

Jonathan Adams

List of Publications by Citations

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2550338/jonathan-adams-publications-by-citations.pdf>

Version: 2024-04-20

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

23
papers

1,279
citations

16
h-index

23
g-index

23
ext. papers

1,609
ext. citations

10.5
avg, IF

5.54
L-index

#	Paper	IF	Citations
23	Collaborations: The fourth age of research. <i>Nature</i> , 2013 , 497, 557-60	50.4	273
22	Collaborations: The rise of research networks. <i>Nature</i> , 2012 , 490, 335-6	50.4	242
21	Web of Science as a data source for research on scientific and scholarly activity. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2020 , 1, 363-376	3.8	104
20	Early citation counts correlate with accumulated impact. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2005 , 63, 567-581	3	103
19	Why are males bigger than females in pre-copula pairs of <i>Gammarus pulex</i> ?. <i>Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology</i> , 1983 , 13, 239-241	2.5	84
18	International collaboration clusters in Africa. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 98, 547-556	3	78
17	Benchmarking international research. <i>Nature</i> , 1998 , 396, 615-8	50.4	54
16	Variation in sex determination in natural populations of a shrimp. <i>Journal of Evolutionary Biology</i> , 1988 , 1, 355-368	2.3	53
15	Transovarial Transmission and Sex Ratio Distortion by a Microsporidian Parasite in a Shrimp. <i>Journal of Invertebrate Pathology</i> , 1993 , 61, 248-252	2.6	51
14	Do altmetrics assess societal impact in a comparable way to case studies? An empirical test of the convergent validity of altmetrics based on data from the UK research excellence framework (REF). <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 325-340	3.1	45
13	Profiling citation impact: A new methodology. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2007 , 72, 325-344	3	30
12	Comments on a critique of the Thomson Reuters journal impact factor. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2012 , 92, 395-403	3	29
11	The use of bibliometrics to measure research quality in UK higher education institutions. <i>Archivum Immunologiae Et Therapiae Experimentalis</i> , 2009 , 57, 19-32	4	27
10	Calibrating the zoom: A test of Zitt's hypothesis. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2008 , 75, 81-95	3	27
9	Academics or executives? Continuity and change in the roles of pro-vice-chancellors. <i>Higher Education Quarterly</i> , 2008 , 62, 340-357	1.3	26
8	How much is too much? The difference between research influence and self-citation excess. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020 , 123, 1119-1147	3	18
7	Comment: A well-connected world. <i>Nature</i> , 2015 , 527, S58-9	50.4	12

6	The integrated impact indicator revisited (I3*): a non-parametric alternative to the journal impact factor. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 119, 1669-1694	3	11
5	Sample size in bibliometric analysis. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020 , 125, 777-794	3	9
4	Longitudinal variation in national research publication portfolios: Steps required to index balance and evenness. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2020 , 1, 1182-1202	3.8	3
3	R package for producing beamplots as a preferred alternative to the h index when assessing single researchers (based on downloads from Web of Science). <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 120, 925-927	3	0
2	Comparing standard, collaboration and fractional CNCI at the institutional level: Consequences for performance evaluation. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 1	3	0
1	Introduction to the second edition of Handbook for Scientometrics: Science and Technology Development Indicators. <i>Science Management Theory and Practice</i> , 2020 , 2, 118-132	0.5	