
Franck Levy

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2641059/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



FRANCELEVY

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Aortic Valve Replacement for Low-Flow/Low-Gradient Aortic Stenosis. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2008, 51, 1466-1472.	2.8	255
2	Low-Gradient, Low-Flow Severe AorticÂStenosis WithÂPreserved Left Ventricular EjectionÂFraction. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2015, 65, 55-66.	2.8	171
3	Prognostic Value of Preoperative Atrial Fibrillation in Patients With Aortic Stenosis and Low Ejection Fraction Having Aortic Valve Replacement. American Journal of Cardiology, 2006, 98, 809-811.	1.6	52
4	Usefulness of 3-Tesla Cardiac Magnetic Resonance to Detect Mitral Annular Disjunction in Patients With Mitral Valve Prolapse. American Journal of Cardiology, 2019, 124, 1725-1730.	1.6	43
5	Usefulness of Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Aortic Stenosis. Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2020, 13, e010356.	2.6	41
6	Performance of new automated transthoracic three-dimensional echocardiographic software for left ventricular volumes and function assessment in routine clinical practice: Comparison with 3ÂTesla cardiac magnetic resonance. Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases, 2017, 110, 580-589.	1.6	37
7	Echocardiographic prediction of postoperative atrial fibrillation after aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis: A two-dimensional speckle tracking left ventricular longitudinal strain multicentre pilot study. Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases, 2012, 105, 499-506.	1.6	36
8	Determinants and Prognosis of Atrial Fibrillation inÂPatients With Aortic Stenosis. American Journal of Cardiology, 2015, 116, 1541-1546.	1.6	35
9	Valvuloarterial impedance does not improve risk stratification in low-ejection fraction, low-gradient aortic stenosis: results from a multicentre study. European Journal of Echocardiography, 2011, 12, 358-363.	2.3	27
10	Clinical Significance of Ejection Dynamics Parameters in Patients with Aortic Stenosis: An Outcome Study. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography, 2018, 31, 551-560.e2.	2.8	27
11	Hemodynamic Performance during Exercise of the New St. Jude Trifecta Aortic Bioprosthesis: Results from a French Multicenter Study. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography, 2014, 27, 590-597.	2.8	26
12	The value of cardiopulmonary exercise testing in individuals with apparently asymptomatic severe aortic stenosis: A pilot study. Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases, 2014, 107, 519-528.	1.6	24
13	Quantitative assessment of primary mitral regurgitation using left ventricular volumes obtained with new automated three-dimensional transthoracic echocardiographic software: A comparison with 3-Tesla cardiac magnetic resonance. Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases, 2018, 111, 507-517.	1.6	20
14	Comparison of Mitral Regurgitant Volume Assessment between Proximal Flow Convergence and Volumetric Methods in Patients with Significant Primary Mitral Regurgitation: An Echocardiographic and Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography, 2022, 35, 671-681.	2.8	14
15	Usefulness of 3-Tesla cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in the assessment of aortic stenosis severity in routine clinical practice. Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases, 2016, 109, 618-625.	1.6	13
16	Haemodynamic performance of the small supra-annular Trifecta bioprosthesis: results from a French multicentre study. Interactive Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 22, 439-444.	1.1	11
17	Influence of Prolapse Volume in Mitral Valve Prolapse. American Journal of Cardiology, 2021, 157, 64-70.	1.6	10
18	Right-Sided Heart Structural and Functional Remodeling in Mitral Regurgitation Secondary to Mitral Valve Prolapse. American Journal of Cardiology, 2018, 122, 2095-2103.	1.6	8

FRANCK LEVY

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Assessment of left ventricular size and function by 3-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography: Impact of the echocardiography platform and analysis software. American Heart Journal, 2018, 202, 127-136.	2.7	8
20	Performance of a new fully automated transthoracic threeâ€dimensional echocardiographic software for quantification of left cardiac chamber size and function: Comparison with 3 Tesla cardiac magnetic resonance. Journal of Clinical Ultrasound, 2019, 47, 546-554.	0.8	8
21	Role of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance in Native Valvular Regurgitation: A Comprehensive Review of Protocols, Grading of Severity, and Prediction of Valve Surgery. Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine, 0, 9, .	2.4	8
22	Letter by Levy and Tribouilloy Regarding Article, "Atrial Fibrillation Is Associated With Increased Mortality in Patients Undergoing Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement: Insights From the Placement of Aortic Transcatheter Valve (PARTNER) Trial― Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, e003705.	3.9	4
23	Dedicated heart valve networks for improving the outcome of patients with valvular heart disease?. Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases, 2018, 111, 465-469.	1.6	3
24	Non-contrast myocardial T1 global and regional reference values at 3 Tesla cardiac magnetic resonance in aortic stenosis. Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases, 2021, 114, 293-304.	1.6	3
25	Refining Risk Stratification in SevereÂAortic Stenosis With LeftÂAtrialÂVolume and Atrial Fibrillation. JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2022, 15, 945-947.	5.3	2
26	Percutaneous closure of a paravalvular prosthetic mitral leak complicated by need for acute hemodialysis. Journal of Clinical Ultrasound, 2021, , .	0.8	1
27	Echocardiographic characteristics of nonâ€resectional ringâ€only valve repair in mitral valve prolapse. Echocardiography, 2022, , .	0.9	0
28	The Authors' Reply: Awareness of the relationship between sex, body surface area, and the quantification of mitral regurgitant volume in patients with significant primary mitral regurgitation. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography, 2022, , .	2.8	0