

Alessandra S Souza

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2901606/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

49
papers

1,786
citations

361045

20
h-index

301761

39
g-index

64
all docs

64
docs citations

64
times ranked

1201
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Verbal descriptions improve visual working memory but have limited impact on visual long-term memory.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2022, 151, 321-347.	1.5	5
2	Why does the probe value effect emerge in working memory? Examining the biased attentional refreshing account. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2022, 29, 891-900.	1.4	7
3	Tracking attentional states: Assessing the relationship between sustained and selective focused attention in visual working memory. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2022, 84, 715-738.	0.7	4
4	Promoting visual long-term memories: When do we learn from repetitions of visuospatial arrays?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2022, 151, 3114-3133.	1.5	2
5	The eyes don't have it: Eye movements are unlikely to reflect refreshing in working memory. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0271116.	1.1	5
6	Validity of attention self-reports in younger and older adults. Cognition, 2021, 206, 104482.	1.1	8
7	No evidence that self-rated negative emotion boosts visual working memory precision.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2021, 47, 282-307.	0.7	7
8	Assessing the robustness of feature-based selection in visual working memory.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2021, 47, 731-758.	0.7	7
9	Categorical distinctiveness constrains the labeling benefit in visual working memory. Journal of Memory and Language, 2021, 119, 104242.	1.1	7
10	Age Differences in the Efficiency of Filtering and Ignoring Distraction in Visual Working Memory. Brain Sciences, 2020, 10, 556.	1.1	9
11	How fast can people refresh and rehearse information in working memory?. Memory and Cognition, 2020, 48, 1442-1459.	0.9	4
12	Gaze-based and attention-based rehearsal in spatial working memory.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2020, 46, 980-1003.	0.7	13
13	No Evidence That Articulatory Rehearsal Improves Complex Span Performance. Journal of Cognition, 2020, 3, 11.	1.0	9
14	Revisiting the attentional demands of rehearsal in working-memory tasks. Journal of Memory and Language, 2019, 105, 1-18.	1.1	14
15	An age-related deficit in preserving the benefits of attention in working memory.. Psychology and Aging, 2019, 34, 282-293.	1.4	22
16	Is executive control related to working memory capacity and fluid intelligence?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2019, 148, 1335-1372.	1.5	107
17	How does chunking help working memory?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2019, 45, 37-55.	0.7	61
18	Where to attend next: guiding refreshing of visual, spatial, and verbal representations in working memory. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1424, 76-90.	1.8	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The precision of spatial selection into the focus of attention in working memory. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2018, 25, 2281-2288.	1.4	9
20	Is refreshing in working memory impaired in older age? Evidence from the retro-cue paradigm. <i>Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences</i> , 2018, 1424, 175-189.	1.8	18
21	What is attentional refreshing in working memory?. <i>Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences</i> , 2018, 1424, 19-32.	1.8	74
22	Bidialectalism and Bilingualism: Exploring the Role of Language Similarity as a Link Between Linguistic Ability and Executive Control. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2018, 9, 1997.	1.1	28
23	Does articulatory rehearsal help immediate serial recall?. <i>Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2018, 107, 1-21.	0.9	25
24	Unravelling the intersections between consolidation, refreshing, and removal. <i>Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences</i> , 2018, 1424, 5-7.	1.8	3
25	Working memory load and the retro-cue effect: A diffusion model account.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2018, 44, 286-310.	0.7	18
26	The contributions of visual and central attention to visual working memory. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2017, 79, 1897-1916.	0.7	37
27	Time to process information in working memory improves episodic memory. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2017, 96, 155-167.	1.1	40
28	Analogous selection processes in declarative and procedural working memory: N-2 list-repetition and task-repetition costs. <i>Memory and Cognition</i> , 2017, 45, 26-39.	0.9	29
29	Can emotional content reduce the age gap in visual working memory? Evidence from two tasks. <i>Cognition and Emotion</i> , 2017, 31, 1676-1683.	1.2	6
30	The interplay of language and visual perception in working memory. <i>Cognition</i> , 2017, 166, 277-297.	1.1	47
31	No age deficits in the ability to use attention to improve visual working memory.. <i>Psychology and Aging</i> , 2016, 31, 456-470.	1.4	33
32	In search of the focus of attention in working memory: 13 years of the retro-cue effect. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2016, 78, 1839-1860.	0.7	269
33	No evidence for bilingual cognitive advantages: A test of four hypotheses.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: General</i> , 2016, 145, 246-258.	1.5	165
34	Getting more from visual working memory: Retro-cues enhance retrieval and protect from visual interference.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2016, 42, 890-910.	0.7	84
35	Refreshing memory traces: thinking of an item improves retrieval from visual working memory. <i>Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences</i> , 2015, 1339, 20-31.	1.8	77
36	Time-based forgetting in visual working memory reflects temporal distinctiveness, not decay. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2015, 22, 156-162.	1.4	50

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Unloading and reloading working memory: Attending to one item frees capacity.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2014, 40, 1237-1256.	0.7	78
38	Retro-cue benefits in working memory without sustained focal attention. Memory and Cognition, 2014, 42, 712-728.	0.9	84
39	Interference within and between declarative and procedural representations in working memory. Journal of Memory and Language, 2014, 76, 174-194.	1.1	21
40	Focused attention improves working memory: implications for flexible-resource and discrete-capacity models. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2014, 76, 2080-2102.	0.7	59
41	Analogous mechanisms of selection and updating in declarative and procedural working memory: Experiments and a computational model. Cognitive Psychology, 2013, 66, 157-211.	0.9	116
42	Processing of representations in declarative and procedural working memory. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2012, 65, 1006-1033.	0.6	28
43	Varied but not necessarily random: Human performance under variability contingencies is affected by instructions. Learning and Behavior, 2012, 40, 367-379.	0.5	9
44	Choice between contingencies of variation: Effects of the requirement of variation upon preference. Behavioural Processes, 2012, 91, 214-222.	0.5	2
45	ExtinÃ§Ã£o e estÃmulos independentes da resposta: efeitos de relaÃÃes de nÃo-contingÃncia sobre o comportamento. Psicologia: Reflexao E Critica, 2012, 25, 764-773.	0.4	0
46	History effects on induced and operant variability. Learning and Behavior, 2010, 38, 426-437.	0.5	8
47	Discriminative proprieties of Vary and Repeat contingencies. Behavioural Processes, 2010, 85, 116-125.	0.5	9
48	Rules and Self-Rules: Effects of Variation Upon Behavioral Sensitivity to Change. Psychological Record, 2009, 59, 641-670.	0.6	10
49	Autoconhecimento: contribuiÃÃes da pesquisa bÃsica. Psicologia Em Estudo, 2007, 12, 141-150.	0.2	0