

# Alberto Martín-Martín

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2567070/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

23  
papers

2,055  
citations

566801

15  
h-index

713013

21  
g-index

34  
all docs

34  
docs citations

34  
times ranked

1964  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Google Scholar, Web of Science, and Scopus: A systematic comparison of citations in 252 subject categories. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018, 12, 1160-1177.	1.4	892
2	Google Scholar, Microsoft Academic, Scopus, Dimensions, Web of Science, and OpenCitations™ COCI: a multidisciplinary comparison of coverage via citations. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021, 126, 871-906.	1.6	389
3	Can we use Google Scholar to identify highly-cited documents?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017, 11, 152-163.	1.4	108
4	Coverage of highly-cited documents in Google Scholar, Web of Science, and Scopus: a multidisciplinary comparison. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018, 116, 2175-2188.	1.6	105
5	Methods for estimating the size of Google Scholar. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2015, 104, 931-949.	1.6	100
6	Evidence of open access of scientific publications in Google Scholar: A large-scale analysis. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018, 12, 819-841.	1.4	74
7	Do ResearchGate Scores create ghost academic reputations?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017, 112, 443-460.	1.6	56
8	Google Scholar as a Data Source for Research Assessment. <i>Springer Handbooks</i> , 2019, , 95-127.	0.3	48
9	Author-level metrics in the new academic profile platforms: The online behaviour of the Bibliometrics community. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018, 12, 494-509.	1.4	34
10	The silent fading of an academic search engine: the case of Microsoft Academic Search. <i>Online Information Review</i> , 2014, 38, 936-953.	2.2	28
11	Google Scholar como una fuente de evaluación científica: una revisión bibliográfica sobre errores de la base de datos. <i>Revista Española De Documentación Científica</i> , 2017, 40, 185.	0.1	27
12	ResearchGate como fuente de evaluación científica: desvelando sus aplicaciones bibliométricas. <i>Profesional De La Información</i> , 2016, 25, 303.	2.7	26
13	A novel method for depicting academic disciplines through Google Scholar Citations: The case of Bibliometrics. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018, 114, 1251-1273.	1.6	25
14	The next bibliometrics: ALMetrics (Author Level Metrics) and the multiple faces of author impact. <i>Profesional De La Información</i> , 2016, 25, 485.	2.7	24
15	Back to the past: on the shoulders of an academic search engine giant. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016, 107, 1477-1487.	1.6	18
16	Un panorama académico de dos caras: retrato de los documentos altamente citados en Google Scholar (1950-2013). <i>Revista Española De Documentación Científica</i> , 2016, 39, 149.	0.1	14
17	Las revistas universitarias en el marco de los criterios de evaluación de la actividad investigadora en España. <i>Revista Española De Documentación Científica</i> , 2015, 38, e081.	0.1	13
18	Analysis of the coverage of the Data Citation Index “ Thomson Reuters: disciplines, document types and repositories. <i>Revista Española De Documentación Científica</i> , 2014, 37, e036.	0.1	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The lost academic home: institutional affiliation links in Google Scholar Citations. <i>Online Information Review</i> , 2017, 41, 762-781.	2.2	10
20	Thomson Reuters utiliza altmetrics: usage counts para los artículos indizados en la Web of Science. <i>Anuario ThinkEPI</i> , 0, 10, 209.	0.0	3
21	Nature's top 100 Re-visited. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2015, 66, 2714-2714.	1.5	2
22	Apagón digital de la producción científica española en Google Scholar. <i>Anuario ThinkEPI</i> , 0, 12, 265.	0.0	2
23	La cobertura de los índices de citas abiertos se acerca a la de Web of Science y Scopus. <i>Anuario ThinkEPI</i> , 0, 15, .	0.0	1