Jason D Forte

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2715005/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

38 papers 2,024 citations

394390 19 h-index 36 g-index

38 all docs 38 docs citations

38 times ranked

2522 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Biomechanical and cognitive interactions during Visuo Motor Targeting Task. Gait and Posture, 2021, 86, 287-291.	1.4	3
2	Spatial complexity facilitates ordinal mapping with a novel symbol set. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0230559.	2.5	0
3	Enumeration strategy differences revealed by saccade-terminated eye tracking. Cognition, 2020, 198, 104204.	2.2	5
4	The Importance of Ordinal Information in Interpreting Number/Letter Line Data. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 692.	2.1	5
5	Implications of Change/Stability Patterns in Children's Non-symbolic and Symbolic Magnitude Judgment Abilities Over One Year: A Latent Transition Analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 441.	2.1	0
6	Rivalry Onset in and around the Fovea: The Role of Visual Field Location and Eye Dominance on Perceptual Dominance Bias. Vision (Switzerland), 2019, 3, 51.	1.2	3
7	Cognitive load effects on early visual perceptual processing. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2018, 80, 929-950.	1.3	9
8	Taking a(c)count of eye movements: Multiple mechanisms underlie fixations during enumeration. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 16.	0.3	8
9	Regulate devices for brain stimulation. Nature, 2016, 533, 179-179.	27.8	5
10	Effects of a common transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) protocol on motor evoked potentials found to be highly variable within individuals over 9 testing sessions. Experimental Brain Research, 2016, 234, 2629-2642.	1.5	85
11	Cognitive factors affecting children's nonsymbolic and symbolic magnitude judgment abilities: A latent profile analysis. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 2016, 152, 173-191.	1.4	14
12	No significant effect of transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) found on simple motor reaction time comparing 15 different simulation protocols. Neuropsychologia, 2016, 91, 544-552.	1.6	58
13	Effects of transcranial direct current stimulation on motor evoked potential amplitude are neither reliable nor significant within individuals over 9 separate testing sessions. Brain Stimulation, 2015, 8, 318.	1.6	6
14	Quantitative Review Finds No Evidence of Cognitive Effects in Healthy Populations From Single-session Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS). Brain Stimulation, 2015, 8, 535-550.	1.6	515
15	Deviating to the right: Using eyetracking to study the role of attention in navigation asymmetries. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2015, 77, 830-843.	1.3	10
16	Evidence that transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) generates little-to-no reliable neurophysiologic effect beyond MEP amplitude modulation in healthy human subjects: A systematic review. Neuropsychologia, 2015, 66, 213-236.	1.6	441
17	Transcranial direct current stimulation: five important issues we aren't discussing (but probably) Tj ETQq1 1 0.78	34314 rgBT 2.5	T/gyerlock 10
18	Close to me: the effect of asymmetrical environments on spatial attention. Ergonomics, 2014, 57, 876-885.	2.1	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Spatial limitations of fast temporal segmentation are best modeled by V1 receptive fields. Journal of Vision, 2013, 13, 23-23.	0.3	7
20	The relationship between vertical stimulation and horizontal attentional asymmetries. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2012, 65, 2384-2396.	1.1	15
21	Predicting Perceptual Decision Biases from Early Brain Activity. Journal of Neuroscience, 2012, 32, 12488-12498.	3.6	99
22	Near, yet so far: The effect of pictorial cues on spatial attention. Brain and Cognition, 2011, 76, 349-352.	1.8	9
23	Onset rivalry: the initial dominance phase is independent of ongoing perceptual alternations. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, $2011, 5, 140$.	2.0	36
24	Color and Luminance Influence, but Can Not Explain, Binocular Rivalry Onset Bias. PLoS ONE, 2011, 6, e18978.	2.5	20
25	Transmission of colour and acuity signals by parvocellular cells in marmoset monkeys. Journal of Physiology, 2011, 589, 2795-2812.	2.9	32
26	Left of centre: asymmetries for the horizontal vertical line illusion. Psychological Research, 2011, 75, 435-443.	1.7	7
27	Receptive field asymmetries produce color-dependent direction selectivity in primate lateral geniculate nucleus. Journal of Vision, 2010, 10, 1-1.	0.3	32
28	Summation of Visual Motion across Eye Movements Reflects a Nonspatial Decision Mechanism. Journal of Neuroscience, 2010, 30, 9821-9830.	3.6	26
29	Wavelet analysis reveals dynamics of rat oscillatory potentials. Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 2008, 169, 191-200.	2.5	27
30	Cortical representation of color is binocular. Journal of Vision, 2008, 8, 6.	0.3	21
31	Contribution of chromatic aberrations to color signals in the primate visual system. Journal of Vision, 2006, 6, 1.	0.3	23
32	Spatial coding and response redundancy in parallel visual pathways of the marmoset Callithrix jacchus. Visual Neuroscience, 2005, 22, 479-491.	1.0	23
33	Inter-ocular transfer of the tilt illusion shows that monocular orientation mechanisms are colour selective. Vision Research, 2005, 45, 2715-2721.	1.4	25
34	Colour and luminance selectivity of spatial and temporal interactions in orientation perception. Vision Research, 2003, 43, 2885-2893.	1.4	22
35	Residual eye-movements in macaque and their effects on visual responses of neurons. Visual Neuroscience, 2002, 19, 31-38.	1.0	15
36	Binocular integration of partially occluded surfaces. Vision Research, 2002, 42, 1225-1235.	1.4	23

JASON D FORTE

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Influence of chromaticity on vernier and stereo acuity. Journal of Vision, 2002, 2, 6.	0.3	21
38	Spatial limitations of temporal segmentation. Vision Research, 1999, 39, 4052-4061.	1.4	36