

Michael Bretthauer

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2228660/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

84
papers

4,413
citations

126907

33
h-index

114465

63
g-index

86
all docs

86
docs citations

86
times ranked

4127
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Mortality in Norway and Sweden during the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Public Health</i> , 2022, 50, 38-45.	2.3	23
2	Complete polyp resection with cold snare versus hot snare polypectomy for polyps of 4–9 mm: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2022, 54, 961-969.	1.8	12
3	Risk of hepato-pancreato-biliary cancer is increased by primary sclerosing cholangitis in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A population-based cohort study. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2022, 10, 212-224.	3.8	14
4	ESGE and ESGENA Position Statement on gastrointestinal endoscopy and COVID-19: Updated guidance for the era of vaccines and viral variants. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2022, 54, 211-216.	1.8	12
5	Cost-effectiveness of artificial intelligence for screening colonoscopy: a modelling study. <i>The Lancet Digital Health</i> , 2022, 4, e436-e444.	12.3	78
6	Real-Time Artificial Intelligence-Based Optical Diagnosis of Neoplastic Polyps during Colonoscopy. , 2022, 1, .		36
7	Novel "resect and analysis" approach for T2 colorectal cancer with use of artificial intelligence. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2022, 96, 665-672.e1.	1.0	8
8	Artificial intelligence for disease diagnosis: the criterion standard challenge. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2022, 96, 370-372.	1.0	5
9	Emergency hospital admissions, prognosis, and population mortality in Norway during the first wave of the Covid-19 epidemic. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Public Health</i> , 2022, 50, 795-802.	2.3	2
10	Impact of artificial intelligence on colorectal polyp detection for early-career endoscopists: an international comparative study. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2022, 57, 1272-1277.	1.5	3
11	Reducing the environmental footprint of gastrointestinal endoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) and European Society of Gastroenterology and Endoscopy Nurses and Associates (ESGENA) Position Statement. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2022, 54, 797-826.	1.8	70
12	Artificial intelligence for polyp detection during colonoscopy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2021, 53, 277-284.	1.8	139
13	Colonoscopist Performance and Colorectal Cancer Risk After Adenoma Removal to Stratify Surveillance: Two Nationwide Observational Studies. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2021, 160, 1067-1074.e6.	1.3	30
14	Artificial intelligence in colonoscopy "Now on the market. What's next?". <i>Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia)</i> , 2021, 36, 7-11.	2.8	40
15	Colorectal Cancer Screening With Repeated Fecal Immunochemical Test Versus Sigmoidoscopy: Baseline Results From a Randomized Trial. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2021, 160, 1085-1096.e5.	1.3	50
16	Colorectal Cancer Screening in Young Adults: About Carcinoid Tumors and Cancer. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2021, 174, 263-264.	3.9	5
17	The impact of information about different absolute benefits and harms on intention to participate in colorectal cancer screening: A think-aloud study and online randomised experiment. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0246991.	2.5	11
18	Colorectal polyp characterization with endocytoscopy: Ready for widespread implementation with artificial intelligence?. <i>Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology</i> , 2021, 52-53, 101721.	2.4	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Addressing false-positive findings with artificial intelligence for polyp detection. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2021, 53, 941-942.	1.8	2
20	Evidence and Precaution for Legal Health Interventions: Learning From the COVID-19 Pandemic. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2021, 174, 1456-1457.	3.9	2
21	Hopes and Hypes for Artificial Intelligence in Colorectal Cancer Screening. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2021, 161, 774-777.	1.3	21
22	Association of Adenoma Detection Rate and Adenoma Characteristics With Colorectal Cancer Mortality After Screening Colonoscopy. <i>Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2021, 19, 1890-1898.	4.4	18
23	Deep learning and cancer biomarkers: recognising lead-time bias. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2021, 397, 194.	13.7	1
24	Covid-19 transmission in fitness centers in Norway - a randomized trial. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2021, 21, 2103.	2.9	14
25	Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality After Removal of Adenomas During Screening Colonoscopies. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 158, 875-883.e5.	1.3	112
26	Efficacy and Tolerability of High- vs Low-Volume Split-Dose Bowel Cleansing Regimens for Colonoscopy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. <i>Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2020, 18, 1454-1465.e14.	4.4	53
27	Improving cancer screening programs. <i>Science</i> , 2020, 367, 143-144.	12.6	25
28	Disparities in Preventive Health Services: Targeting Minorities and Majorities. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2020, 172, 287.	3.9	4
29	The COVID-19 pandemic in Norway and Sweden " threats, trust, and impact on daily life: a comparative survey. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2020, 20, 1597.	2.9	81
30	Assessment of cancer screening effectiveness in the era of screening programs. <i>European Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 35, 891-897.	5.7	7
31	The future of colorectal cancer screening: Parentalism or shared decision-making?. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2020, 192, E484-E484.	2.0	2
32	Post-polypectomy colonoscopy surveillance: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline " Update 2020. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2020, 52, 687-700.	1.8	255
33	Cost savings in colonoscopy with artificial intelligence-aided polyp diagnosis: an add-on analysis of a clinical trial (with video). <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2020, 92, 905-911.e1.	1.0	95
34	Principles for Evaluation of Surveillance After Removal of Colorectal Polyps: Recommendations From the World Endoscopy Organization. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 158, 1529-1533.e4.	1.3	11
35	Establishing thresholds for important benefits considering the harms of screening interventions. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e037854.	1.9	2
36	How do cancer screening guidelines trade off benefits versus harms and burdens of screening? A systematic survey. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e038322.	1.9	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Bowel preparation for colonoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline " Update 2019. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 51, 775-794.	1.8	309
38	Colorectal cancer screening with faecal immunochemical testing, sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy: a clinical practice guideline. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2019, 367, l5515.	2.3	122
39	Colorectal cancer screening with faecal immunochemical testing, sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy: a microsimulation modelling study. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2019, 367, l5383.	2.3	79
40	Performance measures for small-bowel endoscopy: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2019, 7, 614-641.	3.8	60
41	Performance measures for small-bowel endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 51, 574-598.	1.8	76
42	Effects of Oral Anticoagulants and Aspirin on Performance of Fecal Immunochemical Tests in Colorectal Cancer Screening. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2019, 156, 1642-1649.e1.	1.3	29
43	Performance measures for endoscopy services: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) quality improvement initiative. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2019, 7, 21-44.	3.8	20
44	Estimating the Effect of Preventive Services With Databases of Administrative Claims: Reasons to Be Concerned. <i>American Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 188, 1764-1767.	3.4	3
45	From Colorectal Cancer Screening Guidelines to Headlines: Beware!. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2019, 170, 734.	3.9	6
46	Long-Term Effectiveness of Sigmoidoscopy Screening in Women and Men. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 169, 663.	3.9	3
47	Performance measures for endoscopy services: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 50, 1186-1204.	1.8	37
48	Mortality From Postscreening (Interval) Colorectal Cancers Is Comparable to That From Cancer in Unscreened Patients" A Randomized Sigmoidoscopy Trial. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2018, 155, 1787-1794.e3.	1.3	7
49	Performance measures for endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic ultrasound: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2018, 6, 1448-1460.	3.8	35
50	Performance measures for ERCP and endoscopic ultrasound: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 50, 1116-1127.	1.8	80
51	When no guideline recommendation is the best recommendation. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2018, 392, 898-899.	13.7	5
52	Overdiagnosis in Colorectal Cancer Screening: Time to Acknowledge a "Blind Spot. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2018, 155, 592-595.	1.3	42
53	From Colorectal Cancer Screening Guidelines to Headlines: Beware!. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 169, 405.	3.9	21
54	Long-Term Effectiveness of Sigmoidoscopy Screening on Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality in Women and Men. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 168, 775-782.	3.9	117

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Uterine morcellation and survival in uterine sarcomas. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2018, 101, 62-68.	2.8	22
56	Gradual stiffness versus magnetic imagingâ€guided variable stiffness colonoscopes: A randomized noninferiority trial. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2017, 5, 128-133.	3.8	3
57	Are rapidly growing cancers more lethal?. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2017, 72, 210-214.	2.8	10
58	Performance measures for lower gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2017, 49, 378-397.	1.8	533
59	Screening Colonoscopy to Prevent Colorectal Cancer Among Medicare Beneficiaries Aged 70 to 79 Years. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2017, 166, 758.	3.9	3
60	Effectiveness of Screening Colonoscopy to Prevent Colorectal Cancer Among Medicare Beneficiaries Aged 70 to 79 Years. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2017, 166, 18.	3.9	99
61	Quality Indicators in Colonoscopy. <i>Current Treatment Options in Gastroenterology</i> , 2017, 15, 416-428.	0.8	12
62	Epidemiology and risk factors of colorectal polyps. <i>Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology</i> , 2017, 31, 419-424.	2.4	96
63	America, We Are Confused: The Updated U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation on Colorectal Cancer Screening. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2017, 166, 139.	3.9	7
64	Domains associated with successful quality improvement in healthcare â€ a nationwide case study. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2017, 17, 648.	2.2	25
65	Sigmoidoskopi og testing for blod i avf�ringen â€ en sammenlignende screeningstudie. <i>Tidsskrift for Den Norske Laegeforening</i> , 2017, 137, 727-730.	0.2	10
66	Cancer surveillance in gastroenterology practice. <i>Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 30, 853-854.	2.4	0
67	Pain and sedation during colonoscopy â€ a never ending story. <i>Endoscopy International Open</i> , 2016, 04, E538-E539.	1.8	4
68	Cecal intubation failure: Refer or change technique?. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2016, 83, 1245-1247.	1.0	2
69	Population-Based Colonoscopy Screening for Colorectal Cancer. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2016, 176, 894.	5.1	258
70	Performance measures for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy quality improvement initiative. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2016, 4, 629-656.	3.8	62
71	The European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Quality Improvement Initiative: developing performance measures. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2016, 4, 30-41.	3.8	31
72	Reporting systems in gastrointestinal endoscopy: Requirements and standards facilitating quality improvement: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy position statement. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2016, 4, 172-176.	3.8	38

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Leadership training to improve adenoma detection rate in screening colonoscopy: a randomised trial. Gut, 2016, 65, 616-624.	12.1	133
74	Time trends in quality indicators of colonoscopy. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2016, 4, 110-120.	3.8	5
75	Long-term lifestyle changes after colorectal cancer screening: randomised controlled trial. Gut, 2015, 64, 1268-1276.	12.1	49
76	Effectiveness, training and quality assurance of colonoscopy screening for colorectal cancer. Gut, 2015, 64, 982-990.	12.1	60
77	Long-term risk of colorectal cancer in individuals with serrated polyps. Gut, 2015, 64, 929-936.	12.1	140
78	Clinical indications for computed tomographic colonography: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) and European Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology (ESGAR) Guideline. Endoscopy, 2014, 46, 897-915.	1.8	47
79	Polyethylene glycol vs sodium picosulfate/magnesium citrate for colonoscopy preparation. Endoscopy International Open, 2014, 02, E230-E234.	1.8	14
80	Effect of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Screening on Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2014, 312, 606.	7.4	349
81	Endoscopy training: time to stop counting procedures?. Gut, 2014, 63, 1686-1687.	12.1	4
82	Ethical issues in colorectal cancer screening. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2014, 28, 315-326.	2.4	15
83	Long-term effectiveness of endoscopic screening on incidence and mortality of colorectal cancer: A randomized trial. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2013, 1, 162-168.	3.8	13
84	Evidence for colorectal cancer screening. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2010, 24, 417-425.	2.4	58