

Jakub Å rol

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2954197/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

17
papers

600
citations

1163117

8
h-index

996975

15
g-index

26
all docs

26
docs citations

26
times ranked

389
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	How scientific reasoning correlates with health-related beliefs and behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic?. <i>Journal of Health Psychology</i> , 2022, 27, 534-547.	2.3	62
2	How are you holding up? Personality, cognitive and social predictors of a perceived shift in subjective well-being during COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Personality and Individual Differences</i> , 2022, 186, 111349.	2.9	14
3	Being Nice or Being Scared? Personality Traits, Beliefs and Threat of COVID-19 as Predictors of Non-Normative Health Behaviors during Second Wave of Pandemic. <i>Studia Psychologica</i> , 2022, 64, 45-63.	0.5	1
4	Antecedents and consequences of COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs: A systematic review. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2022, 301, 114912.	3.8	138
5	Predicting individual differences in conflict detection and bias susceptibility during reasoning. <i>Thinking and Reasoning</i> , 2021, 27, 38-68.	3.2	24
6	When we are worried, what are we thinking? Anxiety, lack of control, and conspiracy beliefs amidst the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2021, 35, 720-729.	1.6	121
7	Does Concrete Content Help People to Reason Scientifically?. <i>Science and Education</i> , 2021, 30, 809-826.	2.7	10
8	The impact of stereotyped perceptions of entrepreneurship and gender-role orientation on Slovak women's entrepreneurial intentions. <i>Gender in Management</i> , 2021, 36, 745-761.	1.9	9
9	Cognitive Predictors of Delay Discounting in Monetary Choices. <i>Studia Psychologica</i> , 2021, 63, 129-142.	0.5	5
10	Finding Someone to Blame: The Link Between COVID-19 Conspiracy Beliefs, Prejudice, Support for Violence, and Other Negative Social Outcomes. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2021, 12, 726076.	2.1	17
11	Why should we try to think like scientists? Scientific reasoning and susceptibility to epistemically suspect beliefs and cognitive biases. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2020, 34, 85-95.	1.6	42
12	Individual differences in logical intuitions on reasoning problems presented under two-response paradigm. <i>Journal of Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2020, 32, 460-477.	0.9	8
13	Reception and willingness to share pseudo-profound bullshit and their relation to other epistemically suspect beliefs and cognitive ability in Slovakia and Romania. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2019, 33, 299-311.	1.6	35
14	Dissecting the expanded cognitive reflection test: an item response theory analysis. <i>Journal of Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2018, 30, 643-655.	0.9	3
15	My point is valid, yours is not: myside bias in reasoning about abortion. <i>Journal of Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2018, 30, 656-669.	0.9	17
16	These Problems Sound Familiar to Me: Previous Exposure, Cognitive Reflection Test, and the Moderating Role of Analytic Thinking. <i>Studia Psychologica</i> , 2018, 60, 195-208.	0.5	4
17	Individual differences in epistemically suspect beliefs: the role of analytic thinking and susceptibility to cognitive biases. <i>Thinking and Reasoning</i> , 0, , 1-38.	3.2	14