

Cyrus Tata

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2889881/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

23
papers

429
citations

840776

11
h-index

940533

16
g-index

24
all docs

24
docs citations

24
times ranked

146
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	New Directions for Research and Policy. , 2020, , 145-172.		0
2	The Humanising Work of the Sentencing Professions: Individualising and Normalising. , 2020, , 93-117.		0
3	The Rise of Technology and the Demise of the Sentencing Professions?. , 2020, , 119-143.		0
4	The Work of the Sentencing Professions: Animating Autonomous Individualism. , 2020, , 75-92.		0
5	'Ritual Individualization': Creative Genius at Sentencing, Mitigation, and Conviction. Journal of Law and Society, 2019, 46, 112-140.	0.7	15
6	To Plead or Not to Plead? â€œGuiltâ€ is the Question 1. , 2019, , 208-234.		2
7	Humanising Punishment? Mitigation and â€œCase-Cleansingâ€ Prior to Sentencing. Onati Socio-Legal Series, 2019, 9, 659-683.	0.4	1
8	Reducing Prison Sentencing Through Preâ€ Sentence Reports? Why the Quasiâ€ Market Logic of â€ Selling Alternatives to Custodyâ€ Fails. Howard Journal of Crime and Justice, 2018, 57, 472-494.	0.6	6
9	The Failure of Recall to Prison: Early Release, Front-Door and Back-Door Sentencing and the Revolving Prison Door in Scotland. European Journal of Probation, 2012, 4, 85-98.	0.9	17
10	Connecting legal and social justice in the neo-liberal world? The construction, interpretation and use of pre-sentence reports. Punishment and Society, 2010, 12, 235-238.	2.0	6
11	Risk, responsibility and reconfiguration. Punishment and Society, 2009, 11, 419-442.	2.0	117
12	Streetâ€ Level Bureaucracy, Interprofessional Relations, and Coping Mechanisms: A Study of Criminal Justice Social Workers in the Sentencing Process. Law and Policy, 2009, 31, 405-428.	0.7	64
13	Shadow Writing and Participant Observation: A Study of Criminal Justice Social Work Around Sentencing. Journal of Law and Society, 2008, 35, 189-213.	0.7	22
14	Incentives, criminal defence lawyers and plea bargaining. International Review of Law and Economics, 2008, 28, 212-219.	0.8	6
15	Sentencing as Craftwork and the Binary Epistemologies of the Discretionary Decision Process. Social and Legal Studies, 2007, 16, 425-447.	1.3	68
16	In the Interests of Clients or Commerce? Legal Aid, Supply, Demand, and â€ Ethical Indeterminacyâ€ in Criminal Defence Work. Journal of Law and Society, 2007, 34, 489-519.	0.7	23
17	Judicial Decision Support Systems as Tools to Transform Justice?. Information and Communications Technology Law, 2002, 11, 5-13.	1.5	0
18	Introduction: Why Study Judicial Decision Support Systems?. International Review of Law, Computers and Technology, 2000, 14, 279-282.	1.2	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Resolute Ambivalence: Why Judiciaries Do Not Institutionalize Their Decision Support Systems. International Review of Law, Computers and Technology, 2000, 14, 297-316.	1.2	11
20	The New International Network for the Study of Sentencing & Society (INSSS). Federal Sentencing Reporter, 1999, 12, 173-173.	0.0	0
21	What 'Rules' in Sentencing? Consistency and Disparity in the Absence of 'Rules'. International Journal of Law Crime and Justice, 1998, 26, 339-364.	0.8	13
22	Conceptions and Representations of the Sentencing Decision Process. Journal of Law and Society, 1997, 24, 395-420.	0.7	33
23	Sentencing and Information Technology: Incidental Reform?. International Journal of Law and Information Technology, 1994, 2, 255-286.	1.1	11