Dag W Aksnes

List of Publications by Citations

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3122719/dag-w-aksnes-publications-by-citations.pdf

Version: 2024-04-09

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

1,908 35 21 39 h-index g-index citations papers 5.67 2.5 39 2,355 L-index avg, IF ext. citations ext. papers

#	Paper	IF	Citations
35	Characteristics of highly cited papers. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2003 , 12, 159-170	1.7	279
34	A macro study of self-citation. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2003 , 56, 235-246	3	198
33	Citations, Citation Indicators, and Research Quality: An Overview of Basic Concepts and Theories. <i>SAGE Open</i> , 2019 , 9, 215824401982957	1.5	195
32	Does self-citation pay?. Scientometrics, 2007, 72, 427-437	3	154
31	Peer reviews and bibliometric indicators: a comparative study at a Norwegian university. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2004 , 13, 33-41	1.7	111
30	Publication rate expressed by age, gender and academic position [A large-scale analysis of Norwegian academic staff. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 317-333	3.1	89
29	Researchers[perceptions of citations. <i>Research Policy</i> , 2009 , 38, 895-905	7.5	80
28	Are female researchers less cited? A large-scale study of Norwegian scientists. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2011 , 62, 628-636		79
27	Ranking national research systems by citation indicators. A comparative analysis using whole and fractionalised counting methods. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 36-43	3.1	78
26	The effect of highly cited papers on national citation indicators. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2004 , 59, 213-224	3	72
25	Citation rates and perceptions of scientific contribution. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2006 , 57, 169-185		70
24	A macro analysis of productivity differences across fields: Challenges in the measurement of scientific publishing. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2013 , 64, 307-32	20	66
23	A Criteria-based Assessment of the Coverage of Scopus and Web of Science. <i>Journal of Data and Information Science</i> , 2019 , 4, 1-21	1.2	66
22	Scientific Productivity and Group Size: A Bibliometric Analysis of Norwegian Microbiological Research. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2000 , 49, 125-143	3	61
21	Explaining the increase in publication productivity among academic staff: a generational perspective. <i>Studies in Higher Education</i> , 2015 , 40, 1438-1453	2.6	49
20	Are mobile researchers more productive and cited than non-mobile researchers? A large-scale study of Norwegian scientists. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2013 , 22, 215-223	1.7	34
19	Excellence and growth dynamics: A comparative study of the Matthew effect. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2015 , 42, 661-675	1.8	32

An overview of global research effort in fisheries science. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 2016, 73, 1004-10:11 29 18 The effect of booming countries on changes in the relative specialization index (RSI) on country 17 23 level. Scientometrics, 2014, 101, 1391-1401 When different persons have an identical author name. How frequent are homonyms?. Journal of 16 23 the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2008, 59, 838-841 Gender gaps in international research collaboration: a bibliometric approach. Scientometrics, 2019, 15 120, 747-774 The Structure and Development of Polar Research (1981\(\textstyle{0}007 \)): a Publication-Based Approach. 1.8 14 21 Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 2009, 41, 155-163 Science policy and the driving forces behind the internationalisation of science: the case of Norway. 1.8 13 19 Science and Public Policy, **2008**, 35, 445-457 Validation of Bibliometric Indicators in the Field of Microbiology: A Norwegian Case Study. 12 15 3 Scientometrics, 2000, 49, 7-22 Comparison of research performance of Italian and Norwegian professors and universities. Journal 11 3.1 11 of Informetrics, 2020, 14, 101023 Gender differences in research performance within and between countries: Italy vs Norway. Journal 10 3.1 7 of Informetrics, 2021, 15, 101144 How does prolific professors influence on the citation impact of their university departments?. 6 9 Scientometrics, 2016, 107, 941-961 8 The role of metrics in peer assessments. Research Evaluation, 2021, 30, 112-126 1.7 5 A Norwegian pillar in Svalbard: the development of the University Centre in Svalbard (UNIS). Polar 0.5 4 Record, **2017**, 53, 233-244 Johan Hjort's impact on fisheries science: a bibliometric analysis. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 2.7 4 2014, 71, 2012-2016 Measuring the productivity of national R&D systems: Challenges in cross-national comparisons of 1.8 5 R&D input and publication output indicators. Science and Public Policy, 2016, scw058 Generational differences in international research collaboration: A bibliometric study of Norwegian 3.7 1 University staff. *PLoS ONE*, **2021**, 16, e0260239 Lone Geniuses or One among Many? An Explorative Study of Contemporary Highly Cited 1.2 Researchers. Journal of Data and Information Science, 2021, Gendering excellence through research productivity indicators. Gender and Education, 1-15 1.3 O Identifying gender disparities in research performance: the importance of comparing apples with apples. Higher Education,1