

Hernando Rojas

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2400358/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

51
papers

2,458
citations

236925

25
h-index

214800

47
g-index

54
all docs

54
docs citations

54
times ranked

1376
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Contexts of Political Participation: the Communication Mediation Model Under Varying Structural Conditions of the Public Sphere. <i>International Journal of Press/Politics</i> , 2022, 27, 942-962.	5.1	4
2	Social Media, Messaging Apps, and Affective Polarization in the United States and Japan. <i>Mass Communication and Society</i> , 2022, 25, 673-697.	2.1	20
3	Social Media Expression, Political Extremity, and Reduced Network Interaction: An Imagined Audience Approach. <i>Social Media and Society</i> , 2022, 8, 205630512110690.	3.0	5
4	The more you know, the less you like: A comparative study of how news and political conversation shape political knowledge and affective polarization. <i>Communication and the Public</i> , 2022, 7, 40-56.	1.1	7
5	Group Consciousness and Corrective Action: The Mediating Role of Perceived Media Bias and of Proattitudinal Selective Exposure. <i>Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly</i> , 2021, 98, 105-125.	2.7	5
6	Political tolerance of demobilizing armed actors: The case of FARC in Colombia. <i>Media, War and Conflict</i> , 2021, 14, 221-238.	1.9	2
7	Communication Mediation Model Predicting Political Participation among Instant Messaging App Users: An OSROR Approach. <i>Communication Studies</i> , 2021, 72, 490-512.	1.2	7
8	What Motivates People to Correct Misinformation? Examining the Effects of Third-person Perceptions and Perceived Norms. <i>Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media</i> , 2021, 65, 111-134.	1.5	24
9	Comparative Corrective Action: Perceived Media Bias and Political Action in 17 Countries. <i>International Journal of Public Opinion Research</i> , 2020, 32, 732-749.	1.3	9
10	Chatting in a mobile chamber: effects of instant messenger use on tolerance toward political misinformation among South Koreans. <i>Asian Journal of Communication</i> , 2020, 30, 470-493.	1.0	14
11	Opinion Leaders, Perceived Media Hostility and Political Participation. <i>Communication Studies</i> , 2020, 71, 753-767.	1.2	3
12	“Fake News Is Anything They Say!” Conceptualization and Weaponization of Fake News among the American Public. <i>Mass Communication and Society</i> , 2020, 23, 755-778.	2.1	55
13	Taming the digital information tide to promote equality. <i>Nature Human Behaviour</i> , 2019, 3, 1134-1136.	12.0	5
14	A Call to Contextualize Public Opinion-Based Research in Political Communication. <i>Political Communication</i> , 2019, 36, 652-659.	3.9	46
15	Second Screening for News and Digital Divides. <i>Social Science Computer Review</i> , 2019, 37, 55-72.	4.2	11
16	Efficacy Beliefs in Third-Person Effects. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2018, 45, 554-576.	5.9	22
17	Silencing Political Opinions: An Assessment of the Influence of Geopolitical Contexts in Colombia. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2018, 45, 55-82.	5.9	5
18	Political Expression on Social Media: The Role of Communication Competence and Expected Outcomes. <i>Social Media and Society</i> , 2017, 3, 205630511769652.	3.0	31

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The politics of "Unfriending": User filtration in response to political disagreement on social media. <i>Computers in Human Behavior</i> , 2017, 70, 22-29.	8.5	69
20	Revising the Communication Mediation Model for a New Political Communication Ecology. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2017, 43, 491-504.	3.4	123
21	Mobile phones and political participation in Colombia: Mobile Twitter versus mobile Facebook. <i>Communication and the Public</i> , 2016, 1, 159-173.	1.1	10
22	Why Are "Others" So Polarized? Perceived Political Polarization and Media Use in 10 Countries. <i>Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication</i> , 2016, 21, 349-367.	3.3	85
23	Egocentric publics and corrective action. <i>Communication and the Public</i> , 2016, 1, 27-38.	1.1	27
24	A Tribute to Professor Wolfgang Donsbach. <i>International Journal of Public Opinion Research</i> , 2015, 27, 447-447.	1.3	0
25	Perceptions of the Media and the Public and their Effects on Political Participation in Colombia. <i>Mass Communication and Society</i> , 2015, 18, 259-280.	2.1	9
26	Hostile Media Perceptions, Presumed Media Influence, and Political Talk: Expanding the Corrective Action Hypothesis. <i>International Journal of Public Opinion Research</i> , 2014, 26, 135-156.	1.3	85
27	Persuasion and Affect in the Framing of Poverty: An Experiment on Goal Framing. <i>Signo Y Pensamiento</i> , 2014, 33, 51.	0.1	0
28	Sources in the News. <i>Journalism Studies</i> , 2014, 15, 374-391.	2.1	92
29	Political Participation and Ideological News Online: "Differential Gains" and "Differential Losses" in a Presidential Election Cycle. <i>Mass Communication and Society</i> , 2014, 17, 464-486.	2.1	28
30	Reconsidering "virtuous circle"™ and "media malaise"™ theories of the media: An 11-nation study. <i>Journalism</i> , 2014, 15, 815-833.	2.7	50
31	Online Threat, But Television is Still Dominant. <i>Journalism Practice</i> , 2013, 7, 690-704.	2.2	57
32	INTERNATIONAL TV NEWS, FOREIGN AFFAIRS INTEREST AND PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE. <i>Journalism Studies</i> , 2013, 14, 387-406.	2.1	77
33	Communication, Consumers, and Citizens. <i>Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science</i> , 2012, 644, 6-19.	1.6	21
34	Exemplifying a Dispositional Approach to Cross-Cultural Spiral of Silence Research: Fear of Social Isolation and the Inclination to Self-Censor. <i>International Journal of Public Opinion Research</i> , 2012, 24, 287-305.	1.3	49
35	Modifying perceptions of hostility and credibility of news coverage of an environmental controversy through media literacy. <i>Journalism</i> , 2012, 13, 942-959.	2.7	35
36	Consuming Ourselves to Dearth. <i>Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science</i> , 2012, 644, 280-293.	1.6	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Public Broadcasting, Media Engagement, and 2-1-1. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2012, 43, S443-S449.	3.0	7
38	Hostile Public Effect: Communication Diversity and the Projection of Personal Opinions Onto Others. Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media, 2011, 55, 543-562.	1.5	21
39	A Communicative Approach to Social Capital. Journal of Communication, 2011, 61, 689-712.	3.7	48
40	Correlates of Party, Ideology and Issue Based Extremity in an era of Egocentric Publics. International Journal of Press/Politics, 2011, 16, 488-507.	5.1	38
41	"Corrective" Actions in the Public Sphere: How Perceptions of Media and Media Effects Shape Political Behaviors. International Journal of Public Opinion Research, 2010, 22, 343-363.	1.3	201
42	Mobilizers Mobilized: Information, Expression, Mobilization and Participation in the Digital Age. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 2009, 14, 902-927.	3.3	207
43	Media Literacy Training Reduces Perception of Bias. Newspaper Research Journal, 2009, 30, 68-81.	0.9	44
44	The Role of Ego Enhancement and Perceived Message Exposure in Third-Person Judgments Concerning Violent Video Games. American Behavioral Scientist, 2008, 52, 165-185.	3.8	36
45	Strategy Versus Understanding. Communication Research, 2008, 35, 452-480.	5.9	67
46	The Networked Public Sphere. Javnost, 2006, 13, 5-26.	1.7	91
47	Examining the Effects of Public Journalism on Civil Society from 1994 TO 2002: Organizational Factors, Project Features, Story Frames, and Citizen Engagement. Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly, 2006, 83, 77-100.	2.7	22
48	Media Dialogue: Perceiving and Addressing Community Problems. Mass Communication and Society, 2005, 8, 93-110.	2.1	46
49	Personifying the Radical: How News Framing Polarizes Security Concerns and Tolerance Judgments. Human Communication Research, 2005, 31, 337-364.	3.4	19
50	FOR THE GOOD OF OTHERS: CENSORSHIP AND THE THIRD-PERSON EFFECT. International Journal of Public Opinion Research, 1996, 8, 163-186.	1.3	232
51	Social media engagement against fear of restrictions and surveillance: The mediating role of privacy management. New Media and Society, 0, , 146144482210772.	5.0	2