

# Tzyy Haur Chong

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3059810/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

80  
papers

4,079  
citations

109264

35  
h-index

118793

62  
g-index

81  
all docs

81  
docs citations

81  
times ranked

3363  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Colloidal interactions and fouling of NF and RO membranes: A review. <i>Advances in Colloid and Interface Science</i> , 2011, 164, 126-143.	7.0	559
2	The potential to enhance membrane module design with 3D printing technology. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2016, 499, 480-490.	4.1	238
3	Thermodynamics and kinetics for mixed calcium carbonate and calcium sulfate precipitation. <i>Chemical Engineering Science</i> , 2001, 56, 5391-5400.	1.9	132
4	Fundamentals of low-pressure nanofiltration: Membrane characterization, modeling, and understanding the multi-ionic interactions in water softening. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2017, 521, 18-32.	4.1	128
5	Fouling propensity of forward osmosis: investigation of the slower flux decline phenomenon. <i>Water Science and Technology</i> , 2010, 61, 927-936.	1.2	127
6	The effect of imposed flux on biofouling in reverse osmosis: Role of concentration polarisation and biofilm enhanced osmotic pressure phenomena. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2008, 325, 840-850.	4.1	122
7	3D Printing of Multilayered and Multimaterial Electronics: A Review. <i>Advanced Electronic Materials</i> , 2021, 7, 2100445.	2.6	119
8	Implications of critical flux and cake enhanced osmotic pressure (CEOP) on colloidal fouling in reverse osmosis: Experimental observations. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2008, 314, 101-111.	4.1	115
9	A review of fouling indices and monitoring techniques for reverse osmosis. <i>Desalination</i> , 2018, 434, 169-188.	4.0	98
10	Quorum quenching in anaerobic membrane bioreactor for fouling control. <i>Water Research</i> , 2019, 156, 159-167.	5.3	91
11	The feasibility of nanofiltration membrane bioreactor (NF-MBR)+reverse osmosis (RO) process for water reclamation: Comparison with ultrafiltration membrane bioreactor (UF-MBR)+RO process. <i>Water Research</i> , 2018, 129, 180-189.	5.3	87
12	Energy-efficient desalination by forward osmosis using responsive ionic liquid draw solutes. <i>Environmental Science: Water Research and Technology</i> , 2015, 1, 341-347.	1.2	84
13	Design and development of layer-by-layer based low-pressure antifouling nanofiltration membrane used for water reclamation. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2019, 584, 309-323.	4.1	80
14	Analyzing the Evolution of Membrane Fouling via a Novel Method Based on 3D Optical Coherence Tomography Imaging. <i>Environmental Science &amp; Technology</i> , 2016, 50, 6930-6939.	4.6	79
15	Enhanced concentration polarization by unstirred fouling layers in reverse osmosis: Detection by sodium chloride tracer response technique. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2007, 287, 198-210.	4.1	78
16	Energy-efficient reverse osmosis desalination process. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2015, 473, 177-188.	4.1	69
17	3D printing by selective laser sintering of polypropylene feed channel spacers for spiral wound membrane modules for the water industry. <i>Virtual and Physical Prototyping</i> , 2016, 11, 151-158.	5.3	68
18	Comparison of solid, liquid and powder forms of 3D printing techniques in membrane spacer fabrication. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2017, 537, 283-296.	4.1	66

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Monitoring membrane biofouling via ultrasonic time-domain reflectometry enhanced by silica dosing. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2013, 428, 24-37.	4.1	65
20	Improved performance of gravity-driven membrane filtration for seawater pretreatment: Implications of membrane module configuration. <i>Water Research</i> , 2017, 114, 59-68.	5.3	62
21	Layer-by-layer assembly based low pressure biocatalytic nanofiltration membranes for micropollutants removal. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2020, 615, 118514.	4.1	61
22	Fouling behavior of isolated dissolved organic fractions from seawater in reverse osmosis (RO) desalination process. <i>Water Research</i> , 2019, 159, 385-396.	5.3	54
23	Strategic Co-Location in a Hybrid Process Involving Desalination and Pressure Retarded Osmosis (PRO). <i>Membranes</i> , 2013, 3, 98-125.	1.4	53
24	Relating transport modeling to nanofiltration membrane fabrication: Navigating the permeability-selectivity trade-off in desalination pretreatment. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2018, 554, 26-38.	4.1	52
25	Prototype aquaporin-based forward osmosis membrane: Filtration properties and fouling resistance. <i>Desalination</i> , 2018, 445, 75-84.	4.0	52
26	Gravity-driven microfiltration pretreatment for reverse osmosis (RO) seawater desalination: Microbial community characterization and RO performance. <i>Desalination</i> , 2017, 418, 1-8.	4.0	50
27	Physiological Responses of Salinity-Stressed <i>Vibrio</i> sp. and the Effect on the Biofilm Formation on a Nanofiltration Membrane. <i>Environmental Science &amp; Technology</i> , 2017, 51, 1249-1258.	4.6	50
28	The fouling potential of colloidal silica and humic acid and their mixtures. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2013, 433, 112-120.	4.1	48
29	A review on spacers and membranes: Conventional or hybrid additive manufacturing?. <i>Water Research</i> , 2021, 188, 116497.	5.3	46
30	Biofouling in reverse osmosis processes: The roles of flux, crossflow velocity and concentration polarization in biofilm development. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2014, 467, 116-125.	4.1	45
31	Effects of spacer orientations on the cake formation during membrane fouling: Quantitative analysis based on 3D OCT imaging. <i>Water Research</i> , 2017, 110, 1-14.	5.3	45
32	Dynamics of biofilm formation under different nutrient levels and the effect on biofouling of a reverse osmosis membrane system. <i>Biofouling</i> , 2013, 29, 319-330.	0.8	44
33	Enhancing fouling mitigation of submerged flat-sheet membranes by vibrating 3D-spacers. <i>Separation and Purification Technology</i> , 2019, 215, 70-80.	3.9	44
34	Impact of membrane bioreactor operating conditions on fouling behavior of reverse osmosis membranes in MBR-RO processes. <i>Desalination</i> , 2013, 311, 37-45.	4.0	39
35	Modeling of NF/RO membrane fouling and flux decline using real-time observations. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2019, 576, 66-77.	4.1	39
36	Energy-efficient reverse osmosis desalination: Effect of retentate recycle and pump and energy recovery device efficiencies. <i>Desalination</i> , 2015, 366, 15-31.	4.0	36

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	The effect of different surface conditioning layers on bacterial adhesion on reverse osmosis membranes. <i>Desalination</i> , 2016, 387, 1-13.	4.0	36
38	Spacer vibration for fouling control of submerged flat sheet membranes. <i>Separation and Purification Technology</i> , 2019, 210, 719-728.	3.9	36
39	Anti-fouling piezoelectric PVDF membrane: Effect of morphology on dielectric and piezoelectric properties. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2021, 620, 118818.	4.1	35
40	Biocarriers facilitated gravity-driven membrane (GDM) reactor for wastewater reclamation: Effect of intermittent aeration cycle. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2019, 694, 133719.	3.9	34
41	Recycling rainwater by submerged gravity-driven membrane (GDM) reactors: Effect of hydraulic retention time and periodic backwash. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2019, 654, 10-18.	3.9	34
42	Incorporation of barium titanate nanoparticles in piezoelectric PVDF membrane. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2021, 640, 119861.	4.1	32
43	A comparison of gravity-driven membrane (GDM) reactor and biofiltration+ GDM reactor for seawater reverse osmosis desalination pretreatment. <i>Water Research</i> , 2019, 154, 72-83.	5.3	31
44	Integration of an anaerobic fluidized-bed membrane bioreactor (MBR) with zeolite adsorption and reverse osmosis (RO) for municipal wastewater reclamation: Comparison with an anoxic-aerobic MBR coupled with RO. <i>Chemosphere</i> , 2020, 245, 125569.	4.2	30
45	Layer-by-layer aided $\beta$ -cyclodextrin nanofilm for precise organic solvent nanofiltration. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2022, 652, 120466.	4.1	29
46	Role of initially formed cake layers on limiting membrane fouling in membrane bioreactors. <i>Bioresource Technology</i> , 2012, 118, 589-593.	4.8	28
47	Design and modeling of novel low-pressure nanofiltration hollow fiber modules for water softening and desalination pretreatment. <i>Desalination</i> , 2018, 439, 58-72.	4.0	27
48	Enhancing performance of biocarriers facilitated gravity-driven membrane (GDM) reactor for decentralized wastewater treatment: Effect of internal recirculation and membrane packing density. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2021, 762, 144104.	3.9	26
49	Potential of Printed Electrodes for Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS): Toward Membrane Fouling Detection. <i>Advanced Electronic Materials</i> , 2021, 7, 2100043.	2.6	26
50	Colloidal metastability and membrane fouling – Effects of crossflow velocity, flux, salinity and colloid concentration. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2014, 469, 174-187.	4.1	25
51	Gravity-driven membrane (GDM) filtration of algae-polluted surface water. <i>Journal of Water Process Engineering</i> , 2020, 36, 101257.	2.6	25
52	Direct membrane filtration of municipal wastewater: Linking periodical physical cleaning with fouling mechanisms. <i>Separation and Purification Technology</i> , 2021, 259, 118125.	3.9	25
53	Fouling mitigation in reverse osmosis processes with 3D printed sinusoidal spacers. <i>Water Research</i> , 2021, 207, 117818.	5.3	25
54	Implications of critical flux and cake enhanced osmotic pressure (CEOP) on colloidal fouling in reverse osmosis: Modeling approach. <i>Desalination and Water Treatment</i> , 2009, 8, 68-90.	1.0	24

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Fouling in reverse osmosis: Detection by non-invasive techniques. <i>Desalination</i> , 2007, 204, 148-154.	4.0	23
56	Process economics and operating strategy for the energy-efficient reverse osmosis (EERO) process. <i>Desalination</i> , 2018, 443, 70-84.	4.0	22
57	Development of a new technique to predict reverse osmosis fouling. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2013, 448, 12-22.	4.1	21
58	Online monitor for the reverse osmosis spiral wound module " Development of the canary cell. <i>Desalination</i> , 2015, 368, 48-59.	4.0	21
59	Prediction of reverse osmosis fouling using the feed fouling monitor and salt tracer response technique. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2015, 475, 433-444.	4.1	21
60	Mitigation of membrane fouling in a seawater-driven forward osmosis system for waste activated sludge thickening. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2019, 241, 118373.	4.6	21
61	Impact of salt accumulation in the bioreactor on the performance of nanofiltration membrane bioreactor (NF-MBR)+Reverse osmosis (RO) process for water reclamation. <i>Water Research</i> , 2020, 170, 115352.	5.3	19
62	Numerical model-based analysis of energy-efficient reverse osmosis (EERO) process: Performance simulation and optimization. <i>Desalination</i> , 2019, 453, 10-21.	4.0	17
63	Implications of enhancing critical flux of particulates by AC fields in RO desalination and reclamation. <i>Desalination</i> , 2008, 220, 371-379.	4.0	15
64	Characterization of colloidal fouling in forward osmosis via ultrasonic time- (UTDR) and frequency-domain reflectometry (UFDR). <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2020, 602, 117969.	4.1	15
65	Biofouling control potential of tannic acid, ellagic acid, and epigallocatechin against <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> and reverse osmosis membrane multispecies community. <i>Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry</i> , 2015, 30, 204-211.	2.9	14
66	The efficacy of tannic acid in controlling biofouling by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> is dependent on nutrient conditions and bacterial density. <i>International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation</i> , 2015, 104, 74-82.	1.9	13
67	Fouling and mitigation mechanisms during direct microfiltration and ultrafiltration of primary wastewater. <i>Journal of Water Process Engineering</i> , 2021, 44, 102331.	2.6	13
68	The involvement of lectins and lectin-like humic substances in biofilm formation on RO membranes - is TEP important?. <i>Desalination</i> , 2016, 399, 61-68.	4.0	12
69	Impact of isolated dissolved organic fractions from seawater on biofouling in reverse osmosis (RO) desalination process. <i>Water Research</i> , 2020, 168, 115198.	5.3	12
70	Ethanol recovery from dilute aqueous solution by perstraction using supported ionic liquid membrane (SILM). <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2021, 298, 126811.	4.6	12
71	Flux-Dependent Fouling Phenomena in Membrane Bioreactors under Different Food to Microorganisms (F/M) Ratios. <i>Separation Science and Technology</i> , 2013, 48, 840-848.	1.3	9
72	Characterizing spatial distribution of fouling on flat-sheet membranes in a pilot-scale gravity-driven membrane reactor for seawater pretreatment. <i>Journal of Water Process Engineering</i> , 2021, 44, 102436.	2.6	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Biocarriers facilitated gravity-driven membrane filtration of domestic wastewater in cold climate: Combined effect of temperature and periodic cleaning. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2022, 833, 155248.	3.9	7
74	Development of a quorum quenching-column to control biofouling in reverse osmosis water treatment processes. <i>Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry</i> , 2021, 94, 188-194.	2.9	6
75	Centrifugal reverse osmosis (CRO) as a novel energy-efficient membrane process for desalination near local thermodynamic equilibrium. <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2021, 637, 119630.	4.1	6
76	Fouling reduction in MBR-RO processes: the effect of MBR F/M ratio. <i>Desalination and Water Treatment</i> , 2013, 51, 4829-4838.	1.0	5
77	Membrane filtration of manganese (II) remediated-microalgae: Manganese (II) removal, extracellular organic matter, and membrane fouling. <i>Algal Research</i> , 2021, 55, 102279.	2.4	5
78	Characterization of membrane wetting phenomenon by ionic liquid via ultrasonic time-domain reflectometry (UTDR). <i>Journal of Membrane Science</i> , 2022, 641, 119949.	4.1	4
79	Online monitoring of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) by a novel membrane-based spectrophotometric method. <i>Chemosphere</i> , 2019, 220, 107-115.	4.2	3
80	Critical flux of gum arabic: Implications for fouling and fractionation performance of membranes. <i>Food and Bioproducts Processing</i> , 2016, 97, 41-47.	1.8	2