

Jonathan Adams

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2550338/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

23
papers

2,026
citations

471061

17
h-index

713013

21
g-index

23
all docs

23
docs citations

23
times ranked

1741
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The fourth age of research. <i>Nature</i> , 2013, 497, 557-560.	13.7	402
2	Web of Science as a data source for research on scientific and scholarly activity. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2020, 1, 363-376.	1.6	374
3	The rise of research networks. <i>Nature</i> , 2012, 490, 335-336.	13.7	331
4	Early citation counts correlate with accumulated impact. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2005, 63, 567-581.	1.6	126
5	International collaboration clusters in Africa. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014, 98, 547-556.	1.6	103
6	Why are males bigger than females in pre-copula pairs of <i>Gammarus pulex</i> ?. <i>Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology</i> , 1983, 13, 239-241.	0.6	98
7	Do altmetrics assess societal impact in a comparable way to case studies? An empirical test of the convergent validity of altmetrics based on data from the UK research excellence framework (REF). <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019, 13, 325-340.	1.4	73
8	Benchmarking international research. <i>Nature</i> , 1998, 396, 615-618.	13.7	69
9	Sample size in bibliometric analysis. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020, 125, 777-794.	1.6	65
10	Variation in sex determination in natural populations of a shrimp. <i>Journal of Evolutionary Biology</i> , 1988, 1, 355-368.	0.8	64
11	Transovarial Transmission and Sex Ratio Distortion by a Microsporidian Parasite in a Shrimp. <i>Journal of Invertebrate Pathology</i> , 1993, 61, 248-252.	1.5	62
12	How much is too much? The difference between research influence and self-citation excess. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020, 123, 1119-1147.	1.6	47
13	The use of bibliometrics to measure research quality in UK higher education institutions. <i>Archivum Immunologiae Et Therapiae Experimentalis</i> , 2009, 57, 19-32.	1.0	43
14	Comments on a critique of the Thomson Reuters journal impact factor. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2012, 92, 395-401.	1.6	38
15	Profiling citation impact: A new methodology. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2007, 72, 325-344.	1.6	37
16	Calibrating the zoom – a test of Zitt’s hypothesis. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2008, 75, 81-95.	1.6	29
17	Academics or executives? Continuity and change in the roles of pro-vice-chancellors > 1 </sup>. <i>Higher Education Quarterly</i> , 2008, 62, 340-357.	1.8	29
18	Comment: A well-connected world. <i>Nature</i> , 2015, 527, S58-S59.	13.7	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The integrated impact indicator revisited $\hat{I}3^*$: a non-parametric alternative to the journal impact factor. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019, 119, 1669-1694.	1.6	12
20	Longitudinal variation in national research publication portfolios: Steps required to index balance and evenness. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2020, 1, 1182-1202.	1.6	4
21	Comparing standard, collaboration and fractional CNCI at the institutional level: Consequences for performance evaluation. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 0, , 1.	1.6	3
22	R package for producing beamplots as a preferred alternative to the h index when assessing single researchers (based on downloads from Web of Science). <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019, 120, 925-927.	1.6	1
23	Introduction to the second edition of Handbook for Scientometrics: Science and Technology Development Indicators. <i>Science Management Theory and Practice</i> , 2020, 2, 118-132.	0.2	0