

# Hugo Valin

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2690967/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

72  
papers

10,245  
citations

50276

46  
h-index

74163

75  
g-index

78  
all docs

78  
docs citations

78  
times ranked

10970  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Toward resilient food systems after COVID-19. <i>Current Research in Environmental Sustainability</i> , 2022, 4, 100110.	3.5	3
2	Land-based climate change mitigation measures can affect agricultural markets and food security. <i>Nature Food</i> , 2022, 3, 110-121.	14.0	61
3	Multiple rotations of Gaussian quadratures: An efficient method for uncertainty analyses in large-scale simulation models. <i>Environmental Modelling and Software</i> , 2021, 136, 104929.	4.5	1
4	Can N <sub>2</sub> O emissions offset the benefits from soil organic carbon storage?. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , 2021, 27, 237-256.	9.5	174
5	Articulating the effect of food systems innovation on the Sustainable Development Goals. <i>Lancet Planetary Health</i> , The, 2021, 5, e50-e62.	11.4	135
6	Land-based climate change mitigation potentials within the agenda for sustainable development. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2021, 16, 024006.	5.2	32
7	Using social media audience data to analyse the drivers of low-carbon diets. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2021, 16, 074001.	5.2	15
8	Reconciling regional nitrogen boundaries with global food security. <i>Nature Food</i> , 2021, 2, 700-711.	14.0	51
9	CORSIA: The first internationally adopted approach to calculate life-cycle GHG emissions for aviation fuels. <i>Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews</i> , 2021, 150, 111398.	16.4	75
10	Conserving the Cerrado and Amazon biomes of Brazil protects the soy economy from damaging warming. <i>World Development</i> , 2021, 146, 105582.	4.9	22
11	How much multilateralism do we need? Effectiveness of unilateral agricultural mitigation efforts in the global context. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2021, 16, 104038.	5.2	4
12	China's future food demand and its implications for trade and environment. <i>Nature Sustainability</i> , 2021, 4, 1042-1051.	23.7	112
13	International trade is a key component of climate change adaptation. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2021, 11, 915-916.	18.8	7
14	Global hunger and climate change adaptation through international trade. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2020, 10, 829-835.	18.8	117
15	Are scenario projections overly optimistic about future yield progress?. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 2020, 64, 102120.	7.8	11
16	Bending the curve of terrestrial biodiversity needs an integrated strategy. <i>Nature</i> , 2020, 585, 551-556.	27.8	413
17	Reply to: An appeal to cost undermines food security risks of delayed mitigation. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2020, 10, 420-421.	18.8	2
18	Innovation can accelerate the transition towards a sustainable food system. <i>Nature Food</i> , 2020, 1, 266-272.	14.0	285

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The impact of climate change on Brazil's agriculture. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2020, 740, 139384.	8.0	67
20	Stakeholder-designed scenarios for global food security assessments. <i>Global Food Security</i> , 2020, 24, 100352.	8.1	18
21	Modelling alternative futures of global food security: Insights from FOODSECURE. <i>Global Food Security</i> , 2020, 25, 100358.	8.1	35
22	Exploring future scenarios of ethanol demand in Brazil and their land-use implications. <i>Energy Policy</i> , 2019, 134, 110958.	8.8	36
23	Potential impacts of climate change on child stunting via income and food price in 2030: a global-level model. <i>Lancet Planetary Health</i> , The, 2019, 3, S1.	11.4	2
24	Tackling food consumption inequality to fight hunger without pressuring the environment. <i>Nature Sustainability</i> , 2019, 2, 826-833.	23.7	49
25	Key determinants of global land-use projections. <i>Nature Communications</i> , 2019, 10, 2166.	12.8	123
26	Agricultural non-CO2 emission reduction potential in the context of the 1.5°C target. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2019, 9, 66-72.	18.8	139
27	Coordinating AgMIP data and models across global and regional scales for 1.5°C and 2.0°C assessments. <i>Philosophical Transactions Series A, Mathematical, Physical, and Engineering Sciences</i> , 2018, 376, 20160455.	3.4	48
28	How to spend a dwindling greenhouse gas budget. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2018, 8, 7-10.	18.8	119
29	Healthy, affordable and climate-friendly diets in India. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 2018, 49, 154-165.	7.8	77
30	Structural change as a key component for agricultural non-CO2 mitigation efforts. <i>Nature Communications</i> , 2018, 9, 1060.	12.8	52
31	Evaluating the effects of climate change on US agricultural systems: sensitivity to regional impact and trade expansion scenarios. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2018, 13, 064019.	5.2	27
32	The market impacts of shortening feed supply chains in Europe. <i>Food Security</i> , 2018, 10, 1401-1410.	5.3	20
33	The potential of future foods for sustainable and healthy diets. <i>Nature Sustainability</i> , 2018, 1, 782-789.	23.7	197
34	The role of trade in the greenhouse gas footprints of EU diets. <i>Global Food Security</i> , 2018, 19, 48-55.	8.1	89
35	A Global-Level Model of the Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Child Stunting via Income and Food Price in 2030. <i>Environmental Health Perspectives</i> , 2018, 126, 97007.	6.0	22
36	Comparing impacts of climate change and mitigation on global agriculture by 2050. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2018, 13, 064021.	5.2	93

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Risk of increased food insecurity under stringent global climate change mitigation policy. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2018, 8, 699-703.	18.8	319
38	Future environmental and agricultural impacts of Brazil's Forest Code. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2018, 13, 074021.	5.2	51
39	A low energy demand scenario for meeting the 1.5°C target and sustainable development goals without negative emission technologies. <i>Nature Energy</i> , 2018, 3, 515-527.	39.5	733
40	Intensification pathways for beef and dairy cattle production systems: Impacts on GHG emissions, land occupation and land use change. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment</i> , 2017, 240, 135-147.	5.3	62
41	Dynamic Merge of the Global and Local Models for Sustainable Land Use Planning with Regard for Global Projections from GLOBIOM and Local Technical "Economic Feasibility and Resource Constraints". <i>Cybernetics and Systems Analysis</i> , 2017, 53, 176-185.	0.7	4
42	Linking regional stakeholder scenarios and shared socioeconomic pathways: Quantified West African food and climate futures in a global context. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 2017, 45, 227-242.	7.8	92
43	Reducing greenhouse gas emissions in agriculture without compromising food security?. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2017, 12, 105004.	5.2	172
44	Land-use futures in the shared socio-economic pathways. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 2017, 42, 331-345.	7.8	645
45	Advanced biomaterials scenarios for the EU28 up to 2050 and their respective biomass demand. <i>Biomass and Bioenergy</i> , 2017, 96, 19-27.	5.7	36
46	The marker quantification of the Shared Socioeconomic Pathway 2: A middle-of-the-road scenario for the 21st century. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 2017, 42, 251-267.	7.8	590
47	Integrated Management of Land Use Systems under Systemic Risks and Security Targets: A Stochastic Global Biosphere Management Model. <i>Journal of Agricultural Economics</i> , 2016, 67, 584-601.	3.5	20
48	Assessing the land resource "food price nexus of the Sustainable Development Goals. <i>Science Advances</i> , 2016, 2, e1501499.	10.3	162
49	Greenhouse gas mitigation potentials in the livestock sector. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2016, 6, 452-461.	18.8	588
50	Model collaboration for the improved assessment of biomass supply, demand, and impacts. <i>GCB Bioenergy</i> , 2015, 7, 422-437.	5.6	54
51	Climate change effects on agriculture: Economic responses to biophysical shocks. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2014, 111, 3274-3279.	7.1	568
52	The future of food demand: understanding differences in global economic models. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2014, 45, 51-67.	3.9	357
53	Climate change mitigation through livestock system transitions. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2014, 111, 3709-3714.	7.1	407
54	Why do global long-term scenarios for agriculture differ? An overview of the AgMIP Global Economic Model Intercomparison. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2014, 45, 3-20.	3.9	183

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Impacts of increased bioenergy demand on global food markets: an AgMIP economic model intercomparison. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2014, 45, 103-116.	3.9	85
56	Climate change induced transformations of agricultural systems: insights from a global model. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2014, 9, 124018.	5.2	64
57	Land-use change trajectories up to 2050: insights from a global agro-economic model comparison. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2014, 45, 69-84.	3.9	220
58	Comparing supply-side specifications in models of global agriculture and the food system. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2014, 45, 21-35.	3.9	68
59	Cattle ranching intensification in Brazil can reduce global greenhouse gas emissions by sparing land from deforestation. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2014, 111, 7236-7241.	7.1	182
60	Agriculture and climate change in global scenarios: why don't the models agree. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2014, 45, 85-101.	3.9	172
61	Global food markets, trade and the cost of climate change adaptation. <i>Food Security</i> , 2014, 6, 29-44.	5.3	26
62	How effective are the sustainability criteria accompanying the European Union 2020 biofuel targets?. <i>GCB Bioenergy</i> , 2013, 5, 306-314.	5.6	31
63	Global bioenergy scenarios – Future forest development, land-use implications, and trade-offs. <i>Biomass and Bioenergy</i> , 2013, 57, 86-96.	5.7	110
64	Crop Productivity and the Global Livestock Sector: Implications for Land Use Change and Greenhouse Gas Emissions. <i>American Journal of Agricultural Economics</i> , 2013, 95, 442-448.	4.3	102
65	Alternative U.S. biofuel mandates and global GHG emissions: The role of land use change, crop management and yield growth. <i>Energy Policy</i> , 2013, 57, 602-614.	8.8	57
66	Agricultural productivity and greenhouse gas emissions: trade-offs or synergies between mitigation and food security?. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2013, 8, 035019.	5.2	144
67	Biomass use, production, feed efficiencies, and greenhouse gas emissions from global livestock systems. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2013, 110, 20888-20893.	7.1	867
68	Current issues and uncertainties in estimating global land availability for biofuel production. <i>Biofuels</i> , 2013, 4, 343-345.	2.4	3
69	MODELING LAND-USE CHANGES IN A GLOBAL CGE: ASSESSING THE EU BIOFUEL MANDATES WITH THE MIRAGE-BioF MODEL. <i>Climate Change Economics</i> , 2012, 03, 1250017.	5.0	53
70	Impacts of population growth, economic development, and technical change on global food production and consumption. <i>Agricultural Systems</i> , 2011, 104, 204-215.	6.1	226
71	How can land-use modelling tools inform bioenergy policies?. <i>Interface Focus</i> , 2011, 1, 212-223.	3.0	25
72	Evaluating the environmental cost of biofuels policy: An illustration with bioethanol. <i>International Economics</i> , 2010, 122, 89-120.	3.1	2