Michael Bretthauer

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2228660/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

84 papers 4,413 citations

33 h-index 63 g-index

86 all docs 86 docs citations

86 times ranked 4127 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Performance measures for lower gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. Endoscopy, 2017, 49, 378-397.	1.8	533
2	Effect of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Screening on Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2014, 312, 606.	7.4	349
3	Bowel preparation for colonoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline – Update 2019. Endoscopy, 2019, 51, 775-794.	1.8	309
4	Population-Based Colonoscopy Screening for Colorectal Cancer. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2016, 176, 894.	5.1	258
5	Post-polypectomy colonoscopy surveillance: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline – Update 2020. Endoscopy, 2020, 52, 687-700.	1.8	255
6	Long-term risk of colorectal cancer in individuals with serrated polyps. Gut, 2015, 64, 929-936.	12.1	140
7	Artificial intelligence for polyp detection during colonoscopy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Endoscopy, 2021, 53, 277-284.	1.8	139
8	Leadership training to improve adenoma detection rate in screening colonoscopy: a randomised trial. Gut, 2016, 65, 616-624.	12.1	133
9	Colorectal cancer screening with faecal immunochemical testing, sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy: a clinical practice guideline. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 367, 15515.	2.3	122
10	Long-Term Effectiveness of Sigmoidoscopy Screening on Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality in Women and Men. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2018, 168, 775-782.	3.9	117
11	Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality After Removal of Adenomas During Screening Colonoscopies. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 875-883.e5.	1.3	112
12	Effectiveness of Screening Colonoscopy to Prevent Colorectal Cancer Among Medicare Beneficiaries Aged 70 to 79 Years. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 166, 18.	3.9	99
13	Epidemiology and risk factors of colorectal polyps. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2017, 31, 419-424.	2.4	96
14	Cost savings in colonoscopy with artificial intelligence-aided polyp diagnosis: an add-on analysis of a clinical trial (withÂvideo). Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2020, 92, 905-911.e1.	1.0	95
15	The COVID-19 pandemic in Norway and Sweden – threats, trust, and impact on daily life: a comparative survey. BMC Public Health, 2020, 20, 1597.	2.9	81
16	Performance measures for ERCP and endoscopic ultrasound: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. Endoscopy, 2018, 50, 1116-1127.	1.8	80
17	Colorectal cancer screening with faecal immunochemical testing, sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy: a microsimulation modelling study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 367, 15383.	2.3	79
18	Cost-effectiveness of artificial intelligence for screening colonoscopy: a modelling study. The Lancet Digital Health, 2022, 4, e436-e444.	12.3	78

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Performance measures for small-bowel endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. Endoscopy, 2019, 51, 574-598.	1.8	76
20	Reducing the environmental footprint of gastrointestinal endoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) and European Society of Gastroenterology and Endoscopy Nurses and Associates (ESGENA) Position Statement. Endoscopy, 2022, 54, 797-826.	1.8	70
21	Performance measures for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy quality improvement initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2016, 4, 629-656.	3.8	62
22	Effectiveness, training and quality assurance of colonoscopy screening for colorectal cancer. Gut, 2015, 64, 982-990.	12.1	60
23	Performance measures for smallâ€bowel endoscopy: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2019, 7, 614-641.	3.8	60
24	Evidence for colorectal cancer screening. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2010, 24, 417-425.	2.4	58
25	Efficacy and Tolerability of High- vs Low-Volume Split-Dose Bowel Cleansing Regimens for Colonoscopy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2020, 18, 1454-1465.e14.	4.4	53
26	Colorectal Cancer Screening With Repeated Fecal Immunochemical Test Versus Sigmoidoscopy: Baseline Results From a Randomized Trial. Gastroenterology, 2021, 160, 1085-1096.e5.	1.3	50
27	Long-term lifestyle changes after colorectal cancer screening: randomised controlled trial. Gut, 2015, 64, 1268-1276.	12.1	49
28	Clinical indications for computed tomographic colonography: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) and European Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology (ESGAR) Guideline. Endoscopy, 2014, 46, 897-915.	1.8	47
29	Overdiagnosis in Colorectal Cancer Screening: Time to Acknowledge aÂBlind Spot. Gastroenterology, 2018, 155, 592-595.	1.3	42
30	Artificial intelligence in colonoscopy ―Now on the market. What's next?. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia), 2021, 36, 7-11.	2.8	40
31	Reporting systems in gastrointestinal endoscopy: Requirements and standards facilitating quality improvement: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy position statement. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2016, 4, 172-176.	3.8	38
32	Performance measures for endoscopy services: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. Endoscopy, 2018, 50, 1186-1204.	1.8	37
33	Real-Time Artificial Intelligence–Based Optical Diagnosis of Neoplastic Polyps during Colonoscopy. , 2022, 1, .		36
34	Performance measures for endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic ultrasound: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2018, 6, 1448-1460.	3.8	35
35	The European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Quality Improvement Initiative: developing performance measures. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2016, 4, 30-41.	3.8	31
36	Colonoscopist Performance and Colorectal Cancer Risk After Adenoma Removal to Stratify Surveillance: Two Nationwide Observational Studies. Gastroenterology, 2021, 160, 1067-1074.e6.	1.3	30

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Effects of Oral Anticoagulants and Aspirin on Performance of Fecal Immunochemical Tests in Colorectal Cancer Screening. Gastroenterology, 2019, 156, 1642-1649.e1.	1.3	29
38	Domains associated with successful quality improvement in healthcare $\hat{a} \in \hat{a}$ a nationwide case study. BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 648.	2.2	25
39	Improving cancer screening programs. Science, 2020, 367, 143-144.	12.6	25
40	Mortality in Norway and Sweden during the COVID-19 pandemic. Scandinavian Journal of Public Health, 2022, 50, 38-45.	2.3	23
41	Uterine morcellation and survival in uterine sarcomas. European Journal of Cancer, 2018, 101, 62-68.	2.8	22
42	From Colorectal Cancer Screening Guidelines to Headlines: Beware!. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2018, 169, 405.	3.9	21
43	Hopes and Hypes for Artificial Intelligence in Colorectal Cancer Screening. Gastroenterology, 2021, 161, 774-777.	1.3	21
44	Performance measures for endoscopy services: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) quality improvement initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2019, 7, 21-44.	3.8	20
45	Association of Adenoma Detection Rate and Adenoma Characteristics With Colorectal Cancer Mortality After Screening Colonoscopy. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2021, 19, 1890-1898.	4.4	18
46	Ethical issues in colorectal cancer screening. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2014, 28, 315-326.	2.4	15
47	Polyethylene glycol vs sodium picosulfate/magnesium citrate for colonoscopy preparation. Endoscopy International Open, 2014, 02, E230-E234.	1.8	14
48	Covid-19 transmission in fitness centers in Norway - a randomized trial. BMC Public Health, 2021, 21, 2103.	2.9	14
49	Risk of hepatoâ€pancreatoâ€biliary cancer is increased by primary sclerosing cholangitis in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A populationâ€based cohort study. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2022, 10, 212-224.	3.8	14
50	Longâ€ŧerm effectiveness of endoscopic screening on incidence and mortality of colorectal cancer: A randomized trial. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2013, 1, 162-168.	3.8	13
51	Quality Indicators in Colonoscopy. Current Treatment Options in Gastroenterology, 2017, 15, 416-428.	0.8	12
52	Complete polyp resection with cold snare versus hot snare polypectomy for polyps of 4–9 mm: a randomized controlled trial. Endoscopy, 2022, 54, 961-969.	1.8	12
53	ESGE and ESGENA Position Statement on gastrointestinal endoscopy and COVID-19: Updated guidance for the era of vaccines and viral variants. Endoscopy, 2022, 54, 211-216.	1.8	12
54	Principles for Evaluation of Surveillance After Removal of Colorectal Polyps: Recommendations From the World Endoscopy Organization. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 1529-1533.e4.	1.3	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	The impact of information about different absolute benefits and harms on intention to participate in colorectal cancer screening: A think-aloud study and online randomised experiment. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0246991.	2.5	11
56	Are rapidly growing cancers more lethal?. European Journal of Cancer, 2017, 72, 210-214.	2.8	10
57	Sigmoidoskopi og testing for blod i avfÃringen – en sammenlignende screeningstudie. Tidsskrift for Den Norske Laegeforening, 2017, 137, 727-730.	0.2	10
58	Novel "resect and analysis―approach for T2 colorectal cancer with use of artificial intelligence. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2022, 96, 665-672.e1.	1.0	8
59	America, We Are Confused: The Updated U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation on Colorectal Cancer Screening. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 166, 139.	3.9	7
60	Mortality From Postscreening (Interval) Colorectal Cancers Is Comparable to That From Cancer in Unscreened Patients—A Randomized Sigmoidoscopy Trial. Gastroenterology, 2018, 155, 1787-1794.e3.	1.3	7
61	Assessment of cancer screening effectiveness in the era of screening programs. European Journal of Epidemiology, 2020, 35, 891-897.	5.7	7
62	How do cancer screening guidelines trade off benefits versus harms and burdens of screening? A systematic survey. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e038322.	1.9	6
63	From Colorectal Cancer Screening Guidelines to Headlines: Beware!. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2019, 170, 734.	3.9	6
64	Time trends in quality indicators of colonoscopy. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2016, 4, 110-120.	3.8	5
65	When no guideline recommendation is the best recommendation. Lancet, The, 2018, 392, 898-899.	13.7	5
66	Colorectal Cancer Screening in Young Adults: About Carcinoid Tumors and Cancer. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2021, 174, 263-264.	3.9	5
67	Artificial intelligence for disease diagnosis: the criterion standard challenge. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2022, 96, 370-372.	1.0	5
68	Endoscopy training: time to stop counting procedures?. Gut, 2014, 63, 1686-1687.	12.1	4
69	Pain and sedation during colonoscopy – a never ending story. Endoscopy International Open, 2016, 04, E538-E539.	1.8	4
70	Disparities in Preventive Health Services: Targeting Minorities and Majorities. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2020, 172, 287.	3.9	4
71	Gradual stiffness versus magnetic imagingâ€guided variable stiffness colonoscopes: A randomized noninferiority trial. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2017, 5, 128-133.	3.8	3
72	Screening Colonoscopy to Prevent Colorectal Cancer Among Medicare Beneficiaries Aged 70 to 79 Years. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 166, 758.	3.9	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Long-Term Effectiveness of Sigmoidoscopy Screening in Women and Men. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2018, 169, 663.	3.9	3
74	Estimating the Effect of Preventive Services With Databases of Administrative Claims: Reasons to Be Concerned. American Journal of Epidemiology, 2019, 188, 1764-1767.	3.4	3
75	Impact of artificial intelligence on colorectal polyp detection for early-career endoscopists: an international comparative study. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2022, 57, 1272-1277.	1.5	3
76	Cecal intubation failure: Refer or change technique?. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2016, 83, 1245-1247.	1.0	2
77	The future of colorectal cancer screening: Parentalism or shared decision-making?. Cmaj, 2020, 192, E484-E484.	2.0	2
78	Colorectal polyp characterization with endocytoscopy: Ready for widespread implementation with artificial intelligence?. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2021, 52-53, 101721.	2.4	2
79	Addressing false-positive findings with artificial intelligence for polyp detection. Endoscopy, 2021, 53, 941-942.	1.8	2
80	Evidence and Precaution for Legal Health Interventions: Learning From the COVID-19 Pandemic. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2021, 174, 1456-1457.	3.9	2
81	Establishing thresholds for important benefits considering the harms of screening interventions. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e037854.	1.9	2
82	Emergency hospital admissions, prognosis, and population mortality in Norway during the first wave of the Covid-19 epidemic. Scandinavian Journal of Public Health, 2022, 50, 795-802.	2.3	2
83	Deep learning and cancer biomarkers: recognising lead-time bias. Lancet, The, 2021, 397, 194.	13.7	1
84	Cancer surveillance in gastroenterology practice. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2016, 30, 853-854.	2.4	0