

# Asli Ozyurek

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2832992/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

49  
papers

2,668  
citations

304743

22  
h-index

233421

45  
g-index

50  
all docs

50  
docs citations

50  
times ranked

1308  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Late sign language exposure does not modulate the relation between spatial language and spatial memory in deaf children and adults. <i>Memory and Cognition</i> , 2023, 51, 582-600.	1.6	6
2	Language Use in Deaf Children With Early-Signing Versus Late-Signing Deaf Parents. <i>Frontiers in Communication</i> , 2022, 6, .	1.2	2
3	The Primacy of Multimodal Alignment in Converging on Shared Symbols for Novel Referents. <i>Discourse Processes</i> , 2022, 59, 209-236.	1.8	5
4	Simultaneity as an Emergent Property of Efficient Communication in Language: A Comparison of Silent Gesture and Sign Language. <i>Cognitive Science</i> , 2022, 46, .	1.7	3
5	Speaking but not gesturing predicts event memory: a cross-linguistic comparison. <i>Language and Cognition</i> , 2022, 14, 362-384.	0.6	4
6	Aging and working memory modulate the ability to benefit from visible speech and iconic gestures during speech-in-noise comprehension. <i>Psychological Research</i> , 2021, 85, 1997-2011.	1.7	11
7	Effects and Non-Effects of Late Language Exposure on Spatial Language Development: Evidence from Deaf Adults and Children. <i>Language Learning and Development</i> , 2021, 17, 1-25.	1.4	8
8	Semantically Related Gestures Move Alike: Towards a Distributional Semantics of Gesture Kinematics. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , 2021, , 269-287.	1.3	5
9	Using depiction for efficient communication in LIS (Italian Sign Language). <i>Language and Cognition</i> , 2021, 13, 367-396.	0.6	8
10	Systematic mappings between semantic categories and types of iconic representations in the manual modality: A normed database of silent gesture. <i>Behavior Research Methods</i> , 2020, 52, 51-67.	4.0	32
11	Types of iconicity and combinatorial strategies distinguish semantic categories in silent gesture across cultures. <i>Language and Cognition</i> , 2020, 12, 84-113.	0.6	12
12	Turkish-Dutch bilinguals maintain language-specific reference tracking strategies in elicited narratives. <i>International Journal of Bilingualism</i> , 2020, 24, 376-409.	1.2	11
13	Alignment in Multimodal Interaction: An Integrative Framework. <i>Cognitive Science</i> , 2020, 44, e12911.	1.7	48
14	Multimodality and the origin of a novel communication system in face-to-face interaction. <i>Royal Society Open Science</i> , 2020, 7, 182056.	2.4	20
15	No effects of modality in development of locative expressions of space in signing and speaking children. <i>Journal of Child Language</i> , 2020, 47, 1101-1131.	1.2	3
16	The role of iconicity and simultaneity for efficient communication: The case of Italian Sign Language (LIS). <i>Cognition</i> , 2020, 200, 104246.	2.2	15
17	Evidence for children's online integration of simultaneous information from speech and iconic gestures: an ERP study. <i>Language, Cognition and Neuroscience</i> , 2020, 35, 1283-1294.	1.2	6
18	Iconicity in spatial language guides visual attention: A comparison between signers' and speakers' eye gaze during message preparation.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition</i> , 2020, 46, 1735-1753.	0.9	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Toward the markerless and automatic analysis of kinematic features: A toolkit for gesture and movement research. <i>Behavior Research Methods</i> , 2019, 51, 769-777.	4.0	23
20	Native and non-native listeners show similar yet distinct oscillatory dynamics when using gestures to access speech in noise. <i>NeuroImage</i> , 2019, 194, 55-67.	4.2	12
21	Age-related differences in multimodal recipient design: younger, but not older adults, adapt speech and co-speech gestures to common ground. <i>Language, Cognition and Neuroscience</i> , 2019, 34, 254-271.	1.2	17
22	General- and Language-Specific Factors Influence Reference Tracking in Speech and Gesture in Discourse. <i>Discourse Processes</i> , 2019, 56, 553-574.	1.8	15
23	Native language status of the listener modulates the neural integration of speech and iconic gestures in clear and adverse listening conditions. <i>Brain and Language</i> , 2018, 177-178, 7-17.	1.6	27
24	Communicative intent modulates production and comprehension of actions and gestures: A Kinect study. <i>Cognition</i> , 2018, 180, 38-51.	2.2	44
25	Visual Context Enhanced: The Joint Contribution of Iconic Gestures and Visible Speech to Degraded Speech Comprehension. <i>Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research</i> , 2017, 60, 212-222.	1.6	80
26	Linking language to the visual world: Neural correlates of comprehending verbal reference to objects through pointing and visual cues. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> , 2017, 95, 21-29.	1.6	14
27	Does space structure spatial language?: A comparison of spatial expression across sign languages. <i>Language</i> , 2015, 91, 611-641.	0.6	19
28	The Resilience of Structure Built Around the Predicate: Homesign Gesture Systems in Turkish and American Deaf Children. <i>Journal of Cognition and Development</i> , 2015, 16, 55-80.	1.3	38
29	On the way to language: event segmentation in homesign and gesture. <i>Journal of Child Language</i> , 2015, 42, 64-94.	1.2	45
30	Electrophysiological and Kinematic Correlates of Communicative Intent in the Planning and Production of Pointing Gestures and Speech. <i>Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience</i> , 2015, 27, 2352-2368.	2.3	27
31	Electrophysiological evidence for the role of shared space in online comprehension of spatial demonstratives. <i>Cognition</i> , 2015, 136, 64-84.	2.2	38
32	Eye-tracking talking to you: speakers' gaze direction modulates co-speech gesture processing in the right MTG. <i>Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience</i> , 2015, 10, 255-261.	3.0	33
33	Early language-specificity of children's event encoding in speech and gesture: evidence from caused motion in Turkish. <i>Language, Cognition and Neuroscience</i> , 2014, 29, 620-634.	1.2	30
34	Social eye gaze modulates processing of speech and co-speech gesture. <i>Cognition</i> , 2014, 133, 692-697.	2.2	41
35	Hearing and seeing meaning in speech and gesture: insights from brain and behaviour. <i>Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences</i> , 2014, 369, 20130296.	4.0	113
36	Spatial language facilitates spatial cognition: Evidence from children who lack language input. <i>Cognition</i> , 2013, 127, 318-330.	2.2	80

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Gestural Viewpoint Signals Referent Accessibility. <i>Discourse Processes</i> , 2013, 50, 431-456.	1.8	38
38	Locative expressions in signed languages: a view from Turkish Sign Language (TÄ°D). <i>Linguistics</i> , 2010, 48, .	1.0	24
39	THE EVOLUTION OF SEGMENTATION AND SEQUENCING: EVIDENCE FROM HOMESIGN AND NICARAGUAN SIGN LANGUAGE. , 2010, , .		7
40	Two Sides of the Same Coin. <i>Psychological Science</i> , 2010, 21, 260-267.	3.3	300
41	EARLY LINKS BETWEEN ICONIC GESTURES AND SOUND SYMBOLIC WORDS: EVIDENCE FOR MULTIMODAL PROTOLANGUAGE. , 2010, , .		5
42	The natural order of events: How speakers of different languages represent events nonverbally. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2008, 105, 9163-9168.	7.1	246
43	Development of cross-linguistic variation in speech and gesture: Motion events in English and Turkish.. <i>Developmental Psychology</i> , 2008, 44, 1040-1054.	1.6	103
44	Relations between syntactic encoding and co-speech gestures: Implications for a model of speech and gesture production. <i>Language and Cognitive Processes</i> , 2007, 22, 1212-1236.	2.2	74
45	Language-specific and universal influences in childrenâ€™s syntactic packaging of Manner and Path: A comparison of English, Japanese, and Turkish. <i>Cognition</i> , 2007, 102, 16-48.	2.2	181
46	What does cross-linguistic variation in semantic coordination of speech and gesture reveal?: Evidence for an interface representation of spatial thinking and speaking. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2003, 48, 16-32.	2.1	746
47	The influence of addressee location on spatial language and representational gestures of direction. , 2000, , 64-83.		36
48	Cross-modal investigation of event component omissions in language development: a comparison of signing and speaking children. <i>Language, Cognition and Neuroscience</i> , 0, , 1-17.	1.2	2
49	Word order preference in sign influences speech in hearing bimodal bilinguals but not vice versa: Evidence from behavior and eye-gaze. <i>Bilingualism</i> , 0, , 1-14.	1.3	1