

Dawid Pieper

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2737402/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

137
papers

4,423
citations

147801

31
h-index

133252

59
g-index

156
all docs

156
docs citations

156
times ranked

5415
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Systematic review finds overlapping reviews were not mentioned in every other overview. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2014, 67, 368-375.	5.0	511
2	Relationship between surgeon volume and outcomes: a systematic review of systematic reviews. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2016, 5, 204.	5.3	244
3	Single screening versus conventional double screening for study selection in systematic reviews: a methodological systematic review. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2019, 19, 132.	3.1	224
4	Overviews of reviews often have limited rigor: a systematic review. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2012, 65, 1267-1273.	5.0	151
5	Adherence influencing factors – a systematic review of systematic reviews. <i>Archives of Public Health</i> , 2014, 72, 37.	2.4	139
6	Exercise/physical activity and health outcomes: an overview of Cochrane systematic reviews. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2020, 20, 1724.	2.9	135
7	Systematic review found AMSTAR, but not R(evised)-AMSTAR, to have good measurement properties. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2015, 68, 574-583.	5.0	131
8	A psychometric study found AMSTAR 2 to be a valid and moderately reliable appraisal tool. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 114, 133-140.	5.0	130
9	State of Evidence on the Relationship between High-Volume Hospitals and Outcomes in Surgery: A Systematic Review of Systematic Reviews. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2013, 216, 1015-1025e18.	0.5	127
10	Definition of a systematic review used in overviews of systematic reviews, meta-epidemiological studies and textbooks. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2019, 19, 203.	3.1	126
11	Clarifying the distinction between case series and cohort studies in systematic reviews of comparative studies: potential impact on body of evidence and workload. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2017, 17, 107.	3.1	103
12	Frequency of data extraction errors and methods to increase data extraction quality: a methodological review. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2017, 17, 152.	3.1	96
13	Nearly 80 systematic reviews were published each day: Observational study on trends in epidemiology and reporting over the years 2000-2019. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 138, 1-11.	5.0	94
14	Preferred Reporting Items for Overviews of Reviews (PRIOR): a protocol for development of a reporting guideline for overviews of reviews of healthcare interventions. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2019, 8, 335.	5.3	84
15	Minor differences were found between AMSTAR 2 and ROBIS in the assessment of systematic reviews including both randomized and nonrandomized studies. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 108, 26-33.	5.0	71
16	Managing overlap of primary study results across systematic reviews: practical considerations for authors of overviews of reviews. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2021, 21, 140.	3.1	71
17	Specific barriers to the conduct of randomised clinical trials on medical devices. <i>Trials</i> , 2017, 18, 427.	1.6	66
18	Adherence enhancing interventions for oral anticancer agents: A systematic review. <i>Cancer Treatment Reviews</i> , 2014, 40, 102-108.	7.7	65

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Registry-based randomized controlled trials merged the strength of randomized controlled trials and observational studies and give rise to more pragmatic trials. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2018, 93, 120-127.	5.0	63
20	Adherence influencing factors in patients taking oral anticancer agents: A systematic review. <i>Cancer Epidemiology</i> , 2014, 38, 214-226.	1.9	62
21	Systematic reviews with published protocols compared to those without: more effort, older search. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2018, 95, 102-110.	5.0	53
22	Up-to-dateness of reviews is often neglected in overviews: a systematic review. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2014, 67, 1302-1308.	5.0	49
23	How is AMSTAR applied by authors – a call for better reporting. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2018, 18, 56.	3.1	48
24	Icodextrin Versus Glucose Solutions for the Once-Daily Long Dwell in Peritoneal Dialysis: An Enriched Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. <i>American Journal of Kidney Diseases</i> , 2020, 75, 830-846.	1.9	48
25	The risk of bias in systematic reviews tool showed fair reliability and good construct validity. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 91, 121-128.	5.0	46
26	Resuming the discussion of AMSTAR: What can (should) be made better?. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2016, 16, 111.	3.1	45
27	Can AMSTAR also be applied to systematic reviews of non-randomized studies?. <i>BMC Research Notes</i> , 2014, 7, 609.	1.4	44
28	Authors should clearly report how they derived the overall rating when applying AMSTAR – a cross-sectional study. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 129, 97-103.	5.0	42
29	The methodological quality of systematic reviews on the treatment of adult major depression needs improvement according to AMSTAR 2: A cross-sectional study. <i>Heliyon</i> , 2020, 6, e04776.	3.2	41
30	Quality and risk of bias appraisals of systematic reviews are inconsistent across reviewers and centers. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 125, 9-15.	5.0	39
31	Evaluation of the reliability, usability, and applicability of AMSTAR, AMSTAR 2, and ROBIS: protocol for a descriptive analytic study. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 85.	5.3	38
32	Heterogeneity in application, design, and analysis characteristics was found for controlled before-after and interrupted time series studies included in Cochrane reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 91, 56-69.	5.0	34
33	Database combinations to retrieve systematic reviews in overviews of reviews: a methodological study. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2020, 20, 138.	3.1	33
34	Language restrictions in systematic reviews should not be imposed in the search strategy but in the eligibility criteria if necessary. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 132, 146-147.	5.0	33
35	The Global Research Collaboration of Network Meta-Analysis: A Social Network Analysis. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0163239.	2.5	33
36	Methodological approaches in conducting overviews: current state in HTA agencies. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2014, 5, 187-199.	8.7	32

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Development, testing and use of data extraction forms in systematic reviews: a review of methodological guidance. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2020, 20, 259.	3.1	32
38	Patient education in osteoporosis prevention: a systematic review focusing on methodological quality of randomised controlled trials. <i>Osteoporosis International</i> , 2017, 28, 1779-1803.	3.1	29
39	Studies analysing the need for health-related information in Germany - a systematic review. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2015, 15, 407.	2.2	27
40	An algorithm for the classification of study designs to assess diagnostic, prognostic and predictive test accuracy in systematic reviews. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2019, 8, 226.	5.3	27
41	A systematic review of the impact of center volume in dialysis. <i>BMC Research Notes</i> , 2015, 8, 812.	1.4	26
42	Comparison of methodological quality rating of systematic reviews on neuropathic pain using AMSTAR and R-AMSTAR. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2018, 18, 37.	3.1	26
43	More systematic reviews were registered in PROSPERO each year, but few records' status was up-to-date. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 117, 60-67.	5.0	26
44	An observational study found large methodological heterogeneity in systematic reviews addressing prevalence and cumulative incidence. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 119, 92-99.	5.0	25
45	Study design classification of registry-based studies in systematic reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2018, 93, 84-87.	5.0	24
46	AMSTAR 2 overall confidence rating: lacking discriminating capacity or requirement of high methodological quality?. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 119, 142-144.	5.0	24
47	Inter-rater reliability of AMSTAR is dependent on the pair of reviewers. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2017, 17, 98.	3.1	23
48	Interventions to increase adherence in patients taking immunosuppressive drugs after kidney transplantation: a systematic review of controlled trials. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 236.	5.3	23
49	Are patients willing to accept longer travel times to decrease their risk associated with surgical procedures? A systematic review. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2020, 20, 253.	2.9	23
50	Half of systematic reviews about pain registered in PROSPERO were not published and the majority had inaccurate status. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 116, 114-121.	5.0	20
51	Methods for Systematic Reviews of Health Economic Evaluations. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2014, 34, 826-840.	2.4	19
52	Overall bias methods and their use in sensitivity analysis of Cochrane reviews were not consistent. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 119, 57-64.	5.0	19
53	HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH INTERVENTIONS: A SYNTHESIS OF METHODOLOGICAL GUIDANCE. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2017, 33, 135-146.	0.5	18
54	Comparison of non-Cochrane systematic reviews and their published protocols: differences occurred frequently but were seldom explained. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 110, 34-41.	5.0	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Birth weight and subsequent risk of childhood primary brain tumors: An updated meta-analysis. <i>Pediatric Blood and Cancer</i> , 2017, 64, e26299.	1.5	17
56	Epidemiology and reporting characteristics of overviews of reviews of healthcare interventions published 2012–2016: protocol for a systematic review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 73.	5.3	16
57	Following Cochrane review protocols to completion 10 years later: a retrospective cohort study and author survey. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 111, 41-48.	5.0	16
58	Elective removal vs. retaining of hardware after osteosynthesis in asymptomatic patients—a scoping review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2020, 9, 225.	5.3	16
59	Adherence-enhancing interventions for active antiretroviral therapy in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Sexual Health</i> , 2014, 11, 230.	0.9	15
60	The effect of a voucher incentive on a survey response rate in the clinical setting: a quasi-randomized controlled trial. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2018, 18, 86.	3.1	15
61	What is a meta-epidemiological study? Analysis of published literature indicated heterogeneous study designs and definitions. <i>Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research</i> , 2020, 9, 497-508.	1.4	15
62	Impact of choice of quality appraisal tool for systematic reviews in overviews. <i>Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2014, 7, 72-78.	2.4	14
63	Guideline-based quality indicators—a systematic comparison of German and international clinical practice guidelines. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2019, 14, 71.	6.9	14
64	Most overviews of Cochrane reviews neglected potential biases from dual authorship. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 77, 91-94.	5.0	13
65	How to decide whether a systematic review is stable and not in need of updating: Analysis of Cochrane reviews. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2020, 11, 884-890.	8.7	13
66	The prevalence of chronic pain in orchestra musicians. <i>GMS German Medical Science</i> , 2017, 15, Doc01.	2.7	13
67	Guideline-based quality indicators—a systematic comparison of German and international clinical practice guidelines: protocol for a systematic review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 5.	5.3	12
68	A descriptive analysis of the characteristics and the peer review process of systematic review protocols published in an open peer review journal from 2012 to 2017. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2019, 19, 57.	3.1	12
69	Psychosocial Changes of Bariatric Surgery in Patients' Everyday Life: a Scoping Review. <i>Obesity Surgery</i> , 2020, 30, 2949-2956.	2.1	12
70	The effect of preoperative stoma site marking on risk of stoma-related complications in patients with intestinal ostomy—A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2022, 24, 904-917.	1.4	12
71	A systematic decision-making process on the need for updating clinical practice guidelines proved to be feasible in a pilot study. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2018, 96, 101-109.	5.0	11
72	Health technology assessment of public health interventions: an analysis of characteristics and comparison of methods—study protocol. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 79.	5.3	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Clowning in children undergoing potentially anxiety-provoking procedures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2019, 8, 178.	5.3	10
74	Data extraction methods: an analysis of internal reporting discrepancies in single manuscripts and practical advice. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 117, 158-164.	5.0	10
75	What evidence-based medicine researchers can do to help clinicians fighting COVID-19?. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 124, 183-185.	5.0	10
76	Assessing context suitability (generalizability, external validity, applicability or transferability) of findings in evidence syntheses in healthcare—An integrative review of methodological guidance. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2020, 11, 760-779.	8.7	10
77	Methodological assessment of systematic reviews of in-vitro dental studies. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2022, 22, 110.	3.1	10
78	Relationship between volume and outcome for congenital diaphragmatic hernia: a systematic review protocol. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 185.	5.3	9
79	The role of saline irrigation prior to wound closure in the reduction of surgical site infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Patient Safety in Surgery</i> , 2020, 14, 47.	2.3	9
80	Evidence and Trends in Burn Wound Debridement: An Evidence Map. <i>Plastic Surgery</i> , 2020, 28, 232-242.	1.0	9
81	Epidemiology and reporting characteristics of non-Cochrane updates of systematic reviews: A cross-sectional study. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2020, 11, 471-483.	8.7	9
82	Second opinion programmes in Germany: a mixed-methods study protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e045264.	1.9	9
83	A network meta-analysis on the efficacy of targeted agents in combination with chemotherapy for treatment of advanced/metastatic triple-negative breast cancer. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2017, 8, 59539-59551.	1.8	9
84	Survey of instructions for authors on how to report an update of a systematic review: guidance is needed. <i>Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2017, 22, 45-48.	0.6	8
85	Differences between protocols for randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2018, 98, 144-145.	5.0	8
86	Health Technology Assessment of Public Health Interventions Published 2012 to 2016: An Analysis of Characteristics and Comparison of Methods. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2019, 35, 280-290.	0.5	8
87	Inter-review agreement of risk-of-bias judgments varied in Cochrane reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 120, 25-32.	5.0	8
88	Relationship between volume and outcome for surgery on congenital diaphragmatic hernia: A systematic review. <i>Journal of Pediatric Surgery</i> , 2020, 55, 2555-2565.	1.6	8
89	No inexplicable disagreements between real-world data-based nonrandomized controlled studies and randomized controlled trials were found. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 133, 1-13.	5.0	8
90	Payment methods for hospitals. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 0, , .	2.8	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	A systematic review and time-response meta-analysis of the optimal timing of elective caesarean sections for best maternal and neonatal health outcomes. <i>BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth</i> , 2020, 20, 395.	2.4	7
92	The effect of preoperative stoma site marking on risk of stoma-related complications in patients with intestinal ostomyâ€”protocol of a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2021, 10, 146.	5.3	7
93	Enhanced access to recommendations from the Cochrane Handbook for improving authors' judgments about risk of bias: A randomized controlled trial. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2021, 12, 618-629.	8.7	7
94	Communication strategies in the prevention of type 2 diabetes and gestational diabetes in vulnerable groups: a scoping review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2021, 10, 301.	5.3	7
95	Safety of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in older adults with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. <i>Therapeutic Advances in Drug Safety</i> , 2022, 13, 204209862110723.	2.4	7
96	Assessing transferability in systematic reviews of health economic evaluations â€” a review of methodological guidance. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2022, 22, 52.	3.1	7
97	When is the evidence conclusive? Analysis of systematic reviews for which Cochrane declared that conclusions will not change with further studies. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2022, 13, 478-488.	8.7	7
98	A systematic review of the impact of volume of surgery and specialization in Norwood procedure. <i>BMC Pediatrics</i> , 2014, 14, 198.	1.7	6
99	Quality ratings of reviews in overviews: a comparison of reviews with and without dual (co-)authorship. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 63.	5.3	6
100	Results of a patient-oriented second opinion program in Germany shows a high discrepancy between initial therapy recommendation and second opinion. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2020, 20, 237.	2.2	6
101	Hospital volume-outcome relationship in total knee arthroplasty: protocol for a systematic review and non-linear dose-response meta-analysis. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2020, 9, 38.	5.3	6
102	Analysis of second opinion programs provided by German statutory and private health insurance â€” a survey of statutory and private health insurers. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2021, 21, 209.	2.2	6
103	A new method for testing reproducibility in systematic reviews was developed, but needs more testing. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2021, 21, 157.	3.1	6
104	Attitude toward second opinions in Germany â€” a survey of the general population. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2022, 22, 76.	2.2	6
105	Identifying and addressing conflicting results across multiple discordant systematic reviews on the same question: protocol for a replication study of the Jadad algorithm. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e054223.	1.9	6
106	Barriers and facilitating factors in the prevention of diabetes type II and gestational diabetes in vulnerable groups: protocol for a scoping review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 245.	5.3	5
107	Registration of methodological studies, that is, â€œresearch-on-researchâ€”studiesâ€”should it be mandatory?. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 115, 35-36.	5.0	5
108	Patient Preferences between Minimum Volume Thresholds and Nationwide Healthcare Provision: the Example of Total Knee Arthroplasty. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Orthopadie Und Unfallchirurgie</i> , 2020, 158, 390-396.	0.7	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Inconsistent views among systematic review authors toward publishing protocols as peer-reviewed articles: an international survey. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 123, 9-17.	5.0	5
110	Measuring test-retest reliability (TRR) of AMSTAR provides moderate to perfect agreement – a contribution to the discussion of the importance of TRR in relation to the psychometric properties of assessment tools. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2021, 21, 51.	3.1	5
111	Author queries via email text elicited high response and took less reviewer time than data forms – a randomised study within a review. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 135, 1-9.	5.0	5
112	Reporting of methods to prepare, pilot and perform data extraction in systematic reviews: analysis of a sample of 152 Cochrane and non-Cochrane reviews. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2021, 21, 240.	3.1	5
113	Replicability in the context of systematic reviews: A call for a framework with considerations regarding duplication, overlap, and intentionality. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2022, 142, 313-314.	5.0	5
114	Additional considerations and response to –graphical representation of overlap for <sc>OVERviews</sc> (<sc>GROOVE</sc> tool) –™. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2022, 13, 548-551.	8.7	5
115	The role of saline irrigation prior to wound closure in the reduction of surgical site infection: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 152.	5.3	4
116	The role of icodextrin in peritoneal dialysis: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2019, 8, 35.	5.3	4
117	No differences were found between effect estimates from conventional and registry-based randomized controlled trials. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 105, 80-91.	5.0	4
118	Barriers and facilitating factors in the prevention of diabetes type 2 and gestational diabetes in vulnerable groups: A scoping review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0232250.	2.5	4
119	(Update of a) systematic review on the impact of elective early term (≤ 39th gestational week) caesarean sections on maternal and neonatal health - a protocol. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 119.	5.3	3
120	Reply to letter to the editor by Franco et al. AMSTAR 2 overall confidence rating: A call for even more transparency. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 138, 241-242.	5.0	3
121	Perspective of potential patients on the hospital volume-outcome relationship and the minimum volume threshold for total knee arthroplasty: a qualitative focus group and interview study. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2021, 21, 633.	2.2	3
122	Healthcare delivery and information provision in bariatric surgery in Germany: qualitative interviews with bariatric surgeons. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2021, 21, 659.	2.2	3
123	Comparison of protocols and registry entries to published reports for systematic reviews. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 0, , .	2.8	3
124	Reporting of methodological studies in health research: a protocol for the development of the Methodological STudy reporting Checklist (MISTIC). <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e040478.	1.9	3
125	Retrieving Cochrane reviews is sometimes challenging and their reporting is not always optimal. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2022, 13, 554-557.	8.7	3
126	An adapted –Ottawa–™ method allowed assessing the need to update topic areas within clinical practice guidelines. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2022, 150, 1-11.	5.0	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Relationship between volume and outcome for gastroschisis: a systematic review protocol. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2020, 9, 203.	5.3	2
128	Limiting the search period in methodological studies. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 123, 175-176.	5.0	2
129	Using existing systematic reviews for developing vaccination recommendations: Results of an international expert workshop. <i>Vaccine</i> , 2021, 39, 3103-3110.	3.8	2
130	Is reusing text from a protocol in the completed systematic review acceptable?. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2021, 10, 131.	5.3	2
131	Communication strategies in the prevention of type 2 and gestational diabetes in vulnerable groups: protocol for a scoping review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2019, 8, 98.	5.3	1
132	Relationship between volume and outcome for gastroschisis: A systematic review. <i>Journal of Pediatric Surgery</i> , 2022, 57, 763-785.	1.6	1
133	Telemedical Second Opinions in Germany: A Customer Survey of an Online Portal. <i>Telemedicine Journal and E-Health</i> , 2022, 28, 1664-1671.	2.8	1
134	Challenges in teaching systematic reviews to non-clinicians. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen</i> , 2019, 147-148, 1-6.	0.9	0
135	4â€¦More systematic reviews are being registered in PROSPERO each year, but records are seldom up-to-date. , 2019, , .		0
136	Preference between medical outcomes and travel times: an analysis of liver transplantation. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2022, 407, 707-716.	1.9	0
137	Avenues for Further Research. , 2016, , 373-388.		0