
139	Out-of-Pocket Costs for Advanced ImagingÂAcross the US Private InsuranceÂMarketplace. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 607-614.e1.	1.8	25
140	Prediction of Growth Rate of Solid Renal Masses: Utility of MR Imaging Featuresâ€”Preliminary Experience. Radiology, 2012, 262, 884-893.	7.3	24
141	Direct Interactive Public Education by Breast Radiologists About Screening Mammography: Impact on Anxiety and Empowerment. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2016, 13, 12-20.	1.8	24
142	Utility of conventional and diffusion-weighted MRI features in distinguishing benign from malignant endometrial lesions. European Journal of Radiology, 2014, 83, 726-732.	2.6	23
143	The Science of Quality Improvement. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 253-262.	2.5	23
144	Medicare Claims Data Resources: A Primer for Policy-Focused Radiology Health Services Researchers. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 1538-1544.	1.8	23

#	Article	IF	Citations
145	Frequency and reasons for extra sequences in clinical abdominal MRI examinations. Abdominal Radiology, 2017, 42, 306-311.	2.1	23
146	Clinical Practice Patterns of Interventional Radiologists by Gender. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, 867-874.	2.2	23
147	Imbalance of Opinions Expressed on Twitter Relating to CT Radiation Risk: An Opportunity for Increased Radiologist Representation. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 204, W48-W51.	2.2	22
148	The Service Encounter in Radiology. Academic Radiology, 2015, 22, 259-264.	2.5	22
149	Metrics for Original Research Articles in the AJR: From First Submission to Final Publication. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 204, 1152-1156.	2.2	22
150	The role of MRI in prostate cancer diagnosis and management. Future Oncology, 2016, 12, 2431-2443.	2.4	22
151	Technology-Assisted Virtual Consultation for Medical Imaging. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2016, 13, 995-1002.	1.8	22
152	Assessment of prostate cancer aggressiveness using apparent diffusion coefficient values: impact of patient race and age. Abdominal Radiology, 2017, 42, 1744-1751.	2.1	22
153	MACRA, Alternative Payment Models, andÂthe Physician-Focused Payment Model: Implications for Radiology. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 744-751.	1.8	22
154	Reduced Field-of-View Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Prostate at 3 Tesla: Comparison With Standard Echo-Planar Imaging Technique for Image Quality and Tumor Assessment. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2017, 41, 949-956.	0.9	22
155	Use of Twitter Polls to Determine Public Opinion Regarding Content Presented at a Major National Specialty Society Meeting. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 177-182.	1.8	22
156	Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI of the prostate: An intraindividual assessment of the effect of temporal resolution on qualitative detection and quantitative analysis of histopathologically proven prostate cancer. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2017, 45, 1464-1475.	3.4	22
157	Angiomyolipoma with epithelial cysts: mimic of renal cell carcinoma. Clinical Imaging, 2010, 34, 65-68.	1.5	21
158	Gadolinium-Enhanced Liver Magnetic Resonance Imaging Using a 2-Point Dixon Fat-Water Separation Technique. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2011, 35, 96-101.	0.9	21
159	Public Interest in Imaging-Based Cancer Screening Examinations in the United States: Analysis Using a Web-Based Search Tool. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2016, 206, 113-118.	2.2	21
160	Changing Medicare Utilization of Minimally Invasive Procedures for the Treatment of Chronic Venous Insufficiency. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology, 2017, 28, 818-824.	0.5	21
161	Patterns of Recent National Institutes of Health (NIH) Funding to Diagnostic Radiology Departments. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 1162-1168.	2.5	21
162	Imaging the High-risk Prostate Cancer Patient: Current and Future Approaches to Staging. Urology, 2018, 116, 3-12.	1.0	21

#	Article	IF	Citations
163	Multiparametric MRI for the detection of local recurrence of prostate cancer in the setting of biochemical recurrence after low dose rate brachytherapy. Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, 2018, 24, 46-53.	1.5	21
164	MRI findings of sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma in nine cases. Clinical Imaging, 2011, 35, 459-464.	1.5	20
165	Adoption of an Integrated Radiology Reading Room Within a Urologic Oncology Clinic: Initial Experience in Facilitating Clinician Consultations. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2014, 11, 496-500.	1.8	20
166	Minimization of errors in biexponential T₂ measurements of the prostate. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2015, 42, 1072-1077.	3.4	20
167	Retrospective Assessment of Histogram-Based Diffusion Metrics for Differentiating Benign and Malignant Endometrial Lesions. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2016, 40, 723-729.	0.9	20
168	Visual Assessment of the Intensity and Pattern of T1 Hyperintensity on MRI to Differentiate Hemorrhagic Renal Cysts From Renal Cell Carcinoma. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 208, 337-342.	2.2	20
169	Artificial Intelligence and Radiology: A Social Media Perspective. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2019, 48, 308-311.	1.4	20
170	Changing Utilization of Noninvasive Diagnostic Imaging Over 2 Decades: An Examination Family-Focused Analysis of Medicare Claims Using the Neiman Imaging Types of Service Categorization System. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 210, 364-368.	2.2	20
171	Assessing the Content of YouTube Videos in Educating Patients Regarding Common Imaging Examinations. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2016, 13, 1509-1513.	1.8	19
172	Characterizing the Performance of the Nationâ€™s Hospitals in the Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Programâ€™s Imaging Efficiency Measures. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2015, 12, 166-173.	1.8	18
173	New OPTN/UNOS Classification System for Nodules in Cirrhotic Livers Detected with MR Imaging: Effect on Hepatocellular Carcinoma Detection and Transplantation Allocation. Radiology, 2015, 274, 426-433.	7.3	18
174	Performance of simultaneous high temporal resolution quantitative perfusion imaging of bladder tumors and conventional multi-phase urography using a novel free-breathing continuously acquired radial compressed-sensing MRI sequence. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2016, 34, 694-698.	1.8	18
175	Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Values of Prostate Cancer: Comparison of 2D and 3D ROIs. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 210, 113-117.	2.2	18
176	Predicting Benign Prostate Pathology on Magnetic Resonance Imaging/Ultrasound Fusion Biopsy in Men with a Prior Negative 12-core Systematic Biopsy: External Validation of a Prognostic Nomogram. European Urology Focus, 2019, 5, 815-822.	3.1	18
177	Increasing Use, Geographic Variation, and Disparities in Emergency Department CT for Suspected Urolithiasis. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 1547-1553.	1.8	18
178	Influence of Enema and Dietary Restrictions on Prostate MR Image Quality: A Multireader Study. Academic Radiology, 2022, 29, 4-14.	2.5	18
179	Imaging of prostate cancer: a platform for 3D co-registration of in-vivo MRI ex-vivo MRI and pathology. Proceedings of SPIE, 2012, 8316, 83162M.	0.8	17
180	Complex cystic renal masses: Comparison of cyst complexity and Bosniak classification between 1.5T and 3T MRI. European Journal of Radiology, 2014, 83, 503-508.	2.6	17

#	Article	IF	Citations
181	Comparison of Coregistration Accuracy of Pelvic Structures Between Sequential and Simultaneous Imaging During Hybrid PET/MRI in Patients with Bladder Cancer. Clinical Nuclear Medicine, 2015, 40, 637-641.	1.3	17
182	What Patients Think About Their Interventional Radiologists: Assessment Using a Leading Physician Ratings Website. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 609-614.	1.8	17
183	Imaging Appearance of Solitary Fibrous Tumor of the Abdominopelvic Cavity. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2010, 34, 201-205.	0.9	16
184	Magnetization Transfer Contrastâ€“prepared MR Imaging of the Liver: Inability to Distinguish Healthy from Cirrhotic Liver. Radiology, 2012, 262, 136-143.	7.3	16
185	Use of a Quality Improvement Initiative to Achieve Consistent Reporting of Level of Suspicion for Tumor on Multiparametric Prostate MRI. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2016, 206, 1040-1044.	2.2	16
186	Factors Influencing Patientsâ€™ Perspectives of Radiology Imaging Centers: Evaluation Using an Online Social Media Ratings Website. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2016, 13, 210-216.	1.8	16
187	The Reading Room Coordinator: Reducing Radiologist Burnout in the Digital Age. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 65-68.	1.8	16
188	RadioGraphics Update: PI-RADS Version 2.1â€”A Pictorial Update. Radiographics, 2020, 40, E33-E37.	3.3	16
189	Radiologist-Practice Separation: Recent Trends and Characteristics. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 580-589.	1.8	16
190	A workflow to generate patient-specific three-dimensional augmented reality models from medical imaging data and example applications in urologic oncology. 3D Printing in Medicine, 2021, 7, 34.	3.1	16
191	Bladder cancer: utility of MRI in detection of occult muscle-invasive disease. Acta Radiologica, 2012, 53, 695-699.	1.1	15
192	3.0 T multiparametric prostate MRI using pelvic phased-array coil: Utility for tumor detection prior to biopsy. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2013, 31, 1430-1435.	1.6	15
193	Comparison of CT and MRI findings in the differentiation of acute from chronic cholecystitis. Clinical Imaging, 2013, 37, 687-691.	1.5	15
194	State Variation in Medical Imaging: Despite Great Variation, the Medicare Spending Decline Continues. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 205, 817-821.	2.2	15
195	Does normalisation improve the diagnostic performance of apparent diffusion coefficient values for prostate cancer assessment? A blinded independent-observer evaluation. Clinical Radiology, 2015, 70, 1032-1037.	1.1	15
196	Using Twitter to Assess the Public Response to the United States Preventive Services Task Force Guidelines on Lung Cancer Screening with Low Dose Chest CT. Journal of Digital Imaging, 2017, 30, 323-327.	2.9	15
197	Downstream Imaging Utilization After EmergencyÂDepartment Ultrasound Interpreted byÂRadiologistsÂVersus Nonradiologists: A Medicare Claimsâ€“Based Study. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 475-481.	1.8	15
198	County-Level Factors Predicting Low Uptake of Screening Mammography. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 211, 624-629.	2.2	15

#	Article	IF	Citations
199	Uncited Research Articles in Popular United States General Radiology Journals. Academic Radiology, 2019, 26, 282-285.	2.5	15
200	Gender Disparity in Industry Relationships With Academic Interventional Radiology Physicians. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 215, 494-501.	2.2	15
201	US of Incidental Adnexal Cysts: Adherence of Radiologists to the 2010 Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound Guidelines. Radiology, 2014, 271, 262-271.	7.3	14
202	Is there an association between radiologist turnaround time of emergency department abdominal CT studies and radiologic report quality?. Emergency Radiology, 2014, 21, 5-10.	1.8	14
203	Association between changes in suspicious prostate lesions on serial MRI examinations and follow-up biopsy results. Clinical Imaging, 2015, 39, 264-269.	1.5	14
204	Practical Barriers to Obtaining Pre-Biopsy Prostate MRI: Assessment in Over 1,500 Consecutive Men Undergoing Prostate Biopsy in a Single Urologic Practice. Urologia Internationalis, 2016, 97, 247-248.	1.3	14
205	Utility of diffusionâ€weighted MRI for differentiating acute from chronic cholecystitis. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2016, 44, 89-97.	3.4	14
206	The Proposed MACRA/MIPS Threshold for Patient-Facing Encounters: What It Means for Radiologists. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 308-315.	1.8	14
207	The role of whole-lesion apparent diffusion coefficient analysis for predicting outcomes of prostate cancer patients on active surveillance. Abdominal Radiology, 2017, 42, 2340-2345.	2.1	14
208	Radiology Research in Quality and Safety. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 263-272.	2.5	14
209	Utility of CT Findings in the Diagnosis of Cecal Volvulus. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 209, 762-766.	2.2	14
210	Online Interactive Case-Based Instruction in Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging Interpretation Using Prostate Imaging and Reporting Data System Version 2: Effect for Novice Readers. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2019, 48, 132-141.	1.4	14
211	The Alternative Payment Model Pathway to Radiologistsâ€™ Success in the Merit-Based Incentive Payment System. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 525-533.	1.8	14
212	Racial and Ethnic Disparities in the Use of Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging Following an Elevated Prostate-Specific Antigen Test. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2132388.	5.9	14
213	Development and Enterprise-Wide Clinical Implementation of an Enhanced Multimedia Radiology Reporting System. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2014, 11, 1178-1181.	1.8	13
214	Most Common Publication Types in Radiology Journals:. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 628-633.	2.5	13
215	Associations Between Academic Rank and Advanced Bibliometric Indices Among United States Academic Radiologists. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 1568-1572.	2.5	13
216	Hypovascular hepatic nodules at gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI: whole-lesion hepatobiliary phase histogram metrics for prediction of progression to arterial-enhancing hepatocellular carcinoma. Abdominal Radiology, 2016, 41, 63-70.	2.1	13

#	Article	IF	Citations
217	Prostate MR Imaging. Radiologic Clinics of North America, 2017, 55, 303-320.	1.8	13
218	The Qualified Clinical Data Registry: A Pathway to Success within MACRA. American Journal of Neuroradiology, 2017, 38, 1292-1296.	2.4	13
219	Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging identifies significant apical prostate cancers. BJU International, 2018, 121, 239-243.	2.5	13
220	A Radiology-focused Analysis of Transparency and Usability of Top U.S. Hospitals' Chargemasters. Academic Radiology, 2020, 27, 1603-1607.	2.5	13
221	Application of the PRECISION Trial Biopsy Strategy to a Contemporary Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Targeted Biopsy Cohortâ€"How Many Clinically Significant Prostate Cancers are Missed?. Journal of Urology, 2021, 205, 740-747.	0.4	13
222	Indeterminate Liver and Renal Lesions. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2013, 37, 882-886.	0.9	12
223	Renal masses measuring under 2cm: Pathologic outcomes and associations with MRI features. European Journal of Radiology, 2014, 83, 1311-1316.	2.6	12
224	The Radiologist as Direct Public Educator: Impact of Sessions Demystifying Select Cancer Screening Imaging Examinations. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2014, 11, 979-983.	1.8	12
225	Associations Between NIH Funding and Advanced Bibliometric Indices Among Radiological Investigators. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 669-674.	2.5	12
226	Public transparency Web sites for radiology practices: prevalence of price, clinical quality, and service quality information. Clinical Imaging, 2016, 40, 531-534.	1.5	12
227	Research Challenges and Opportunities for Clinically Oriented Academic Radiology Departments. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 43-52.	2.5	12
228	Do Incidental Hyperechoic Renal Lesions Measuring Up to 1 cm Warrant Further Imaging? Outcomes of 161 Lesions. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 209, 346-350.	2.2	12
229	Unique Medicare Beneficiaries Served: AÂRadiologist-Focused Specialty-Level Analysis. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 734-739.e2.	1.8	12
230	Use of Reduced Field-of-View Acquisition to Improve Prostate Cancer Visualization on Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Presence of Hip Implants: Report of 2 Cases. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2018, 47, 125-127.	1.4	12
231	Downstream Costs Associated With Incidental Pancreatic Cysts Detected at MRI. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 211, 1278-1282.	2.2	12
232	Prostate Cancers Detected by Magnetic Resonance Imagingâ€"Targeted Biopsies Have a Higher Percentage of Gleason Pattern 4 Component and Are Less Likely to Be Upgraded in Radical Prostatectomies. Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, 2019, 143, 86-91.	2.5	12
233	Invasive Procedural Versus Diagnostic Imaging and Clinical Services Rendered by Radiology Trainees Over Two Decades. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 845-855.	1.8	12
234	Expert radiologist review at a hepatobiliary multidisciplinary tumor board: impact on patient management. Abdominal Radiology, 2020, 45, 3800-3808.	2.1	12

#	Article	IF	Citations
235	Regional Variation in Medicare Imaging Utilization and Expenditures: 2007-2011 Trends and Comparison with Other Health Services. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2014, 11, 45-50.	1.8	11
236	Strategies for Avoiding Recommendations for Additional Imaging Through a Comprehensive Comparison With Prior Studies. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2015, 12, 657-663.	1.8	11
237	The Impact Factor of Radiological Journals: Associations with Journal Content and Other Characteristics Over a Recent 12-Year Period. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 661-668.	2.5	11
238	Preliminary investigation of whole-pancreas 3D histogram ADC metrics for predicting progression of acute pancreatitis. Clinical Imaging, 2017, 42, 172-177.	1.5	11
239	Identifying Radiologyâ€™s Place in the Expanding Landscape of Episode PaymentÂModels. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 882-888.	1.8	11
240	Characteristics of Federal Political Contributions of Self-Identified Radiologists Across the United States. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1068-1072.	1.8	11
241	The Quality Measure Crunch: How CMS Topped Out Scoring and Removal Policies Disproportionately Disadvantage Radiologists. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 110-117.	1.8	11
242	Recommendations for additional imaging on emergency department CT examinations: comparison of emergency- and organ-based subspecialty radiologists. Emergency Radiology, 2013, 20, 149-153.	1.8	10
243	Quantitative Graphical Analysis of Simultaneous Dynamic PET/MRI For Assessment of Prostate Cancer. Clinical Nuclear Medicine, 2015, 40, e236-e240.	1.3	10
244	Diffusion-weighted imaging of the liver: comparison of image quality between monopolar and bipolar acquisition schemes at 3T. Abdominal Imaging, 2015, 40, 289-298.	2.0	10
245	Implementation of Multi-parametric Prostate MRI in Clinical Practice. Current Urology Reports, 2015, 16, 56.	2.2	10
246	How Satisfied Are Patients With Their Radiologists? Assessment Using a National Patient Ratings Website. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 208, W178-W183.	2.2	10
247	The U.S. Online News Coverage of Mammography Based on a Google News Search. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 1612-1615.	2.5	10
248	Strengths and Deficiencies in the ContentÂof US Radiology Private Practicesâ€™ÂWebsites. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 431-435.	1.8	10
249	Characteristics of High-Performing Radiologists Within Medicare Quality Programs. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 842-849.	1.8	10
250	Volume and Coverage of Secondary Imaging Interpretation Under Medicare, 2003 to 2016. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1394-1400.	1.8	10
251	National Private Payer Coverage of Prostate MRI. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 24-29.	1.8	10
252	Radiologist Characteristics Associated with Interpretive Performance of Screening Mammography: A National Mammography Database (NMD) Study. Radiology, 2021, 300, 518-528.	7.3	10

#	Article	IF	Citations
253	Magnetic Resonance Imaging Appearance of Ovarian Stromal Hyperplasia and Ovarian Hyperthecosis. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2009, 33, 912-916.	0.9	9
254	Pilot study of a novel tool for inputâ€free automated identification of transition zone prostate tumors using T2â€•and diffusionâ€weighted signal and textural features. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2014, 40, 301-305.	3.4	9
255	Comparison of blood pool and extracellular gadolinium chelate for functional MR evaluation of vascular thoracic outlet syndrome. European Journal of Radiology, 2014, 83, 1209-1215.	2.6	9
256	Focused Process Improvement Events: Sustainability of Impact on Process and Performance in an Academic Radiology Department. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2015, 12, 75-81.	1.8	9
257	Advances in T1-Weighted and T2-Weighted Imaging in the Abdomen and Pelvis. Radiologic Clinics of North America, 2015, 53, 583-598.	1.8	9
258	Use of a Referring Physician Survey to Direct and Evaluate Department-Wide RadiologyÂQuality Improvement Efforts. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2015, 12, 1223-1225.	1.8	9
259	How Do Publicly Reported Medicare Quality Metrics for Radiologists Compare With Those of Other Specialty Groups?. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2016, 13, 243-248.	1.8	9
260	The Ongoing Gap in Availability of Imaging Services at Teaching Versus Nonteaching Hospitals. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 1057-1063.	2.5	9
261	Trends in Publications in Radiology Journals Designated as Relating to Patient-Centered Care. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 703-709.	1.8	9
262	Radiologists May Now Be Accountable for Containing Medicare Costs and Spending UnderÂMACRA. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 1298-1300.	1.8	9
263	The episode, the PTAC, cost, and the neurointerventionalist. Journal of NeuroInterventional Surgery, 2017, 9, 1146-1148.	3.3	9
264	Frequency and Outcomes of Incidental Breast Lesions Detected on Abdominal MRI Over a 7-Year Period. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 208, 107-113.	2.2	9
265	Opioid Prescribing Behavior of Interventional Radiologists Across theÂUnited States. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 726-733.	1.8	9
266	Diagnostic Radiologists' Participation in the American Board of Radiology Maintenance of Certification Program. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, 1284-1290.	2.2	9
267	Practice Characteristics of the United States General Radiologist Workforce: Most Generalists Work as Multispecialists. Academic Radiology, 2020, 27, 715-719.	2.5	9
268	Assessing the Appropriateness of Outpatient Abdominopelvic CT and MRI Examinations Using the American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria. Academic Radiology, 2015, 22, 158-163.	2.5	8
269	Investigation of Multisequence Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Detection of Recurrent Tumor After Transurethral Resection for Bladder Cancer. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2016, 40, 201-205.	0.9	8
270	Zoomed echo-planar diffusion tensor imaging for MR tractography of the prostate gland neurovascular bundle without an endorectal coil: a feasibility study. Abdominal Radiology, 2016, 41, 919-925.	2.1	8

#	Article	IF	Citations
271	Commentary regarding the inter-reader reproducibility of PI-RADS version 2. Abdominal Radiology, 2016, 41, 907-909.	2.1	8
272	Engaging and educating patients in prostate imaging via social media. Abdominal Radiology, 2016, 41, 798-798.	2.1	8
273	A prospective comparative analysis of the accuracy of HistoScanning and multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging in the localization of prostate cancer among men undergoing radical prostatectomy. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2016, 34, 3.e1-3.e8.	1.6	8
274	Abdominal imaging ordering patterns by referring provider specialty. Abdominal Radiology, 2017, 42, 2363-2368.	2.1	8
275	Variation in Screening Mammography Rates Among Medicare Advantage Plans. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 1013-1019.	1.8	8
276	Contextualizing the first-round failure of the AHCA: down but not out. Journal of NeuroInterventional Surgery, 2017, 9, 595-600.	3.3	8
277	Commentary regarding a recent collaborative consensus statement addressing prostate MRI and MRI-targeted biopsy in patients with a prior negative prostate biopsy. Abdominal Radiology, 2017, 42, 346-349.	2.1	8
278	Who Refers Musculoskeletal Extremity Imaging Examinations to Radiologists?. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 210, 834-841.	2.2	8
279	Physician Specialty and Radiologist Characteristics Associated with Higher Medicare Patient Complexity. Academic Radiology, 2018, 25, 219-225.	2.5	8
280	Understanding the impact of â€˜costâ€™ under MACRA: a neurointerventional imperative!. Journal of NeuroInterventional Surgery, 2018, 10, 1005-1011.	3.3	8
281	Downstream Costs Associated with Incidental Pulmonary Nodules Detected on CT. Academic Radiology, 2019, 26, 798-802.	2.5	8
282	Diagnostic Imaging Examinations Interpreted by Nurse Practitioners and Physician Assistants: A National and State-Level Medicare Claims Analysis. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, 992-997.	2.2	8
283	State-Level Variation in Inferior Vena Cava Filter Utilization Across Medicare and Commercially Insured Populations. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 212, 1385-1392.	2.2	8
284	Exploratory study of geometric distortion correction of prostate diffusionâ€weighted imaging using B₀ map acquisition. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2019, 50, 1614-1619.	3.4	8
285	Gender Differences in Modality Interpretation Among Radiologists: An Exploratory Study of Occupational Horizontal Segregation. Academic Radiology, 2020, 27, 710-714.	2.5	8
286	Identifying Barriers and Facilitators of Success for Female Radiology Researchers: An Analysis of In-Depth Interviews With Nationally Recognized Leaders of the Field. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 1344-1351.	1.8	8
287	Comparison of Radiologists and Other Specialists in the Performance of Lumbar Puncture Procedures Over Time. American Journal of Neuroradiology, 2021, 42, 1174-1181.	2.4	8
288	Pelvic Cake Kidney Drained by a Single Ureter Associated With Unicornuate Uterus. Urology, 2010, 76, 53-54.	1.0	7

#	Article	IF	Citations
289	Comparison of CT-Based Methodologies for Detection of Growth of Solid Renal Masses on Active Surveillance. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2012, 199, 373-378.	2.2	7
290	Targeted Prostate Biopsy: Opportunities and Challenges in the Era of Multiparametric Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Journal of Urology, 2012, 188, 1072-1073.	0.4	7
291	Guest Editorial: The Figley Fellowshipâ€"A Window for Junior Radiologists Into the Inner Workings of theAJR. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2014, 203, 1-2.	2.2	7
292	Combination of Increased Flip Angle, Radial k-Space Trajectory, and Free Breathing Acquisition for Improved Detection of a Biliary Variant at Living Donor Liver Transplant Evaluation Using Gadoxetic Acidâ€"Enhanced MRCP. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2014, 38, 277-280.	0.9	7
293	County-Level Population Economic Status and Medicare Imaging Resource Consumption. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 725-732.	1.8	7
294	Detection of prostate cancer local recurrence following radical prostatectomy: assessment using a continuously acquired radial golden-angle compressed sensing acquisition. Abdominal Radiology, 2017, 42, 290-297.	2.1	7
295	3D Registration of mpMRI for Assessment of Prostate Cancer Focal Therapy. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 1544-1555.	2.5	7
296	Role of prostate magnetic resonance imaging in active surveillance. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2017, 6, 444-452.	1.4	7
297	Citation Impact of Collaboration inÂRadiology Research. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 258-261.	1.8	7
298	Radiologistsâ€™ preferences regarding content of prostate MRI reports: a survey of the Society of Abdominal Radiology. Abdominal Radiology, 2018, 43, 1807-1812.	2.1	7
299	Subspecialization in radiology: effects on the diagnostic spectrum of radiologists and report turnaround time in a Swiss university hospital. Radiologia Medica, 2019, 124, 860-869.	7.7	7
300	The Need for Practical and Accurate Measures of Value for Radiology. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 810-813.	1.8	7
301	The ultrasound characteristics of regions identified as suspicious by magnetic resonance imaging (<scp>MRI</scp>) predict the likelihood of clinically significant cancer on <scp>MRI</scp>â€"ultrasound fusionâ€targeted biopsy. BJU International, 2019, 123, 439-446.	2.5	7
302	Characteristics of Radiologistsâ€™ Clinical Practice Patterns by Career Stage. Academic Radiology, 2020, 27, 262-268.	2.5	7
303	Predicted Cost Savings Achieved by the Radiology Support, Communication and Alignment Network from Reducing Medical Imaging Overutilization in the Medicare Population. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 704-712.	1.8	7
304	T1 hyperintensity of bladder urine at prostate MRI: frequency and comparison with urinalysis findings. Clinical Imaging, 2011, 35, 203-207.	1.5	6
305	Direct Interactive Public Education by Breast Radiologists About Screening Mammography: Impact on Anxiety andÂEmpowerment. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2016, 13, R89-R97.	1.8	6
306	The Radiologist as Direct Public Educator: Impact of Sessions Demystifying Select Cancer Screening Imaging Examinations. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2016, 13, R38-R42.	1.8	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
307	Anticipated Impact of the 2016 Federal Election on Federal Health Care Legislation. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 490-493.	1.8	6
308	Role of MRI prebiopsy in men at risk for prostate cancer. Current Opinion in Urology, 2017, 27, 246-253.	1.8	6
309	Foundational Changes Critical to Payments for Radiology Services. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 875-881.	1.8	6
310	JOURNAL CLUB: Informal Consultations Between Radiologists and Referring Physicians, as Identified Through an Electronic Medical Record Search. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 209, 965-969.	2.2	6
311	MRI-fusion biopsy: the contemporary experience. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2017, 6, 483-489.	1.4	6
312	Merit-Based Incentive Payment System Participation: Radiologists Can Run but Cannot Hide. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 674-680.	1.8	6
313	Expanding Role of Certified Electronic Health Records Technology in Radiology: The MACRA Mandate. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 29-33.	1.8	6
314	MRI-Targeted versus Ultrasonography-Guided Biopsy for Suspected Prostate Cancer. New England Journal of Medicine, 2018, 378, 1835-1836.	27.0	6
315	Promoting Greater Diversity and Inclusion in Radiology Research. Academic Radiology, 2019, 26, 264-269.	2.5	6
316	Radiologist Group Performance Reporting: Power in Numbers. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 1058-1063.	1.8	6
317	Providing Compassionate Care for the Elderly Patient in Radiology. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2020, 49, 67-69.	1.4	6
318	Preventing Burnout in the Face of Growing Patient Volumes in a Busy Outpatient CT Suite: A Technologist Perspective. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2020, 49, 70-73.	1.4	6
319	Clinical Practice Characteristics of Radiologists Based on American Board of Radiology Interventional Radiology Certification Status. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 214, 149-155.	2.2	6
320	Perceptions of Radiologists and Emergency Medicine Providers Regarding the Quality, Value, and Challenges of Outside Image Sharing in the Emergency Department Setting. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 214, 843-852.	2.2	6
321	Radiology Practices Employing Nurse Practitioners and Physician Assistants: Characteristics and Trends From 2017 Through 2019. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2022, 19, 746-753.	1.8	6
322	Evaluation for suspected acute appendicitis in the emergency department setting: a comparison of outcomes among three imaging pathways. Clinical Imaging, 2016, 40, 788-792.	1.5	5
323	Technologist-Directed Repeat Musculoskeletal and Chest Radiographs: How Often Do They Impact Diagnosis?. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 209, 1297-1301.	2.2	5
324	Impact of patient questionnaires on completeness of clinical information and identification of causes of pain during outpatient abdominopelvic CT interpretation. Abdominal Radiology, 2017, 42, 2946-2950.	2.1	5

#	Article	IF	Citations
325	Defining the abdominal radiologist based on the current U.S. job market. Abdominal Radiology, 2018, 43, 3184-3187.	2.1	5
326	AHCA meets BCRA; timeline, context, and future directions. Journal of NeuroInterventional Surgery, 2018, 10, 205-208.	3.3	5
327	Variation in Downstream Relative Costs Associated With Incidental Ovarian Cysts on Ultrasound. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 958-963.e1.	1.8	5
328	MACRA 2018 and the Virtual Group. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 615-617.	1.8	5
329	Exploratory Study of Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Histogram Metrics in Assessing Pancreatic Malignancy. Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal, 2019, 70, 416-423.	2.0	5
330	Facility-Based Measurement in the Merit-Based Incentive Payment System: A Potential Safety Net for Which Most Radiologists Will Be Eligible. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, 998-1002.	2.2	5
331	How Radiology Maintains Relative Value Units But Could Lose Big in Reimbursement: The Power of the ConversionÂFactor. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 542-545.	1.8	5
332	Gender Variation in Invited Presenters at Two National Radiology Specialty Meetings. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2021, 50, 472-476.	1.4	5
333	Oncologic Errors in Diagnostic Radiology: A 10-Year Analysis Based on Medical Malpractice Claims. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 1310-1316.	1.8	5
334	Who Refers Musculoskeletal Extremity Imaging Examinations to Radiologists?. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 210, 834-841.	2.2	5
335	Comparison of MRI features of pathologically proven hepatocellular carcinoma between patients with hepatitis B and hepatitis C infection. Clinical Imaging, 2016, 40, 352-356.	1.5	4
336	Contrast reaction training in US radiology residencies: a COARDRI study. Clinical Imaging, 2017, 43, 140-143.	1.5	4
337	Radiology and the New Medicare/MACRA Patient Relationship Codes. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 1180-1183.	1.8	4
338	Relativity Screens for Misvalued Medical Services: Impact on Noninvasive Diagnostic Radiology. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 1412-1418.	1.8	4
339	Associations of County-level Radiologist and Mammography Facility Supply with Screening Mammography Rates in the United States. Academic Radiology, 2018, 25, 883-888.	2.5	4
340	Double Scan CT Rates: An Opportunity for Facility-Based Radiologist Measures in the Quality Payment Program. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 429-436.	1.8	4
341	MACRA 2.5: the legislation moves forward. Journal of NeuroInterventional Surgery, 2018, 10, 1224-1228.	3.3	4
342	Technique of Multiparametric MR Imaging of the Prostate. Urologic Clinics of North America, 2018, 45, 427-438.	1.8	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
343	Transcatheter Dialysis Conduit Procedures: Changing National and State-Level Medicare Use Patterns over 15 Years. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology, 2019, 30, 1050-1056.e3.	0.5	4
344	Trends in Hospital Performance on the Medicare National Outpatient Imaging Metrics: A 5-Year Longitudinal Cohort Analysis. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 1604-1611.	1.8	4
345	Population net benefit of prostate MRI with high spatiotemporal resolution contrastâ€enhanced imaging: A decision curve analysis. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2019, 49, 1400-1408.	3.4	4
346	Changing National Medicare Utilization of Catheter, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Extremity Angiography: A Specialty-focused 16-Year Analysis. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2021, 50, 308-314.	1.4	4
347	Retrospective Assessment of the Impact of Primary Language Video Instructions on Image Quality of Abdominal MRI. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 1635-1642.	1.8	4
348	Focal therapy for prostate cancer â€“ where are we in 2011?. Therapeutic Advances in Urology, 2011, 3, 183-192.	2.0	3
349	MP86-03 PREDICTION OF OVERALL AND CLINICALLY SIGNIFICANT CANCER RISK ON MRI-TARGETED AND SYSTEMATIC PROSTATE BIOPSY USING PREBIOPSY NOMOGRAMS. Journal of Urology, 2015, 193, .	0.4	3
350	Acute Appendicitis: Use of Clinical and CT Findings for Modeling Hospital Resource Utilization. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 205, W275-W282.	2.2	3
351	MP53-11 A PRE-BIOPSY NOMOGRAM FOR PREDICTION OF THE RISK OF GLEASON SCORE = 7 PROSTATE CANCER ON COMBINED MRI-US FUSION TARGETED AND SYSTEMATIC PROSTATE BIOPSY AMONG MEN WITH NO PREVIOUS BIOPSY. Journal of Urology, 2016, 195, .	0.4	3
352	The Director of Prostate Imaging: advancing care for prostate cancer patients. Abdominal Radiology, 2017, 42, 2358-2362.	2.1	3
353	Factors Influencing List Prices for Radiologistsâ€™ Services. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 1396-1402.	1.8	3
354	Advanced Imaging Utilization and Cost Savings Among Medicare Shared Savings Program Accountable Care Organizations: An Initial Exploratory Analysis. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 396-401.	1.8	3
355	Screening Mammography Utilization and Medicare Beneficiaries' Perceptions of Their Primary Care Physicians. Academic Radiology, 2018, 25, 461-469.	2.5	3
356	Documentation, coding, and billing: what abdominal radiologists need to know. Abdominal Radiology, 2018, 43, 734-741.	2.1	3
357	Historic Physician Quality and Reporting System Reporting by Radiologists: A Wake-up Call to Avoid Penalties Under the Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act (MACRA). Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 243-249.	1.8	3
358	Current Clinical Practice Patterns of Self-Identified Nuclear Medicine Specialists. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 211, 978-985.	2.2	3
359	Exploring Which Medical Schools Cost the Most: An Assessment of Medical School Characteristics Associated With School Tuition. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2020, 49, 85-88.	1.4	3
360	MRI guided procedure planning and 3D simulation for partial gland cryoablation of the prostate: a pilot study. 3D Printing in Medicine, 2020, 6, 33.	3.1	3

#	Article	IF	Citations
361	Retrospective analysis of the effect of limited english proficiency on abdominal MRI image quality. Abdominal Radiology, 2020, 45, 2895-2901.	2.1	3
362	Magnetic resonance imaging in prostate cancer. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2017, 6, 343-344.	1.4	3
363	Osteoclast-like Giant Cell Tumor of the Renal Pelvis Associated With Urothelial Carcinoma: Computed Tomography, Gross, and Histologic Appearance. Urology, 2011, 78, 1310-1312.	1.0	2
364	Frequency of recommendations for additional imaging in diagnostic ultrasound examinations: Evaluation of radiologist, technologist, and other examination-related factors. Journal of Clinical Ultrasound, 2015, 43, 463-468.	0.8	2
365	Important nonurgent imaging findings: use of a hybrid digital and administrative support tool for facilitating clinician communication. Clinical Imaging, 2015, 39, 493-496.	1.5	2
366	Use of a Machine-learning Method for Predicting Highly Cited Articles Within General Radiology Journals. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 1573-1581.	2.5	2
367	Reply to â€œStandardizing Biparametric MRI to Simplify and Improve Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System, Version 2, in Prostate Cancer Managementâ€• American Journal of Roentgenology, 2016, 207, W76-W76.	2.2	2
368	Instructional Vignettes in Publication and Journalism Ethics in Radiology Research. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 823-829.	2.5	2
369	The American College of Radiology Incidental Findings Committee Recommendations for Management of Incidental Lymph Nodes. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 603-608.	2.5	2
370	Travel Times for Screening Mammography. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 1125-1131.	2.5	2
371	Technique of Multiparametric MR Imaging of the Prostate. Radiologic Clinics of North America, 2018, 56, 211-222.	1.8	2
372	The Media Response to the ACGMEâ€™s 2017 Relaxed Resident Duty-Hour Restrictions. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 452-457.	1.8	2
373	Reply. Urology, 2018, 112, 120.	1.0	2
374	Prostate MRI can be accurate but can variability be reduced?. Nature Reviews Urology, 2018, 15, 339-340.	3.8	2
375	Grassroots Marketing in Radiology. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 925-926.	1.8	2
376	Board Certification Characteristics of Practicing Neuroradiologists. American Journal of Neuroradiology, 2019, 40, 1610-1616.	2.4	2
377	Utilization and cost of electronic brachytherapy by dermatologists from 2012 to 2015. Journal of Dermatological Treatment, 2019, 30, 475-477.	2.2	2
378	Determining the Patient Complexity of Head CT Examinations: Implications for Proper Valuation of a Critical Imaging Service. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2020, 49, 177-181.	1.4	2

#	Article	IF	Citations
379	ACR Stakeholder Prostate Summit. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 1068-1070.	1.8	2
380	National Trends in Oncologic Diagnostic Imaging. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 1116-1122.	1.8	2
381	Value of the New General Radiologist in Private Practice. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 786-788.	1.8	2
382	The Yellow Journal: Changes Continue. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2022, 218, 1-4.	2.2	2
383	Turning a Page in the Yellow Journal: Figure Legends and Gender-Inclusive Patient Descriptors. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2022, 219, 1-2.	2.2	2
384	Comparison of Prostate Imaging and Reporting Data System V2.0 and V2.1 for Evaluation of Transition Zone Lesions: A 5-Reader 202-Patient Analysis. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2022, 46, 523-529.	0.9	2
385	Radial T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging: Background, clinical applications, and future directions. , 0, , 24-33.		2
386	Unilateral Adenocarcinoma and High-Grade Prostatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia in Prostatectomies: Possible Implication for Patient Care. American Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2012, 138, A110-A110.	0.7	1
387	Recent Developments in Multiparametric Prostate MR Imaging. Current Radiology Reports, 2014, 2, 1.	1.4	1
388	Abdominopelvic MRI for Lesion Characterization After Prior Imaging: Factors Associated With Likelihood of Added Value. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2014, 202, 1037-1042.	2.2	1
389	Differentiation of deep venous thrombosis from femoral vein mixing artifact on routine abdominopelvic CT. Abdominal Imaging, 2015, 40, 3191-3195.	2.0	1
390	Continued Evolution of Clinical Decision Support Tools for Guiding Imaging Utilization. Academic Radiology, 2015, 22, 542-543.	2.5	1
391	Use of a web-based image reporting and tracking system for assessing abdominal imaging examination quality issues in a single practice. Abdominal Imaging, 2015, 40, 3354-3358.	2.0	1
392	The â€œUnconferenceâ€•in Radiological Society Meetings. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 3-5.	2.5	1
393	Reply to â€œRetracted Publications Within Journals: Further Causes for Concernâ€• American Journal of Roentgenology, 2016, 207, W7-W7.	2.2	1
394	Temporal and Patient Variations Potentially Impacting New Payment Models. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 452-458.	1.8	1
395	Early Experience in the Implementation of an Abdominal Imaging Junior Fellowship for Fourth-Year Radiology Residents. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 541-544.	1.8	1
396	Variation in Patients' Travel Times among Imaging Examination Types at a Large Academic Health System. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 1008-1012.	2.5	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
397	Genitourinary Imaging: An Update. Radiologic Clinics of North America, 2017, 55, xi.	1.8	1
398	Leveraging Mega-trends in Medicine Today to Enhance Patient Care in Radiology Tomorrow. Academic Radiology, 2018, 25, 1-2.	2.5	1
399	Characteristics of the Most Recently Awarded Magnetic Resonance Imaging Patents in the United States. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2018, 47, 302-304.	1.4	1
400	Exploring CMS Quality Measure #405 for Small Incidental Abdominal Lesions. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1243-1245.	1.8	1
401	In comparison with other abdominal imaging modalities, which radiologists interpret abdominal MRI?. Abdominal Radiology, 2019, 44, 2656-2662.	2.1	1
402	Diffusion-weighted Imaging of Prostate Cancer: Revisiting Occamâ€™s Razor. Radiology, 2019, 291, 398-399.	7.3	1
403	MRI Interpretation Volumes: Consideration of Setting a Bar. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 312-313.	1.8	1
404	Enhancing communication in radiology using a hybrid computer-human based system. Clinical Imaging, 2020, 61, 95-98.	1.5	1
405	Focal lesions in the cirrhotic liver. , 0, , 17-23.		1
406	Magnetic Resonance Sentinel Lymph Node Detection in Prostate Cancer. Academic Radiology, 2015, 22, 545-547.	2.5	0
407	The Federal Value Modifier Program Is Biased Against Specialist Physicians. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017, 14, 1035-1037.	1.8	0
408	Participation and payments in the PQRS Maintenance of Certification Program: Implications for future merit based payment programs. Healthcare, 2018, 6, 28-32.	1.3	0
409	Authorsâ€™ Reply. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1205.	1.8	0
410	Authorsâ€™ Reply. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1067.	1.8	0
411	Reply to Byung Kwan Park's Letter to the Editor re: Baris Turkbey, Andrew B. Rosenkrantz, Masoom A. Haider, et al. Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System Version 2.1: 2019 Update of Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System Version 2. Eur Urol 2019;76:329â€“40. European Urology, 2019, 76, e79.	1.9	0
412	Characteristics of Physicians and Other Providers Frequently Ordering Intravenous Pyelograms. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 1153-1157.	1.8	0
413	Performance of Internists and Medicine Specialists in Medicare Quality Metrics: Variation by Specialty and Other Physician Characteristics. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2019, 34, 20-22.	2.6	0
414	Editor's Notebook: August 2020. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 215, 265-266.	2.2	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
415	Editor's Notebook: September 2020. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 215, 521-522.	2.2	0
416	Editor's Notebook: December 2020. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 215, 1301-1302.	2.2	0
417	Reply to â€œBroadening Stakeholder Perspectives on Maintenance of Certification Researchâ€• American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 214, W83-W83.	2.2	0
418	Editor's Notebook: January 2021. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 216, 1-2.	2.2	0
419	Editor's Notebook: February 2021. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 216, 273-274.	2.2	0
420	Editorâ€™s Notebook: March 2021. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 216, 561-562.	2.2	0
421	Editorâ€™s Notebook: April 2021. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 216, 849-850.	2.2	0
422	Changes in Current Procedural Terminology Coding and Its Effect on Specialty-Level Utilization of Musculoskeletal Ultrasound. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2021, 50, 337-343.	1.4	0
423	Editorâ€™s Notebook: May 2021. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 216, 1137-1138.	2.2	0
424	Editor's Notebook: June 2021. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 216, 1409-1410.	2.2	0
425	Editor's Notebook: July 2021. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 217, 1-2.	2.2	0
426	Editor's Notebook: August 2021. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 217, 263-264.	2.2	0
427	Editor's Notebook: September 2021. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 217, 527-528.	2.2	0
428	Evolving Radiologist Participation in Medicare Shared Savings Program Accountable Care Organizations. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 1332-1341.	1.8	0
429	Editor's Notebook: October 2021. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 217, 773-774.	2.2	0
430	Editor's Notebook: November 2021. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 217, 1025-1026.	2.2	0
431	Reply to â€œDefining â€˜Voluntaryâ€™â€• American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 215, W22-W22.	2.2	0
432	Editor's Notebook: October 2020. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 215, 783-784.	2.2	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
433	Editor's Notebook: November 2020. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 215, 1047-1048.	2.2	0
434	Editor's Notebook: December 2021. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 217, 1261-1262.	2.2	0
435	Editor's Notebook: January 2022. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2022, 218, 5-6.	2.2	0
436	Editor's Notebook: February 2022. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2022, 218, 200-201.	2.2	0
437	Editor's Notebook: March 2022. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2022, 218, 393-395.	2.2	0
438	Editor's Notebook: April 2022. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2022, 218, 567-568.	2.2	0
439	Editor's Notebook: May 2022. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2022, 218, 765-766.	2.2	0
440	Editor's Notebook: June 2022. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2022, 218, 929-930.	2.2	0