
Kim Bettens

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/261297/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



KIM RETTENS

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Sociodemographics and Quality of Life in Dutch-Speaking Adolescents and Adults With and Without a Cleft Lip and/or Palate. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2022, 59, S65-S73.	0.5	1
2	Speech diagnosis and intervention in children with a repaired cleft palate: A qualitative study of Flemish private community speech–language pathologists' practices. International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 2022, 24, 53-66.	0.6	7
3	One Size Doesn't Fit All: A Pilot Study Toward Performance-Specific Speech Intervention in Children With a Cleft (Lip and) Palate. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 2022, 65, 469-486.	0.7	5
4	Age and Gender Differences in Belgian Dutch Intonation. Journal of Voice, 2022, , .	0.6	2
5	Better speech outcomes after very early palatal repair?—A longitudinal case-control study in Ugandan children with cleft palate. Journal of Communication Disorders, 2022, 96, 106198.	0.8	0
6	Listeners' attitudes towards voice disorders: An interaction between auditory and visual stimuli. Journal of Communication Disorders, 2022, 99, 106241.	0.8	1
7	The promise of self-determination theory to study the therapist-client relationship in speech-language treatment. Journal of Communication Disorders, 2021, 89, 106059.	0.8	7
8	From excitement to selfâ€doubt and insecurity: Speech–language pathologists' perceptions and experiences when treating children with a cleft palate. International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders, 2021, 56, 739-753.	0.7	1
9	Reliability of Outcome Measures to Assess Consonant Proficiency Following Cleft Palate Speech Intervention: The Percentage of Consonants Correct Metric and the Probe Scoring System. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 2021, 64, 1811-1828.	0.7	0
10	ls High-Intensity Speech Intervention Better? A Comparison of High-Intensity Intervention Versus Low-Intensity Intervention in Children With a Cleft Palate. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 2021, 64, 3398-3415.	0.7	7
11	Technical Reading and Writing Skills and Their Relationship with Linguistic Processes in Children with a Cleft (Lip and) Palate: A Comparison with Peers. Folia Phoniatrica Et Logopaedica, 2021, 73, 1-11.	0.5	3
12	Parents' perceptions on speech therapy delivery models in children with a cleft palate: A mixed methods study. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2021, 151, 110958.	0.4	3
13	Perceptual Speech Outcomes After Early Primary Palatal Repair in Ugandan Patients With Cleft Palate. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2021, 58, 999-1011.	0.5	2
14	Lipofilling in patients with a cleft lip (and palate) – a pilot study assessing functional outcomes and patients' satisfaction with appearance. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2020, 128, 109692.	0.4	10
15	Stages in the Development and Validation of a Belgian Dutch Outcome Tool for the Perceptual Evaluation of Speech in Patients With Cleft Palate. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2020, 57, 43-54.	0.5	20
16	Impact of speech rate and mouth opening on hypernasality and speech intelligibility in children with a cleft (lip and) palate. Journal of Communication Disorders, 2020, 83, 105950.	0.8	1
17	Comparison of motor-phonetic versus phonetic-phonological speech therapy approaches in patients with a cleft (lip and) palate: a study in Uganda. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2020, 131, 109849.	0.4	12
18	Parental perceptions and expectations concerning speech therapy-related cleft care - a qualitative study. Journal of Communication Disorders, 2020, 87, 106028.	0.8	7

Kim Bettens

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Peer attitudes toward children with cleft (lip and) palate related to speech intelligibility, hypernasality and articulation. Journal of Communication Disorders, 2020, 85, 105991.	0.8	5
20	A cleft care workshop for speech and language pathologists in resource-limited countries: The participants' experiences about cleft care in Uganda and satisfaction with the training effect. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2020, 134, 110052.	0.4	4
21	Effectiveness of Speech Intervention in Patients With a Cleft Palate: Comparison of Motor-Phonetic Versus Linguistic-Phonological Speech Approaches. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 2020, 63, 3909-3933.	0.7	20
22	Health-related quality of life in patients with cleft palate: Reproducibility, responsiveness and construct validity of the Dutch version of the VELO questionnaire. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2019, 119, 141-146.	0.4	12
23	Assessing health-related quality of life in patients with cleft palate in resource-limited countries: A preliminary evaluation of the VELO questionnaire in Uganda. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2019, 124, 39-46.	0.4	9
24	Intensive speech therapy in Ugandan patients with cleft (lip and) palate: a pilot-study assessing long-term effectiveness. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2019, 123, 156-167.	0.4	11
25	Oral habits in Children with Cleft (Lip and) Palate: A Pilot Study. Folia Phoniatrica Et Logopaedica, 2019, 71, 191-196.	0.5	3
26	The relationship between health-related quality of life and speech in patients with cleft palate. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2019, 120, 112-117.	0.4	22
27	Massed Versus Spaced Practice in Vocology: Effect of a Short-Term Intensive Voice Therapy Versus a Long-Term Traditional Voice Therapy. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 2019, 62, 611-630.	0.7	12
28	Perceptual evaluation of hypernasality, audible nasal airflow and speech understandability using ordinal and visual analogue scaling and their relation with nasalance scores. Journal of Communication Disorders, 2018, 76, 11-20.	0.8	16
29	Health-related quality of life in patients with cleft palate: Validity and reliability of the VPI Effects on Life Outcomes (VELO) questionnaire translated to Dutch. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2017, 98, 91-96.	0.4	19
30	Influence of gender and age on the Nasality Severity Index 2.0 in Dutch-speaking Flemish children and adults. Logopedics Phoniatrics Vocology, 2017, 42, 133-140.	0.5	8
31	Delayed primary palatal closure in resource-poor countries: Speech results in Ugandan older children and young adults with cleft (lip and) palate. Journal of Communication Disorders, 2017, 69, 1-14.	0.8	17
32	Short-term effect of short, intensive speech therapy on articulation and resonance in Ugandan patients with cleft (lip and) palate. Journal of Communication Disorders, 2016, 61, 71-82.	0.8	12
33	The Nasality Severity Index 2.0: Revision of an Objective Multiparametric Approach to Hypernasality. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2016, 53, 60-70.	0.5	15
34	The impact of palatal repair before and after 6 months of age on speech characteristics. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2014, 78, 787-798.	0.4	24
35	Instrumental assessment of velopharyngeal function and resonance: A review. Journal of Communication Disorders, 2014, 52, 170-183.	0.8	44
36	Oral strength in subjects with a unilateral cleft lip and palate. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2014, 78, 1306-1310.	0.4	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Impact of Early Synchronous Lip and Palatal Repair on Speech. Folia Phoniatrica Et Logopaedica, 2013, 65, 303-311.	0.5	10