

# Mark Fedyk

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2785287/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

22  
papers

98  
citations

1683934

5  
h-index

1372474

10  
g-index

24  
all docs

24  
docs citations

24  
times ranked

106  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Many randomized clinical trials may not be justified: a cross-sectional analysis of the ethics and science of randomized clinical trials. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2018, 97, 20-25.	2.4	35
2	Why the ethical justification of randomized clinical trials is a scientific question. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2018, 97, 126-132.	2.4	16
3	Clinical Trials Involving Hypertension. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2017, 376, 289-290.	13.9	9
4	The Epistemology of Rational Constructivism. <i>Review of Philosophy and Psychology</i> , 2018, 9, 343-362.	1.0	9
5	How (not) to bring psychology and biology together. <i>Philosophical Studies</i> , 2015, 172, 949-967.	0.5	5
6	Translating futility. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2017, 189, E805-E806.	0.9	4
7	Benefit of carotid revascularisation for women with symptomatic carotid stenosis: protocol for a systematic review. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e032140.	0.8	4
8	Protocol for a systematic scoping review of reasons given to justify the performance of randomised controlled trials. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e027575.	0.8	3
9	Different meanings of equipoise and the four quadrants of uncertainty. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 127, 248-249.	2.4	3
10	Identifying sex-specific differences in the carotid revascularisation literature: findings from a scoping review. <i>Stroke and Vascular Neurology</i> , 2021, 6, 496-499.	1.5	2
11	How are randomized clinical trials ethically justified? A systematic scoping review and thematic analysis of reasons that ethically justify randomized clinical trials. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2022, 147, 160-167.	2.4	2
12	Philosophical Intuitions. <i>Studia Philosophica Estonica</i> , 2010, , 54-80.	0.2	1
13	What statistics cannot tell us about the neurological examination. <i>Annals of Neurology</i> , 2012, 71, 434-436.	2.8	1
14	Development links psychological causes to evolutionary explanations. <i>Behavioral and Brain Sciences</i> , 2014, 37, 142-143.	0.4	1
15	Bad people doing good things: Attributions about people with immoral traits. <i>Current Psychology</i> , 2021, 40, 3440-3449.	1.7	1
16	Bioethics Emergencies Can Be Used to Perform a Real-World Test of Utilitarian Policies. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2020, 20, 101-103.	0.5	1
17	Intuitions, Naturalism, and Benacerraf's Problem. , 2018, , 89-105.		1
18	Reply to: Letter to the Editor by M. Olsen, M. K. Sharp, and P. M. Bossuyt. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2018, 99, 171.	2.4	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Biological, Legal, and Moral Definitions of Brain Death. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2018, 320, 1494.	3.8	0
20	Creativity as potentially valuable improbable constructions. European Journal for Philosophy of Science, 2021, 11, 1.	0.6	0
21	The productive mind: Creativity as a source of abstract mental representations. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2020, 43, e131.	0.4	0
22	The Metaphysics of Developing Cognitive Systems. , 2020, , 63-83.		0