Luis Mundaca

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2917462/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



Τιμε Μυνραςα

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Assessing the impacts of social norms on low-carbon mobility options. Energy Policy, 2022, 162, 112814.	4.2	9
2	Rethinking political agency: The role of individuals' engagement, perceptions and trust in transitioning to a low-carbon transport system. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2022, 360, 132197.	4.6	2
3	Energy Efficiency: What Has Research Delivered in the Last 40 Years?. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 2021, 46, 135-165.	5.6	41
4	New Perspectives on Green Energy Defaults. Journal of Consumer Policy, 2021, 44, 357-383.	0.6	2
5	Phasing out fossil fuel subsidies in the EU? Exploring the role of state aid rules. Climate Policy, 2021, 21, 1037-1052.	2.6	5
6	Assessing the economic benefits of active transport policy pathways: Opportunities from a local perspective. Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives, 2021, 11, 100456.	1.6	1
7	Linking internal and external transformation for sustainability and climate action: Towards a new research and policy agenda. Global Environmental Change, 2021, 71, 102373.	3.6	64
8	Who are Hard-to-Reach energy users? Segments, barriers and approaches to engage them , 2021, , .		0
9	What drives home solar PV uptake? Subsidies, peer effects and visibility in Sweden. Energy Research and Social Science, 2020, 60, 101319.	3.0	114
10	Behavioural economics for energy and climate change policies and the transition to a sustainable energy use—A Scandinavian perspective. , 2020, , 45-87.		3
11	Circular Economy in Home Textiles: Motivations of IKEA Consumers in Sweden. Sustainability, 2020, 12, 5030.	1.6	12
12	Enabling new mindsets and transformative skills for negotiating and activating climate action: Lessons from UNFCCC conferences of the parties. Environmental Science and Policy, 2020, 112, 227-235.	2.4	56
13	Who are Hard-to-Reach energy users? Segments, barriers and approaches to engage them , 2020, , .		1
14	The global expansion of climate mitigation policy interventions, the Talanoa Dialogue and the role of behavioural insights. Environmental Research Communications, 2019, 1, 061001.	0.9	26
15	Is one carbon price enough? Assessing the effects of payment vehicle choice on willingness to pay in Sweden. Energy Research and Social Science, 2019, 52, 30-40.	3.0	20
16	Recalibrating climate prospects. Environmental Research Letters, 2019, 14, 120201.	2.2	19
17	Demand-side approaches for limiting global warming to 1.5°C. Energy Efficiency, 2019, 12, 343-362.	1.3	66
18	â€~Successful' low-carbon energy transitions at the community level? An energy justice perspective. Applied Energy, 2018, 218, 292-303.	5.1	111

Luis Mundaca

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Onâ€Bill Financing Programs to Support Lowâ€Carbon Energy Technologies: An Agentâ€Oriented Assessment. Review of Policy Research, 2018, 35, 502-534.	2.8	8
20	Has electricity turned green or black in Chile? A structural decomposition analysis of energy consumption. Energy, 2018, 162, 282-298.	4.5	18
21	Making â€~Smart Meters' smarter? Insights from a behavioural economics pilot field experiment in Copenhagen, Denmark. Energy Research and Social Science, 2017, 28, 68-76.	3.0	52
22	Building local institutions for national conservation programs: lessons for developing Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD+) programs. Ecology and Society, 2016, 21, .	1.0	8
23	Assessing regional progress towards a â€~Green Energy Economy'. Applied Energy, 2016, 179, 1372-1394.	5.1	56
24	Decarbonization under green growth strategies? The case of South Korea. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2016, 123, 180-193.	4.6	60
25	Assessing â€~green energy economy' stimulus packages: Evidence from the U.S. programs targeting renewable energy. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2015, 42, 1174-1186.	8.2	72
26	Towards a Green Energy Economy? A macroeconomic-climate evaluation of Sweden's CO 2 emissions. Applied Energy, 2015, 148, 196-209.	5.1	39
27	Achieving and maintaining institutional feasibility in emissions trading: the case of New Zealand. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 2015, 20, 1487-1509.	1.0	6
28	Walking away from a low-carbon economy? Recent and historical trends using a regional decomposition analysis. Energy Policy, 2013, 61, 1471-1480.	4.2	34
29	Climate change and energy policy in Chile: Up in smoke?. Energy Policy, 2013, 52, 235-248.	4.2	26
30	Challenges for New Zealand's carbon market. Nature Climate Change, 2013, 3, 1006-1008.	8.1	9
31	Transaction costs analysis of low-carbon technologies. Climate Policy, 2013, 13, 490-513.	2.6	50
32	Market behavior under the New Zealand ETS. Carbon Management, 2013, 4, 423-438.	1.2	20
33	Transaction Costs of Low-Carbon Technologies and Policies: The Diverging Literature. Policy Research Working Papers, 2013, , .	1.4	2
34	Evaluating Energy Efficiency Policies with Energy-Economy Models. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 2010, 35, 305-344.	5.6	107
35	A multi-criteria evaluation framework for tradable white certificate schemes. Energy Policy, 2009, 37, 4557-4573.	4.2	46
36	Markets for energy efficiency: Exploring the implications of an EU-wide †Tradable White Certificate' scheme. Energy Economics, 2008, 30, 3016-3043.	5.6	51

Luis Mundaca

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Tradable white certificate schemes: what can we learn from tradable green certificate schemes?. Energy Efficiency, 2008, 1, 211-232.	1.3	18
38	Market behaviour and the to-trade-or-not-to-trade dilemma in â€~tradable white certificate' schemes. Energy Efficiency, 2008, 1, 323-347.	1.3	16
39	Transaction costs of Tradable White Certificate schemes: The Energy Efficiency Commitment as case study. Energy Policy, 2007, 35, 4340-4354.	4.2	73
40	"White and Green― Comparison of market-based instruments to promote energy efficiency. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2005, 13, 1015-1026.	4.6	59
41	CDM wind-energy projects: exploring small capacity thresholds and low performances. Climate Policy, 2004, 4, 399-418.	2.6	2
42	Energy Primer. , 0, , 99-150.		26