GastÃ³n Ares

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2665523/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

293 papers 13,368 citations

15504 65 h-index 94 g-index

327 all docs

327 docs citations

times ranked

327

6273 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Parenthood as a Window of Opportunity for Dietary Changes: Perspectives From Uruguayan Parents. Health Education and Behavior, 2023, 50, 84-96.	2.5	1
2	The role of food packaging on children's diet: Insights for the design of comprehensive regulations to encourage healthier eating habits in childhood and beyond. Food Quality and Preference, 2022, 95, 104366.	4.6	23
3	Text highlighting as a new way of measuring consumers' attitudes: A case study on vertical farming. Food Quality and Preference, 2022, 95, 104356.	4.6	28
4	Co-creation of a healthy dairy product with and for children. Food Quality and Preference, 2022, 96, 104414.	4.6	8
5	Measuring consumer attitudes using text highlighting: Methodological considerations. Food Quality and Preference, 2022, 96, 104422.	4.6	11
6	How are the sensory properties perceived by consumers? A case study with pressurized tropical mixed juice. Food Research International, 2022, 152, 110940.	6.2	8
7	Text highlighting for consumer insights: Influence of text length and difficulty. Food Quality and Preference, 2022, 97, 104492.	4.6	6
8	Comparison of variants of the valenceÂ×Âarousal circumplex-inspired emotion word questionnaire. Food Quality and Preference, 2022, 98, 104504.	4.6	2
9	Age, time orientation and risk perception are major determinants of discretionary salt usage. Appetite, 2022, 171, 105924.	3.7	4
10	Capturing food-elicited emotions: Facial decoding of children's implicit and explicit responses to tasted samples. Food Quality and Preference, 2022, 99, 104551.	4.6	1
11	Text highlighting for attitude measurement in crossâ€ɛultural consumer research: A methodological study. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2022, 37, .	1.6	9
12	Consumer perception of purple-fleshed sweet potatoes hedonic, sensory, and emotional expectations. Agrociencia Uruguay, 2022, 26, .	0.2	0
13	A Qualitative Exploration of Parents' Food Choices During Early Childhood. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 2022, 54, 764-775.	0.7	4
14	The impact of nutritional warnings on the mental associations raised by advertisements featuring ultra-processed food products. Food Quality and Preference, 2022, 101, 104648.	4.6	2
15	â€~Even if you don't pay attention to it, you know it's there': A qualitative exploration of adolescents' experiences with digital food marketing. Appetite, 2022, 176, 106128.	3.7	16
16	Mental associations with salt among Uruguayan consumers. Food Quality and Preference, 2022, 102, 104684.	4.6	1
17	References to home-made and natural foods on the labels of ultra-processed products increase healthfulness perception and purchase intention: Insights for policy making. Food Quality and Preference, 2021, 88, 104110.	4.6	36
18	Immediate effects of the implementation of nutritional warnings in Uruguay: awareness, self-reported use and increased understanding. Public Health Nutrition, 2021, 24, 364-375.	2.2	31

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Give us today our daily bread: The effect of hunger on consumers' visual attention towards bread and the role of time orientation. Food Quality and Preference, 2021, 88, 104079.	4.6	27
20	Should emoji replace emotion words in questionnaire-based food-related consumer research?. Food Quality and Preference, 2021, 92, 104121.	4.6	22
21	Significant sugar-reduction in dairy products targeted at children is possible without affecting hedonic perception. International Dairy Journal, 2021, 114, 104937.	3.0	15
22	Are the recommendations of paediatricians about complementary feeding aligned with current guidelines in Uruguay?. Public Health Nutrition, 2021, 24, 641-650.	2.2	2
23	Consumer product emotion research using emoji. , 2021, , 535-558.		2
24	The experience of social distancing for families with children and adolescents during the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic in Uruguay: Difficulties and opportunities. Children and Youth Services Review, 2021, 121, 105906.	1.9	38
25	Exploring barriers to consuming suboptimal foods: A consumer perspective. Food Research International, 2021, 141, 110106.	6.2	14
26	Do nutritional warnings encourage healthier choices on food ordering websites? An exploratory experimental study in Uruguay. Public Health Nutrition, 2021, 24, 3547-3551.	2.2	8
27	Communication Campaigns to Support the Use of Nutritional Warnings: Different Messages for Different People?. Health Education and Behavior, 2021, 48, 584-594.	2.5	3
28	Healthy snacking in the school environment: Exploring children and mothers' perspective using projective techniques. Food Quality and Preference, 2021, 90, 104173.	4.6	7
29	How do consumers use emoji in a foodâ€related context? Insights for the design and interpretation of emoji questionnaires. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2021, 36, e12663.	1.6	7
30	Development of tropical mixed juice with low added-sugar content: Sensory and nutritional aspects. Food Science and Technology International, 2021, , 108201322110208.	2.2	1
31	Marketing of commercial foods for infant and young children in Uruguay: sugary products, health cues on packages and fun social products on Facebook. Public Health Nutrition, 2021, 24, 5963-5975.	2.2	9
32	Differences in citation proportions in <scp>CATA</scp> questions can be interpreted as differences perceived intensity of sensory attributes. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2021, 36, e12695.	1.6	7
33	Analysis of the policy process for the implementation of nutritional warning labels in Uruguay. Public Health Nutrition, 2021, 24, 5927-5940.	2.2	19
34	Rethinking sugar reduction in processed foods. Current Opinion in Food Science, 2021, 40, 58-66.	8.0	18
35	Commentary on "The future of consumer neuroscience in food research―by Niedziela and Ambroze. Food Quality and Preference, 2021, 92, 104176.	4.6	2
36	The social representations of complementary feeding. Appetite, 2021, 165, 105324.	3.7	2

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
37	Health gains through loss frames: Testing the effectiveness of message framing on citizens' use of nutritional warnings. Appetite, 2021, 166, 105469.	3.7	15
38	Is COVID-19 a threat or an opportunity for healthy eating? An exploration of the factors that moderate the impact of the pandemic on eating habits in Uruguay. Appetite, 2021, 167, 105651.	3.7	8
39	Parents' mental associations with ultra-processed products for their infant children: Insights to improve complementary feeding practices. Food Quality and Preference, 2021, 94, 104335.	4.6	4
40	The influence of label information on the snacks parents choose for their children: Individual differences in a choice based conjoint test. Food Quality and Preference, 2021, 94, 104296.	4.6	5
41	Consumer attitudes to vertical farming (indoor plant factory with artificial lighting) in China, Singapore, UK, and USA: A multi-method study. Food Research International, 2021, 150, 110811.	6.2	32
42	What does it mean to check-all-that-apply? Four case studies with beverages. Food Quality and Preference, 2020, 80, 103794.	4.6	43
43	Are nutritional warnings more efficient than claims in shaping consumers' healthfulness perception?. Food Quality and Preference, 2020, 79, 103749.	4.6	38
44	Exploring the common and unique variability in TDS and TCATA data – A comparison using canonical correlation and orthogonalization. Food Quality and Preference, 2020, 79, 103790.	4.6	12
45	How do different warning signs compare with the guideline daily amount and traffic-light system?. Food Quality and Preference, 2020, 80, 103821.	4.6	41
46	Time orientation and risk perception moderate the influence of sodium warnings on food choice: Implications for the design of communication campaigns. Appetite, 2020, 147, 104562.	3.7	20
47	How Can We Motivate People to Use Nutritional Warnings in Decision Making? Citizen Co-Created Insights for the Development of Communication Campaigns. Health Education and Behavior, 2020, 47, 321-331.	2.5	16
48	Cross-modal interactions as a strategy for sugar reduction in products targeted at children: Case study with vanilla milk desserts. Food Research International, 2020, 130, 108920.	6.2	36
49	The habitual nature of food purchases at the supermarket: Implications for policy making. Appetite, 2020, 155, 104844.	3.7	56
50	Can children use temporal sensory methods to describe visual and food stimuli?. Food Quality and Preference, 2020, 86, 104002.	4.6	9
51	How is satisfaction with food-related life conceptualized? A comparison between parents and their adolescent children in dual-headed households. Food Quality and Preference, 2020, 86, 104021.	4.6	23
52	Effect of thinking style and consumption purpose on food choice: A case study with yogurt using a discrete choice experiment and eye-tracking. Food Quality and Preference, 2020, 86, 104025.	4.6	15
53	Gain vs. loss-framing for reducing sugar consumption: Insights from a choice experiment with six product categories. Food Research International, 2020, 136, 109458.	6.2	19
54	The Meaning of Emoji to Describe Food Experiences in Pre-Adolescents. Foods, 2020, 9, 1307.	4.3	29

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	The heuristics that guide healthiness perception of ultra-processed foods: a qualitative exploration. Public Health Nutrition, 2020, 23, 2932-2940.	2.2	32
56	Emoji in openâ€ended questions: A novel use in product research with consumers. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2020, 35, e12610.	1.6	14
57	Examination of effects on situational appropriateness when coâ€elicited with hedonic product responses. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2020, 35, e12609.	1.6	O
58	Check-all-that-apply (CATA) questions: Sensory term citation frequency reflects rated term intensity and applicability. Food Quality and Preference, 2020, 86, 103986.	4.6	36
59	Sick, salient and full of salt, sugar and fat: Understanding the impact of nutritional warnings on consumers' associations through the salience bias. Food Quality and Preference, 2020, 86, 103991.	4.6	30
60	Uruguayan Citizens' Perception of Messages to Promote Healthy Eating Through the Use of Nutritional Warnings. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 2020, 52, 918-927.	0.7	6
61	Can sodium warnings modify preferences? A case study with white bread. Food Research International, 2020, 134, 109239.	6.2	5
62	Suboptimal food, careless store? Consumer's associations with stores selling foods with imperfections to counter food waste in the context of an emerging retail market. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2020, 262, 121252.	9.3	31
63	Social representations of breastfeeding and infant formula: An exploratory study with mothers and health professionals to inform policy making. Appetite, 2020, 151, 104683.	3.7	11
64	Measuring Liking for Food and Drink. , 2020, , 235-256.		2
65	Comparison of two sugar reduction strategies with children: Case study with grape nectars. Food Quality and Preference, 2019, 71, 163-167.	4.6	20
66	Sensory shelf life estimation. , 2019, , 333-357.		2
67	Does message framing matter for promoting the use of nutritional warnings in decision making?. Public Health Nutrition, 2019, 22, 3025-3034.	2.2	12
68	The item-by-use (IBU) method for measuring perceived situational appropriateness: A methodological characterisation using CATA questions. Food Quality and Preference, 2019, 78, 103724.	4.6	8
69	Sensory product characterisations based on check-all-that-apply questions: Further insights on how the static (CATA) and dynamic (TCATA) approaches perform. Food Research International, 2019, 125, 108510.	6.2	17
70	Do nutritional warnings do their work? Results from a choice experiment involving snack products. Food Quality and Preference, 2019, 77, 159-165.	4.6	37
71	Using the emotion circumplex to uncover sensory drivers of emotional associations to products: six case studies. Food Quality and Preference, 2019, 77, 89-101.	4.6	31
72	Are consumers willing to pay more for reformulated processed meat products in the context of the implementation of nutritional warnings? Case study with frankfurters in Chile. Meat Science, 2019, 152, 104-108.	5 . 5	20

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Household food waste in an emerging country and the reasons why: Consumer´s own accounts and how it differs for target groups. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2019, 145, 332-338.	10.8	54
74	Can front-of-pack nutrition labeling influence children's emotional associations with unhealthy food products? An experiment using emoji. Food Research International, 2019, 120, 217-225.	6.2	24
75	Special issue on "Virtual reality and food: Applications in sensory and consumer science― Food Research International, 2019, 117, 1.	6.2	3
76	Relative Impact of Nutritional Warnings and Other Label Features on Cereal Bar Healthfulness Evaluations. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 2019, 51, 850-856.	0.7	28
77	Do food-related emotional associations differ with socio-economic status? An exploratory qualitative study with Brazilian consumers. Food Research International, 2019, 116, 687-696.	6.2	8
78	It is not all about information! Sensory experience overrides the impact of nutrition information on consumers' choice of sugar-reduced drinks. Food Quality and Preference, 2019, 74, 1-9.	4.6	39
79	A cross-cultural perspective on feeling good in the context of foods and beverages. Food Research International, 2019, 115, 292-301.	6.2	44
80	Valence, arousal and sentiment meanings of 33 facial emoji: Insights for the use of emoji in consumer research. Food Research International, 2019, 119, 895-907.	6.2	80
81	Influence of nutritional warnings and other label features on consumers' choice: Results from an eye-tracking study. Food Research International, 2019, 119, 605-611.	6.2	44
82	Visual attention to rate-all-that-apply (RATA) questions: A case study with apple images as food stimuli. Food Quality and Preference, 2019, 72, 136-142.	4.6	11
83	Measuring Liking for Food and Drink. , 2019, , 1-22.		1
84	How do consumers perceive reformulated foods after the implementation of nutritional warnings? Case study with frankfurters in Chile. Food Quality and Preference, 2019, 74, 179-188.	4.6	18
85	Sensory product characterization by consumers using checkâ€allâ€thatâ€apply questions: Investigations linked to term development using kiwifruit as a case study. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2019, 34, e12490.	1.6	17
86	Consumer accounts of favourable dietary behaviour change and comparison with official dietary guidelines. Public Health Nutrition, 2018, 21, 1952-1960.	2.2	8
87	Convenience or price orientation? Consumer characteristics influencing food waste behaviour in the context of an emerging country and the impact on future sustainability of the global food sector. Global Environmental Change, 2018, 49, 85-94.	7.8	77
88	Sugar reduction in fruit nectars: Impact on consumers' sensory and hedonic perception. Food Research International, 2018, 107, 371-377.	6.2	24
89	Children and adults' sensory and hedonic perception of added sugar reduction in grape nectar. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2018, 33, e12317.	1.6	15
90	An assessment of the CATA-variant of the EsSense Profile®. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 68, 360-370.	4.6	46

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Measuring consumers' product associations with emoji and emotion word questionnaires: case studies with tasted foods and written stimuli. Food Research International, 2018, 111, 732-747.	6.2	41
92	CATA and RATA questions for product-focused emotion research: Five case studies using emoji questionnaires. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 68, 342-348.	4.6	38
93	Traffic Light System Can Increase Healthfulness Perception: Implications for Policy Making. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 2018, 50, 668-674.	0.7	27
94	Product involvement and consumer food-elicited emotional associations: Insights from emoji questionnaires. Food Research International, 2018, 106, 999-1011.	6.2	29
95	Influence of time orientation on food choice: Case study with cookie labels. Food Research International, 2018, 106, 706-711.	6.2	22
96	Consumer in-store choice of suboptimal food to avoid food waste: The role of food category, communication and perception of quality dimensions. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 68, 29-39.	4.6	83
97	Emoji questionnaires can be used with a range of population segments: Findings relating to age, gender and frequency of emoji/emoticon use. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 68, 397-410.	4.6	59
98	Consumer sensory and hedonic perception of sheep meat coppa under blind and informed conditions. Meat Science, 2018, 137, 201-210.	5.5	23
99	Barriers and Facilitators to Implementing the Uruguayan Dietary Guidelines in Everyday Life: A Citizen Perspective. Health Education and Behavior, 2018, 45, 511-523.	2.5	19
100	Diet quality and satisfaction with different domains of life in single- and dual-headed households: Comparing mother-adolescent dyads. Children and Youth Services Review, 2018, 89, 124-131.	1.9	9
101	Linking product-elicited emotional associations and sensory perceptions through a circumplex model based on valence and arousal: Five consumer studies. Food Research International, 2018, 109, 626-640.	6.2	64
102	Comparative performance of three interpretative front-of-pack nutrition labelling schemes: Insights for policy making. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 68, 215-225.	4.6	81
103	Product reformulation in the context of nutritional warning labels: Exploration of consumer preferences towards food concepts in three food categories. Food Research International, 2018, 107, 669-674.	6.2	36
104	The effect of health/hedonic claims on consumer hedonic and sensory perception of sugar reduction: Case study with orange/passionfruit nectars. Food Research International, 2018, 108, 111-118.	6.2	26
105	Methodological issues in cross-cultural sensory and consumer research. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 64, 253-263.	4.6	90
106	Comparison of rate-all-that-apply (RATA) and check-all-that-apply (CATA) questions across seven consumer studies. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 67, 49-58.	4.6	86
107	Can nutritional information modify purchase of ultra-processed products? Results from a simulated online shopping experiment. Public Health Nutrition, 2018, 21, 49-57.	2.2	15
108	What is dominance? An exploration of the concept in TDS tests with trained assessors and consumers. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 64, 72-81.	4.6	42

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	How do front of pack nutrition labels affect healthfulness perception of foods targeted at children? Insights from Brazilian children and parents. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 64, 111-119.	4.6	53
110	Number of terms to use in temporal check-all-that-apply studies (TCATA and TCATA Fading) for sensory product characterization by consumers. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 64, 154-159.	4.6	25
111	Impact of plain packaging of cigarettes on the risk perception of Uruguayan smokers: an experimental study. Tobacco Control, 2018, 27, 513-518.	3.2	3
112	Nutritional warnings and product substitution or abandonment: Policy implications derived from a repeated purchase simulation. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 65, 40-48.	4.6	55
113	Does front-of-pack nutrition information improve consumer ability to make healthful choices? Performance of warnings and the traffic light system in a simulated shopping experiment. Appetite, 2018, 121, 55-62.	3.7	83
114	Consumer-Based Methodologies for Sensory Characterization. , 2018, , 187-209.		14
115	Methodological Approaches for Measuring Consumer-Perceived Well-Being in a Food-Related Context. , 2018, , 183-200.		1
116	Quality perceptions regarding external appearance of apples: Insights from experts and consumers in four countries. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 2018, 146, 99-107.	6.0	55
117	A citizen perspective on nutritional warnings as front-of-pack labels: insights for the design of accompanying policy measures. Public Health Nutrition, 2018, 21, 3450-3461.	2.2	25
118	Buy, eat or discard? A case study with apples to explore fruit quality perception and food waste. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 69, 10-20.	4.6	75
119	A comparison of RATA questions with descriptive analysis: Insights from three studies with complex/similar products. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2018, 33, e12458.	1.6	23
120	Recent Advances in Consumer Science. , 2018, , 3-21.		9
121	A latent class analysis of family eating habits in families with adolescents. Appetite, 2018, 129, 37-48.	3.7	27
122	Relationship between astringency and phenolic composition of commercial Uruguayan Tannat wines: Application of boosted regression trees. Food Research International, 2018, 112, 25-37.	6.2	25
123	Selection of promising sweet potato clones using projective mapping. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2017, 97, 158-164.	3.5	11
124	Identification of drivers of (dis)liking based on dynamic sensory profiles: Comparison of Temporal Dominance of Sensations and Temporal Check-all-that-apply. Food Research International, 2017, 92, 79-87.	6.2	49
125	Children and adolescents' attitudes towards sugar reduction in dairy products. Food Research International, 2017, 94, 108-114.	6.2	20
126	Consumer Perception of the Healthfulness of Ultra-processed Products Featuring Different Front-of-Pack Nutrition Labeling Schemes. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 2017, 49, 330-338.e1.	0.7	34

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Impact of front-of-pack nutrition information and label design on children's choice of two snack foods: Comparison of warnings and the traffic-light system. Appetite, 2017, 116, 139-146.	3.7	129
128	A comparison of five methodological variants of emoji questionnaires for measuring product elicited emotional associations: An application with seafood among Chinese consumers. Food Research International, 2017, 99, 216-228.	6.2	41
129	Comparison of static and dynamic sensory product characterizations based on check-all-that-apply questions with consumers. Food Research International, 2017, 97, 215-222.	6.2	25
130	Dominant meanings of facial emoji: Insights from Chinese consumers and comparison with meanings from internet resources. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 62, 275-283.	4.6	71
131	Measurement of product emotions using emoji surveys: Case studies with tasted foods and beverages. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 62, 46-59.	4.6	73
132	Authors' reply to commentaries on Ares and Varela. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 61, 100-102.	4.6	6
133	The role of information on consumer sensory, hedonic and wellbeing perception of sugar-reduced products: Case study with orange/pomegranate juice. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 62, 227-236.	4.6	50
134	Analysis of TCATA Fading data: Imputation of gaps in temporal profiles. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 59, 114-122.	4.6	14
135	Aroma-related cross-modal interactions for sugar reduction in milk desserts: Influence on consumer perception. Food Research International, 2017, 97, 45-50.	6.2	59
136	Influence of Label Design on Children's Perception of 2 Snack Foods. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 2017, 49, 211-217.e1.	0.7	26
137	Nutrition warnings as front-of-pack labels: influence of design features on healthfulness perception and attentional capture. Public Health Nutrition, 2017, 20, 3360-3371.	2.2	60
138	Consumer perception of salt-reduced breads: Comparison of single and two-bites evaluation. Food Research International, 2017, 100, 254-259.	6.2	21
139	Influence of intrinsic and extrinsic factors on consumer liking and wellbeing perception of two regular and probiotic milk products. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2017, 32, e12261.	1.6	9
140	Warnings as a directive front-of-pack nutrition labelling scheme: comparison with the Guideline Daily Amount and traffic-light systems. Public Health Nutrition, 2017, 20, 2308-2317.	2.2	124
141	Trained vs. consumer panels for analytical testing: Fueling a long lasting debate in the field. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 61, 79-86.	4.6	116
142	Influence of evoked contexts on hedonic product discrimination and sensory characterizations using CATA questions. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 56, 138-148.	4.6	47
143	Concurrent elicitation of hedonic and CATA/RATA responses with Chinese and Korean consumers: Hedonic bias is unlikely to occur. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 56, 130-137.	4.6	23
144	Hedonic product optimisation: CATA questions as alternatives to JAR scales. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 55, 67-78.	4.6	39

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Can emoji be used as a direct method to measure emotional associations to food names? Preliminary investigations with consumers in USA and China. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 56, 38-48.	4.6	82
146	Comparison of consumer-based methodologies for sensory characterization: Case study with four sample sets of powdered drinks. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 56, 149-163.	4.6	56
147	Does a familiarization step influence results from a TCATA task?. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 55, 91-97.	4.6	35
148	Package design and nutritional profile of foods targeted at children in supermarkets in Montevideo, Uruguay. Cadernos De Saude Publica, 2017, 33, e00032116.	1.0	38
149	Diet Quality and Satisfaction with Life, Family Life, and Food-Related Life across Families: A Cross-Sectional Pilot Study with Mother-Father-Adolescent Triads. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2017, 14, 1313.	2.6	45
150	Comparison of motives underlying food choice and barriers to healthy eating among low medium income consumers in Uruguay. Cadernos De Saude Publica, 2017, 33, e00213315.	1.0	27
151	Evaluation of Palate Cleansers for Astringency Evaluation of Red Wines. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2016, 31, 93-100.	1.6	15
152	Does a time constraint modify results from rating-based conjoint analysis? Case study with orange/pomegranate juice bottles. Food Research International, 2016, 90, 244-250.	6.2	4
153	Do we all perceive food-related wellbeing in the same way? Results from an exploratory cross-cultural study. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 52, 62-73.	4.6	70
154	Consumers' attention to functional food labels: Insights from eye-tracking and change detection in a case study with probiotic milk. LWT - Food Science and Technology, 2016, 68, 160-167.	5.2	65
155	Difference thresholds for added sugar in chocolate-flavoured milk: Recommendations for gradual sugar reduction. Food Research International, 2016, 89, 448-453.	6.2	39
156	Product spaces derived from projective mapping and CATA questions: Influence of replicated assessments and increased number of study participants. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2016, 31, 373-381.	1.6	20
157	Motives Underlying Food Choice for Children and Perception of Nutritional Information Among Low-Income Mothers in a Latin American Country. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 2016, 48, 478-485.e1.	0.7	34
158	Comparison of two TCATA variants for dynamic sensory characterization of food products. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 54, 160-172.	4.6	66
159	Comparison of Two Methodologies for Estimating Equivalent Sweet Concentration of Highâ€Intensity Sweeteners with Untrained Assessors: Case Study with Orange/Pomegranate Juice. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2016, 31, 341-347.	1.6	13
160	A consumer-based approach to salt reduction: Case study with bread. Food Research International, 2016, 90, 66-72.	6.2	40
161	Consumers' conceptualization of ultra-processed foods. Appetite, 2016, 105, 611-617.	3.7	67
162	Influence of label design on children's perception of two snack foods: Comparison of rating and choice-based conjoint analysis. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 53, 1-8.	4.6	47

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	On the analysis of Rate-All-That-Apply (RATA) data. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 49, 1-10.	4.6	112
164	Dynamic characterization of red wine astringency: Case study with Uruguayan Tannat wines. Food Research International, 2016, 82, 128-135.	6.2	25
165	Consumers' visual attention to fruit defects and disorders: A case study with apple images. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 2016, 116, 36-44.	6.0	32
166	Use of emoticon and emoji in tweets for food-related emotional expression. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 49, 119-128.	4.6	128
167	Understanding consumers' perception of lamb meat using free word association. Meat Science, 2016, 117, 68-74.	5.5	120
168	Do individual differences in visual attention to CATA questions affect sensory product characterization? A case study with plain crackers. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 48, 185-194.	4.6	14
169	Temporal Check-All-That-Apply (TCATA): A novel dynamic method for characterizing products. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 47, 79-90.	4.6	215
170	Can consumer segmentation in projective mapping contribute to a better understanding of consumer perception?. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 47, 64-72.	4.6	15
171	Reliability of Polarized Projective Mapping with Consumers. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2015, 30, 280-294.	1.6	12
172	Influence of Poles on Results from Referenceâ€Based Sensory Characterization Methodologies: Case Study with Polarized Projective Mapping Consumers. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2015, 30, 439-447.	1.6	7
173	Using Twitter data for food-related consumer research: A case study on "what people say when tweeting about different eating situations― Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 45, 58-69.	4.6	111
174	Comparison of sensory product profiles generated by trained assessors and consumers using CATA questions: Four case studies with complex and/or similar samples. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 45, 75-86.	4.6	96
175	Comparison of TCATA and TDS for dynamic sensory characterization of food products. Food Research International, 2015, 78, 148-158.	6.2	101
176	Pole selection in Polarized Sensory Positioning: Insights from the cognitive aspects behind the task. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 46, 48-57.	4.6	17
177	Sugar reduction in probiotic chocolate-flavored milk: Impact on dynamic sensory profile and liking. Food Research International, 2015, 75, 148-156.	6.2	88
178	RATA questions are not likely to bias hedonic scores. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 44, 157-161.	4.6	30
179	Recommendations for use of balanced presentation order of terms in CATA questions. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 46, 137-141.	4.6	46
180	Influence of Interpretation Aids on Attentional Capture, Visual Processing, and Understanding of Front-of-Package Nutrition Labels. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 2015, 47, 292-299.e1.	0.7	65

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
181	Dynamic sensory characterization of cosmetic creams during application using Temporal Check-All-That-Apply (TCATA) questions. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 45, 33-40.	4.6	49
182	Influence of evoked contexts on consumers' rejection of two products: Implications for shelf life estimation. Food Research International, 2015, 76, 527-531.	6.2	16
183	Comparison of intensity scales and CATA questions in new product development: Sensory characterisation and directions for product reformulation of milk desserts. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 44, 183-193.	4.6	72
184	The concurrent use of JAR and CATA questions in hedonic scaling is unlikely to cause hedonic bias, but may increase product discrimination. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 44, 70-74.	4.6	28
185	Comparison of methods for generating sensory vocabulary with consumers: A case study with two types of satiating foods. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 44, 111-118.	4.6	10
186	Check-all-that-apply (CATA) questions for sensory product characterization by consumers: Investigations into the number of terms used in CATA questions. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 42, 154-164.	4.6	130
187	Influence of cognitive style on information processing and selection of yogurt labels: Insights from an eye-tracking study. Food Research International, 2015, 74, 1-9.	6.2	55
188	List length has little impact on consumers' visual attention to CATA questions. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 42, 100-109.	4.6	32
189	Comparison of Correspondence Analysis based on Hellinger and chi-square distances to obtain sensory spaces from check-all-that-apply (CATA) questions. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 43, 106-112.	4.6	74
190	Evaluation of Data Aggregation in Polarized Sensory Positioning. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2015, 30, 46-55.	1.6	9
191	Consumers' associations with wellbeing in a food-related context: A cross-cultural study. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 40, 304-315.	4.6	117
192	Examination of sensory product characterization bias when check-all-that-apply (CATA) questions are used concurrently with hedonic assessments. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 40, 199-208.	4.6	49
193	Methodological challenges in sensory characterization. Current Opinion in Food Science, 2015, 3, 1-5.	8.0	50
194	Case study: Optimization of enzymeâ€eided extraction of polyphenols from unripe apples by response surface methodology., 2014,, 31-42.		0
195	Case study: Statistical analysis of eurycomanone yield using a full factorial design. , 2014, , 43-54.		0
196	Case Study: Quality control of <i>Camellia sinensis</i> and <i>Ilex paraguariensis</i> teas marketed in Brazil based on total phenolics, flavonoids and freeâ€radical scavenging activity using chemometrics. , 2014, , 219-230.		1
197	Case study: Optimization of enzymeâ€eided extraction of polyphenols from unripe apples by response surface methodology. , 2014, , 31-42.		0
198	Case study: Statistical analysis of eurycomanone yield using a full factorial design. , 2014, , 43-54.		0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
199	Assessment of Global and Individual Reproducibility of Projective Mapping with Consumers. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2014, 29, 74-87.	1.6	23
200	Evaluation of the sensory characteristics of strawberry cultivars throughout the harvest season using projective mapping. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2014, 94, 591-599.	3.5	19
201	Application of Survival Analysis to Estimate Equivalent Sweet Concentration of Lowâ€Calorie Sweeteners in Orange Juice. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2014, 29, 474-479.	1.6	11
202	Penalty analysis based on CATA questions to identify drivers of liking and directions for product reformulation. Food Quality and Preference, 2014, 32, 65-76.	4.6	121
203	Logos indicating environmental sustainability in wine production: An exploratory study on how do Burgundy wine consumers perceive them. Food Research International, 2014, 62, 837-845.	6.2	42
204	Comparison of check-all-that-apply and forced-choice Yes/No question formats for sensory characterisation. Food Quality and Preference, 2014, 35, 32-40.	4.6	34
205	Evaluation of a rating-based variant of check-all-that-apply questions: Rate-all-that-apply (RATA). Food Quality and Preference, 2014, 36, 87-95.	4.6	183
206	Stability of sample configurations from projective mapping: How many consumers are necessary?. Food Quality and Preference, 2014, 34, 79-87.	4.6	47
207	Influence of rational and intuitive thinking styles on food choice: Preliminary evidence from an eye-tracking study with yogurt labels. Food Quality and Preference, 2014, 31, 28-37.	4.6	101
208	Food and wellbeing. Towards a consumer-based approach. Appetite, 2014, 74, 61-69.	3.7	74
209	Further investigations into the reproducibility of check-all-that-apply (CATA) questions for sensory product characterization elicited by consumers. Food Quality and Preference, 2014, 36, 111-121.	4.6	70
210	Investigation of the number of consumers necessary to obtain stable sample and descriptor configurations from check-all-that-apply (CATA) questions. Food Quality and Preference, 2014, 31, 135-141.	4.6	114
211	Visual attention by consumers to check-all-that-apply questions: Insights to support methodological development. Food Quality and Preference, 2014, 32, 210-220.	4.6	93
212	Attentional capture and importance of package attributes for consumers' perceived similarities and differences among products: A case study with breakfast cereal packages. Food Research International, 2014, 64, 701-710.	6.2	53
213	Comparison of rapid sensory characterization methodologies for the development of functional yogurts. Food Research International, 2014, 64, 446-455.	6.2	73
214	Influence of Context on Motives Underlying Food Choice. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2014, 29, 313-324.	1.6	41
215	Lack of evidence that concurrent sensory product characterisation using CATA questions bias hedonic scores. Food Quality and Preference, 2014, 35, 1-5.	4.6	57
216	Identifying motives underlying wine purchase decisions: Results from an exploratory free listing task with Burgundy wine consumers. Food Research International, 2014, 62, 860-867.	6.2	40

#	Article	IF	Citations
217	Application of multiple-sip temporal dominance of sensations to the evaluation of sweeteners. Food Quality and Preference, 2014, 36, 135-143.	4. 6	83
218	Texture and Semantics: The Conceptual Structure in Consumers' Minds. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2013, 28, 194-204.	1.6	13
219	Polarized Projective Mapping: Comparison with Polarized Sensory Positioning approaches. Food Quality and Preference, 2013, 28, 510-518.	4.6	35
220	Polarized sensory positioning: Do conclusions depend on the poles?. Food Quality and Preference, 2013, 29, 25-32.	4.6	23
221	Check-all-that-apply questions: Influence of attribute order on sensory product characterization. Food Quality and Preference, 2013, 28, 141-153.	4. 6	184
222	Influence of Information on Consumers' Evaluations Using Checkâ€Allâ€Thatâ€Apply Questions and Sorting: A Case Study with Milk Desserts. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2013, 28, 125-137.	1.6	44
223	Investigation of bias of hedonic scores when co-eliciting product attribute information using CATA questions. Food Quality and Preference, 2013, 30, 242-249.	4. 6	55
224	Projective techniques to uncover consumer perception: Application of three methodologies to ready-to-eat salads. Food Quality and Preference, 2013, 28, 1-7.	4.6	106
225	Consumer Visual Processing of Food Labels: Results from an Eyeâ€Tracking Study. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2013, 28, 138-153.	1.6	123
226	Check-all-that-apply (CATA) responses elicited by consumers: Within-assessor reproducibility and stability of sensory product characterizations. Food Quality and Preference, 2013, 30, 56-67.	4.6	115
227	Identifying promising accessions of cherry tomato: a sensory strategy using consumers and chefs. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2013, 93, 1903-1914.	3.5	15
228	CATA questions for sensory product characterization: Raising awareness of biases. Food Quality and Preference, 2013, 30, 114-127.	4.6	90
229	Temporal aspects of yoghurt texture perception. International Dairy Journal, 2013, 29, 124-134.	3.0	66
230	Perfume Odor Categorization: To What Extent Trained Assessors and Consumers Agree?. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2013, 28, 76-89.	1.6	26
231	Sensory characteristics of antioxidant extracts from Uruguayan native plants: Influence of deodorization by steam distillation. Food Science and Technology International, 2013, 19, 485-492.	2.2	4
232	How do design features influence consumer attention when looking for nutritional information on food labels? Results from an eye-tracking study on pan bread labels. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition, 2013, 64, 515-527.	2.8	54
233	Can Eyeâ€Tracking Techniques Overcome a Limitation of Conjoint Analysis? Case Study on Healthfulness Perception of Yogurt Labels. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2013, 28, 370-380.	1.6	27
234	Attentional capture and understanding of nutrition labelling: a study based on response times. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition, 2012, 63, 679-688.	2.8	27

#	Article	IF	Citations
235	Development of functional milk desserts enriched with resistant starch based on consumers' perception. Food Science and Technology International, 2012, 18, 465-475.	2.2	10
236	CONSUMERS' TEXTURE PERCEPTION OF MILK DESSERTS. I $\hat{a} \in$ RELATIONSHIP WITH RHEOLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS. Journal of Texture Studies, 2012, 43, 203-213.	2.5	12
237	Sensory profiling, the blurred line between sensory and consumer science. A review of novel methods for product characterization. Food Research International, 2012, 48, 893-908.	6.2	553
238	Sensory shelf-life estimation: A review of current methodological approaches. Food Research International, 2012, 49, 311-325.	6.2	119
239	CONSUMERS' TEXTURE PERCEPTION OF MILK DESSERTS. II â€" COMPARISON WITH TRAINED ASSESSORS' DATA. Journal of Texture Studies, 2012, 43, 214-226.	2.5	94
240	Consumers' texture vocabulary: Results from a free listing study in three Spanish-speaking countries. Food Quality and Preference, 2011, 22, 165-172.	4.6	43
241	Identifying ideal products using three different consumer profiling methodologies. Comparison with external preference mapping. Food Quality and Preference, 2011, 22, 581-591.	4.6	71
242	Food labels: Do consumers perceive what semiotics want to convey?. Food Quality and Preference, 2011, 22, 689-698.	4.6	80
243	Are consumer profiling techniques equivalent for some product categories? The case of orangeâ€flavoured powdered drinks. International Journal of Food Science and Technology, 2011, 46, 1600-1608.	2.7	84
244	COMPARING OLDER AND YOUNGER USERS' PERCEPTIONS OF MOBILE PHONES AND WATCHES USING CATA QUESTIONS AND PREFERENCE MAPPING ON THE DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2011, 26, 1-12.	1.6	17
245	EXPLORING AND EXPLAINING CREAMINESS PERCEPTION: CONSUMERS' UNDERLYING CONCEPTS. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2011, 26, 40-47.	1.6	44
246	EXTERNAL PREFERENCE MAPPING OF COMMERCIAL ANTIAGING CREAMS BASED ON CONSUMERS' RESPONSES TO A CHECK-ALL-THAT-APPLY QUESTION. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2011, 26, 158-166.	1.6	88
247	SEMIOTICS AND PERCEPTION: DO LABELS CONVEY THE SAME MESSAGES TO OLDER AND YOUNGER CONSUMERS?. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2011, 26, 197-208.	1.6	44
248	IS A CONSUMER PANEL ABLE TO RELIABLY EVALUATE THE TEXTURE OF DAIRY DESSERTS USING UNSTRUCTURED INTENSITY SCALES? EVALUATION OF GLOBAL AND INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2011, 26, 363-370.	1.6	43
249	CONSUMERS' CREAMINESS CONCEPT PERCEPTION: A CROSSâ€CULTURAL STUDY IN THREE SPANISHâ€6PEAKING COUNTRIES. Journal of Texture Studies, 2011, 42, 50-60.	C _{2.5}	23
250	Non-sensory Factors Which Influence Choice Behavior of Foods That Have a Positive Effect on Health. , 2011, , 757-770.		5
251	Identifying consumers' texture vocabulary of milk desserts. Brazilian Journal of Food Technology, 2011, 14, 98-105.	0.8	7
252	Freshness evaluation of refreshing creams: influence of two types of peppermint oil and emulsion formulation. Journal of Cosmetic Science, 2011, 62, 525-33.	0.1	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
253	Application of a checkâ€allâ€thatâ€apply question for the evaluation of strawberry cultivars from a breeding program. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2010, 90, 2268-2275.	3.5	82
254	APPLICATION OF A CHECKâ€ALLâ€THATâ€APPLY QUESTION TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF CHOCOLATE MILK DESSI Journal of Sensory Studies, 2010, 25, 67-86.	ERTS.	138
255	CONSUMER EXPECTATIONS AND PERCEPTION OF CHOCOLATE MILK DESSERTS ENRICHED WITH ANTIOXIDANTS. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2010, 25, 243-260.	1.6	33
256	APPLICATION OF TWO CONSUMER PROFILING TECHNIQUES TO COSMETIC EMULSIONS. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2010, 25, 685-705.	1.6	46
257	Use of an open-ended question to identify drivers of liking of milk desserts. Comparison with preference mapping techniques. Food Quality and Preference, 2010, 21, 286-294.	4.6	122
258	Influence of three non-sensory factors on consumer choice of functional yogurts over regular ones. Food Quality and Preference, 2010, 21, 361-367.	4.6	152
259	Comparison of two sensory profiling techniques based on consumer perception. Food Quality and Preference, 2010, 21, 417-426.	4.6	142
260	Studying the influence of package shape and colour on consumer expectations of milk desserts using word association and conjoint analysis. Food Quality and Preference, 2010, 21, 930-937.	4.6	254
261	Identifying important package features of milk desserts using free listing and word association. Food Quality and Preference, 2010, 21, 621-628.	4.6	77
262	Searching a specific bottle for Tannat wine using a check-all-that apply question and conjoint analysis. Food Quality and Preference, 2010, 21, 684-691.	4.6	39
263	Influence of brand information on consumers' expectations and liking of powdered drinks in central location tests. Food Quality and Preference, 2010, 21, 873-880.	4.6	99
264	Relationship between involvement and functional milk desserts intention to purchase. Influence on attitude towards packaging characteristics. Appetite, 2010, 55, 298-304.	3.7	88
265	Development of a sensory quality index for strawberries based on correlation between sensory data and consumer perception. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 2009, 52, 97-102.	6.0	44
266	COMPARISON OF ATTRIBUTE LIKING AND JAR SCALES TO EVALUATE THE ADEQUACY OF SENSORY ATTRIBUTES OF MILK DESSERTS. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2009, 24, 664-676.	1.6	25
267	Consumer perceived healthiness and willingness to try functional milk desserts. Influence of ingredient, ingredient name and health claim. Food Quality and Preference, 2009, 20, 50-56.	4.6	150
268	Alternatives to reduce the bitterness, astringency and characteristic flavour of antioxidant extracts. Food Research International, 2009, 42, 871-878.	6.2	72
269	Does information about the source of functional ingredients influence consumer perception of functional milk desserts? Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2008, 88, 2061-2068.	3.5	26
270	SENSORY CHARACTERIZATION OF EMOLLIENTS. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2008, 23, 149-161.	1.6	45

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
271	CONSUMER PERCEPTION OF SANDINESS IN DULCE DE LECHE. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2008, 23, 171-185.	1.6	17
272	SURVIVAL ANALYSIS TO ESTIMATE SENSORY SHELF LIFE USING ACCEPTABILITY SCORES. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2008, 23, 571-582.	1.6	21
273	URUGUAYAN CONSUMERS' PERCEPTION OF FUNCTIONAL FOODS. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2008, 23, 614-630.	1.6	32
274	CONSUMER ATTITUDE TOWARD SHELFâ€LIFE LABELING: DOES IT INFLUENCE ACCEPTANCE?. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2008, 23, 871-883.	1.6	11
275	Influence of temperature on accelerated lactose crystallization in dulce de leche. International Journal of Dairy Technology, 2008, 61, 277-283.	2.8	12
276	Sensory shelf life of butterhead lettuce leaves in active and passive modified atmosphere packages. International Journal of Food Science and Technology, 2008, 43, 1671-1677.	2.7	7
277	Influence of modified atmosphere packaging on sensory quality of shiitake mushrooms. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 2008, 49, 164-170.	6.0	90
278	Failure criteria based on consumers' rejection to determine the sensory shelf life of minimally processed lettuce. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 2008, 49, 255-259.	6.0	23
279	Sensory shelf life estimation of minimally processed lettuce considering two stages of consumers' decision-making process. Appetite, 2008, 50, 529-535.	3.7	26
280	Influence of nutritional knowledge on perceived healthiness and willingness to try functional foods. Appetite, 2008, 51, 663-668.	3.7	119
281	Understanding consumers' perception of conventional and functional yogurts using word association and hard laddering. Food Quality and Preference, 2008, 19, 636-643.	4.6	167
282	Food choice and food consumption frequency for Uruguayan consumers. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition, 2008, 59, 211-223.	2.8	30
283	Influence of gender, age and motives underlying food choice on perceived healthiness and willingness to try functional foods. Appetite, 2007, 49, 148-158.	3.7	342
284	Shelf life estimation of brown pan bread: A consumer approach. Food Quality and Preference, 2007, 18, 196-204.	4.6	80
285	Sensory and microbiological quality of shiitake mushrooms in modified-atmosphere packages. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2007, 87, 1645-1652.	3.5	52
286	Influence of gelatin and starch on the instrumental and sensory texture of stirred yogurt. International Journal of Dairy Technology, 2007, 60, 263-269.	2.8	64
287	PREFERENCE MAPPING OF COLOR OF URUGUAYAN HONEYS. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2007, 22, 507-519.	1.6	37
288	SHELF-LIFE ESTIMATION OF APPLE-BABY FOOD. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2006, 21, 101-111.	1.6	45

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
289	PREFERENCE MAPPING OF TEXTURE OF DULCE DE LECHE. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2006, 21, 553-571.	1.6	27
290	INFLUENCE OF ENZYMES ON THE TEXTURE OF BROWN PAN BREAD. Journal of Texture Studies, 2006, 37, 300-314.	2.5	53
291	INSTRUMENTAL METHODS TO CHARACTERIZE NONORAL TEXTURE OF DULCE DE LECHE. Journal of Texture Studies, 2006, 37, 553-567.	2.5	10
292	Sensory shelf life of shiitake mushrooms stored under passive modified atmosphere. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 2006, 41, 191-197.	6.0	89
293	How Do Nutritional Warnings Work on Commercial Products? Results From a Hypothetical Choice Experiment. Frontiers in Nutrition, 0, 9, .	3.7	2