Morena Mills

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2329758/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

136950 118850 4,272 62 32 62 citations h-index g-index papers 66 66 66 6172 citing authors docs citations times ranked all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The cost and feasibility of marine coastal restoration. Ecological Applications, 2016, 26, 1055-1074.	3.8	495
2	A social–ecological approach to conservation planning: embedding social considerations. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 2013, 11, 194-202.	4.0	419
3	Adaptive management of the Great Barrier Reef: A globally significant demonstration of the benefits of networks of marine reserves. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2010, 107, 18278-18285.	7.1	408
4	Connectivity, biodiversity conservation and the design of marine reserve networks for coral reefs. Coral Reefs, 2009, 28, 339-351.	2.2	314
5	Strategic approaches to restoring ecosystems can triple conservation gains and halve costs. Nature Ecology and Evolution, 2019, 3, 62-70.	7.8	199
6	Revisiting "Success―and "Failure―of Marine Protected Areas: A Conservation Scientist Perspective. Frontiers in Marine Science, 2018, 5, .	2.5	174
7	Well-being outcomes of marine protected areas. Nature Sustainability, 2019, 2, 524-532.	23.7	160
8	Designing, implementing and managing marine protected areas: Emerging trends and opportunities for coral reef nations. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 2011, 408, 21-31.	1.5	113
9	Biodiversity Risks from Fossil Fuel Extraction. Science, 2013, 342, 425-426.	12.6	110
10	Linking regional planning and local action: Towards using social network analysis in systematic conservation planning. Biological Conservation, 2014, 169, 6-13.	4.1	109
11	Social networks supporting governance of coastal ecosystems in Solomon Islands. Conservation Letters, 2012, 5, 376-386.	5.7	105
12	The plan of the day: Managing the dynamic transition from regional conservation designs to local conservation actions. Biological Conservation, 2013, 166, 155-169.	4.1	102
13	A mismatch of scales: challenges in planning for implementation of marine protected areas in the Coral Triangle. Conservation Letters, 2010, 3, 291-303.	5 . 7	100
14	The economic value of ecosystem services in the Great Barrier Reef: our state of knowledge. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2011, 1219, 113-133.	3.8	75
15	Achieving the promise of integration in social-ecological research: a review and prospectus. Ecology and Society, 2018, 23, .	2.3	66
16	When conservation goes viral: The diffusion of innovative biodiversity conservation policies and practices. Conservation Letters, 2018, 11, e12442.	5.7	59
17	Research advances and gaps in marine planning: towards a global database in systematic conservation planning. Biological Conservation, 2018, 227, 369-382.	4.1	58
18	Qualitative impact evaluation of a social marketing campaign for conservation. Conservation Biology, 2019, 33, 634-644.	4.7	56

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Natural regeneration and biodiversity: a global metaâ€analysis and implications for spatial planning. Biotropica, 2016, 48, 844-855.	1.6	55
20	Real-world progress in overcoming the challenges of adaptive spatial planning in marine protected areas. Biological Conservation, 2015, 181, 54-63.	4.1	54
21	Incorporating habitat availability into systematic planning for restoration: a speciesâ€specific approach for Atlantic Forest mammals. Diversity and Distributions, 2015, 21, 1027-1037.	4.1	53
22	Improving social acceptability of marine protected area networks: A method for estimating opportunity costs to multiple gear types in both fished and currently unfished areas. Biological Conservation, 2011, 144, 350-361.	4.1	51
23	Incorporating Effectiveness of Community-Based Management in a National Marine Gap Analysis for Fiji. Conservation Biology, 2011, 25, 1155-1164.	4.7	45
24	Reconciling Development and Conservation under Coastal Squeeze from Rising Sea Level. Conservation Letters, 2016, 9, 361-368.	5.7	43
25	Recasting shortfalls of marine protected areas as opportunities through adaptive management. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 2012, 22, 262-271.	2.0	40
26	Evaluating Perceived Benefits of Ecoregional Assessments. Conservation Biology, 2012, 26, 851-861.	4.7	39
27	Understanding Characteristics that Define the Feasibility of Conservation Actions in a Common Pool Marine Resource Governance System. Conservation Letters, 2013, 6, 418-429.	5.7	39
28	A Multidisciplinary Conceptualization of Conservation Opportunity. Conservation Biology, 2014, 28, 1484-1496.	4.7	39
29	Integrated conservation planning for coral reefs: Designing conservation zones for multiple conservation objectives in spatial prioritisation. Global Ecology and Conservation, 2017, 11, 53-68.	2.1	39
30	Implementation strategies for systematic conservation planning. Ambio, 2019, 48, 139-152.	5.5	39
31	How conservation initiatives go to scale. Nature Sustainability, 2019, 2, 935-940.	23.7	38
32	Perceived and projected flood risk and adaptation in coastal Southeast Queensland, Australia. Climatic Change, 2016, 136, 523-537.	3.6	37
33	Characterizing Spatial Uncertainty when Integrating Social Data in Conservation Planning. Conservation Biology, 2014, 28, 1497-1511.	4.7	36
34	Evaluating the impact of the documentary series <i>Blue Planet <scp>II</scp></i> on viewers' plastic consumption behaviors. Conservation Science and Practice, 2020, 2, e280.	2.0	33
35	Analysis of Progress Towards a Comprehensive System of Marine Protected Areas in Brazil. Natureza A Conservacao, 2013, 11, 81-87.	2.5	33
36	A conservation planning approach to mitigate the impacts of leakage from protected area networks. Conservation Biology, 2015, 29, 765-774.	4.7	31

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The Value of Using Feasibility Models in Systematic Conservation Planning to Predict Landholder Management Uptake. Conservation Biology, 2014, 28, 1462-1473.	4.7	30
38	Impacts of the Moreton Bay Marine Park rezoning on commercial fishermen. Marine Policy, 2013, 39, 248-256.	3.2	28
39	Barriers and opportunities for adapting to climate change on the North Coast of São Paulo, Brazil. Regional Environmental Change, 2017, 17, 1739-1750.	2.9	28
40	A comparison of the external morphology of the membranous inner ear in elasmobranchs. Journal of Morphology, 2010, 271, 483-495.	1.2	27
41	Simple rules can guide whether land- or ocean-based conservation will best benefit marine ecosystems. PLoS Biology, 2017, 15, e2001886.	5.6	27
42	Differences among protected area governance types matter for conserving vegetation communities at risk of loss and fragmentation. Biological Conservation, 2020, 247, 108533.	4.1	24
43	Where do national and local conservation actions meet? Simulating the expansion of ad hoc and systematic approaches to conservation into the future in Fiji. Conservation Letters, 2012, 5, 387-398.	5.7	23
44	Maps, laws and planning policy: Working with biophysical and spatial uncertainty in the case of sea level rise. Environmental Science and Policy, 2014, 44, 247-257.	4.9	23
45	Benefits and Challenges of Scaling Up Expansion of Marine Protected Area Networks in the Verde Island Passage, Central Philippines. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0135789.	2.5	22
46	Relationship between conservation biology and ecology shown through machine reading of 32,000 articles. Conservation Biology, 2020, 34, 721-732.	4.7	19
47	Selecting priority areas for the conservation of endemic trees species and their ecosystems in Madagascar considering both conservation value and vulnerability to human pressure. Biodiversity and Conservation, 2020, 29, 1841-1854.	2.6	19
48	A theoryâ€based framework for understanding the establishment, persistence, and diffusion of communityâ€based conservation. Conservation Science and Practice, 2021, 3, e299.	2.0	17
49	Minimizing the Cost of Keeping Options Open for Conservation in a Changing Climate. Conservation Biology, 2014, 28, 646-653.	4.7	16
50	A habitatâ€based approach to predict impacts of marine protected areas on fishers. Conservation Biology, 2018, 32, 1096-1106.	4.7	14
51	Insights on fostering the emergence of robust conservation actions from Zimbabwe's CAMPFIRE program. Global Ecology and Conservation, 2019, 17, e00538.	2.1	14
52	The future of walnut–fruit forests in Kyrgyzstan and the status of the iconic Endangered apple Malus niedzwetzkyana. Oryx, 2019, 53, 415-423.	1.0	11
53	Compliance with ivory trade regulations in the United Kingdom among traders. Conservation Biology, 2019, 33, 906-916.	4.7	11
54	The role of agroforestry in restoring Brazil's Atlantic Forest: Opportunities and challenges for smallholder farmers. People and Nature, 2022, 4, 462-480.	3.7	11

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
55	Exogenous Material in the Inner Ear of the Adult Port Jackson Shark, <i>Heterodontus Portusjacksoni</i> (Elasmbranchii). Anatomical Record, 2011, 294, 373-378.	1.4	10
56	Opportunities to close the gap between science and practice for Marine Protected Areas in Brazil. Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation, 2020, 18, 161-168.	1.9	9
57	Efficiently enforcing artisanal fisheries to protect estuarine biodiversity. Ecological Applications, 2018, 28, 1450-1458.	3.8	5
58	Drivers of adoption and spread of wildlife management initiatives in Mexico. Conservation Science and Practice, 2021, 3, e438.	2.0	5
59	The challenge of measuring children's attitudes toward wildlife in rural India. International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education, 2022, 31, 89-105.	1.6	4
60	The importance of future generations and conflict management in conservation. Conservation Science and Practice, 2021, 3, e488.	2.0	3
61	Using a residency index to estimate the economic value of coastal habitat provisioning services for commercially important fish species. Conservation Science and Practice, 2021, 3, e363.	2.0	2
62	Exogenous Material in the Inner Ear of the Adult Port Jackson Shark, Heterodontus portusjacksoni (Elasmbranchii). Anatomical Record, 2011, 294, spc1-spc1.	1.4	0