Kay L Ritchie

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3041058/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



KAY L RITCHIE

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
1	Identity From Variation: Representations of Faces Derived From Multiple Instances. Cognitive Science, 2016, 40, 202-223.	1.7	157
2	The effect of face masks and sunglasses on identity and expression recognition with super-recognizers and typical observers. Royal Society Open Science, 2021, 8, 201169.	2.4	102
3	Learning faces from variability. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2017, 70, 897-905.	1.1	98
4	To which world regions does the valence–dominance model of social perception apply?. Nature Human Behaviour, 2021, 5, 159-169.	12.0	85
5	Viewers extract the mean from images of the same person: A route to face learning. Journal of Vision, 2015, 15, 1.	0.3	53
6	Viewers base estimates of face matching accuracy on their own familiarity: Explaining the photo-ID paradox. Cognition, 2015, 141, 161-169.	2.2	53
7	Consciousness of the first order in blindsight. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2010, 107, 21217-21222.	7.1	45
8	Forming impressions of facial attractiveness is mandatory. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 469.	3.3	44
9	Disguising Superman: How Glasses Affect Unfamiliar Face Matching. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2016, 30, 841-845.	1.6	41
10	Inter-rater agreement in trait judgements from faces. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0202655.	2.5	30
11	Making a Spectacle of Yourself: The Effect of Glasses and Sunglasses on Face Perception. Perception, 2019, 48, 461-470.	1.2	28
12	What makes a face photo a †̃good likeness'?. Cognition, 2018, 170, 1-8.	2.2	24
13	Face morphing attacks: Investigating detection with humans and computers. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2019, 4, 28.	2.0	24
14	Face averages and multiple images in a live matching task. British Journal of Psychology, 2020, 111, 92-102.	2.3	21
15	Multiple-image arrays in face matching tasks with and without memory. Cognition, 2021, 211, 104632.	2.2	16
16	Enhancing CCTV: Averages improve face identification from poorâ€quality images. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2018, 32, 671-680.	1.6	13
17	Unfamiliar face matching, within-person variability, and multiple-image arrays. Visual Cognition, 2021, 29, 143-157.	1.6	11
18	Public attitudes towards the use of automatic facial recognition technology in criminal justice systems around the world. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0258241.	2.5	11

Kay L Ritchie

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Familiarity is familiarity is familiarity: Event-related brain potentials reveal qualitatively similar representations of personally familiar and famous faces Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2022, 48, 1144-1164.	0.9	11
20	Searching for faces in crowd chokepoint videos. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2020, 34, 343-356.	1.6	10
21	Trans-saccadic priming in hemianopia: Sighted-field sensitivity is boosted by a blind-field prime. Neuropsychologia, 2012, 50, 997-1005.	1.6	8
22	Familiarity does not inhibit image-specific encoding of faces Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2019, 45, 841-854.	0.9	8
23	The importance of first impression judgements in interspecies interactions. Scientific Reports, 2020, 10, 2218.	3.3	7
24	The Effect of Fear in the Periphery in Binocular Rivalry. Perception, 2011, 40, 1395-1401.	1.2	6
25	The importance of out-group characteristics for the own-group face memory bias. Visual Cognition, 2021, 29, 263-276.	1.6	6
26	Eye rivalry and object rivalry in the intact and split-brain. Vision Research, 2013, 91, 102-107.	1.4	4
27	The pairs training effect in unfamiliar face matching. Perception, 2022, 51, 477-495.	1.2	3
28	Redundancy Gain in Binocular Rivalry. Perception, 2014, 43, 1316-1328.	1.2	1
29	Having options alters the attractiveness of familiar versus novel faces: Sex differences and similarities. Computers in Human Behavior, 2021, 124, 106937.	8.5	1
30	THE IMPORTANCE OF IMAGE PROPERTIES IN THE NEURAL REPRESENTATION OF FAMILIAR FACES. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 252.	0.3	0