

Jagmeet P Singh

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2165736/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

183
papers

7,050
citations

87843

38
h-index

64755

79
g-index

196
all docs

196
docs citations

196
times ranked

5924
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Cardiac-Resynchronization Therapy in Heart Failure with a Narrow QRS Complex. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2013, 369, 1395-1405.	13.9	688
2	Left Ventricular Lead Position and Clinical Outcome in the Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial—Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (MADIT-CRT) Trial. <i>Circulation</i> , 2011, 123, 1159-1166.	1.6	510
3	Primary Results From the SmartDelay Determined AV Optimization: A Comparison to Other AV Delay Methods Used in Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (SMART-AV) Trial. <i>Circulation</i> , 2010, 122, 2660-2668.	1.6	366
4	The relationship between ventricular electrical delay and left ventricular remodelling with cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2011, 32, 2516-2524.	1.0	305
5	2012 EHRA/HRS expert consensus statement on cardiac resynchronization therapy in heart failure: implant and follow-up recommendations and management. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2012, 9, 1524-1576.	0.3	300
6	A Multisensor Algorithm Predicts Heart Failure Events in Patients With Implanted Devices. <i>JACC: Heart Failure</i> , 2017, 5, 216-225.	1.9	248
7	Left ventricular lead electrical delay predicts response to cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2006, 3, 1285-1292.	0.3	247
8	2015 HRS/EHRA/APHS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on optimal implantable cardioverter-defibrillator programming and testing. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2016, 13, e50-e86.	0.3	197
9	Cardiac Resynchronization in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2008, 52, 1239-1246.	1.2	179
10	Wearable Devices for Ambulatory Cardiac Monitoring. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2020, 75, 1582-1592.	1.2	178
11	The Coronary Venous Anatomy. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2005, 46, 68-74.	1.2	159
12	Factors Influencing Appropriate Firing of the Implanted Defibrillator for Ventricular Tachycardia/Fibrillation. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2005, 46, 1712-1720.	1.2	157
13	Circulating MicroRNA-30d Is Associated With Response to Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy in Heart Failure and Regulates Cardiomyocyte Apoptosis. <i>Circulation</i> , 2015, 131, 2202-2216.	1.6	137
14	2015 HRS/EHRA/APHS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on optimal implantable cardioverter-defibrillator programming and testing. <i>Europace</i> , 2016, 18, 159-183.	0.7	135
15	Multidisciplinary care of patients receiving cardiac resynchronization therapy is associated with improved clinical outcomes. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2012, 33, 2181-2188.	1.0	86
16	Contractility sensor-guided optimization of cardiac resynchronization therapy: results from the RESPOND-CRT trial. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2017, 38, ehw526.	1.0	83
17	QRS Duration or QRS Morphology. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2016, 67, 1104-1117.	1.2	77
18	State-of-the-Art Machine Learning Techniques Aiming to Improve Patient Outcomes Pertaining to the Cardiovascular System. <i>Journal of the American Heart Association</i> , 2020, 9, e013924.	1.6	76

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	A review of multisite pacing to achieve cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Europace</i> , 2015, 17, 7-17.	0.7	75
20	HeartLogic Multisensor Algorithm Identifies Patients During Periods of Significantly Increased Risk of Heart Failure Events. <i>Circulation: Heart Failure</i> , 2018, 11, e004669.	1.6	73
21	Radiographic Left Ventricularâ€“Right Ventricular Interlead Distance Predicts the Acute Hemodynamic Response to Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2005, 96, 685-690.	0.7	71
22	QRS morphology, left ventricular lead location, and clinical outcome in patients receiving cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2013, 34, 2252-2262.	1.0	69
23	Rationale and Design of the Left Atrial Pressure Monitoring to Optimize Heart Failure Therapy Study (LAPTOP-HF). <i>Journal of Cardiac Failure</i> , 2015, 21, 479-488.	0.7	69
24	Variability of coronary venous anatomy in patients undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy: A high-speed rotational venography study. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2007, 4, 1155-1162.	0.3	68
25	Indications for Cardiacâ€“Resynchronizationâ€“Therapy. <i>JACC: Heart Failure</i> , 2018, 6, 308-316.	1.9	68
26	The effect of QRS duration on cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with a narrow QRS complex: a subgroup analysis of the EchoCRT trial. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2015, 36, 1983-1989.	1.0	65
27	Evaluation, Management, and Outcomes of Patients Poorly Responsive to Cardiacâ€“Resynchronization Device Therapy. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2019, 74, 2588-2603.	1.2	60
28	Non-pharmacological modulation of the autonomic tone to treat heart failure. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2014, 35, 77-85.	1.0	58
29	Machine learning versus conventional clinical methods in guiding management of heart failure patientsâ€“a systematic review. <i>Heart Failure Reviews</i> , 2021, 26, 23-34.	1.7	57
30	Reduced appropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy after cardiac resynchronization therapy-induced left ventricular function recovery: a meta-analysis and systematic review. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2015, 36, 2780-2789.	1.0	55
31	Clinical response with adaptive CRT algorithm compared with CRT with echocardiography-optimized atrioventricular delay: a retrospective analysis of multicentre trials. <i>Europace</i> , 2013, 15, 1622-1628.	0.7	52
32	National Trends in the Use of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy With or Without Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator. <i>Circulation</i> , 2016, 133, 273-281.	1.6	47
33	Implantable Sensors for Heart Failure. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2010, 3, 657-667.	2.1	46
34	Coronary sinus biomarker sampling compared to peripheral venous blood for predicting outcomes in patients with severe heart failure undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy: The BIOCRT study. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2014, 11, 2167-2175.	0.3	46
35	Novel Interventional Therapies to Modulate the Autonomic Tone in Heartâ€“Failure. <i>JACC: Heart Failure</i> , 2015, 3, 786-802.	1.9	46
36	Autonomic Modulation of Cardiac Arrhythmias. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2020, 6, 467-483.	1.3	45

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Association of persistent or worsened echocardiographic dyssynchrony with unfavourable clinical outcomes in heart failure patients with narrow QRS width: a subgroup analysis of the EchoCRT trial. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2016, 37, 49-59.	1.0	43
38	Left ventricular lead location and the risk of ventricular arrhythmias in the MADIT-CRT trial. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2013, 34, 184-190.	1.0	42
39	Coronary Sinus Neuropeptide Y Levels and Adverse Outcomes in Patients With Stable Chronic Heart Failure. <i>JAMA Cardiology</i> , 2020, 5, 318.	3.0	42
40	Novel measure of electrical dyssynchrony predicts response in cardiac resynchronization therapy: Results from the SMART-AV Trial. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2015, 12, 2402-2410.	0.3	39
41	The effect of left ventricular electrical delay on AV optimization for cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2013, 10, 988-993.	0.3	38
42	Interventricular Electrical Delay Is Predictive of Response to Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2016, 2, 438-447.	1.3	37
43	Increasing sex differences in the use of cardiac resynchronization therapy with or without implantable cardioverter-defibrillator. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2017, 38, ehw598.	1.0	35
44	Leadless left ventricular stimulation with WiSE-CRT System – Initial experience and results from phase I of SOLVE-CRT Study (nonrandomized, roll-in phase). <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2022, 19, 22-29.	0.3	35
45	Device-Measured Physical Activity Versus Six-Minute Walk Test as a Predictor of Reverse Remodeling and Outcome After Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy for Heart Failure. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2014, 113, 1523-1528.	0.7	34
46	2015 HRS/EHRA/APHRS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on optimal implantable cardioverter-defibrillator programming and testing. <i>Journal of Arrhythmia</i> , 2016, 32, 1-28.	0.5	34
47	Soluble CD146 Is a Novel Marker of Systemic Congestion in Heart Failure Patients: An Experimental Mechanistic and Transcardiac Clinical Study. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2017, 63, 386-393.	1.5	34
48	Wearables, telemedicine, and artificial intelligence in arrhythmias and heart failure: Proceedings of the European Society of Cardiology Cardiovascular Round Table. <i>Europace</i> , 2022, 24, 1372-1383.	0.7	34
49	Association of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy With Change in Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction in Patients With Chemotherapy-Induced Cardiomyopathy. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2019, 322, 1799.	3.8	32
50	Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial – Subcutaneous Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (MADIT S-ICD): Design and clinical protocol. <i>American Heart Journal</i> , 2017, 189, 158-166.	1.2	31
51	Preventing Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation After Noncardiac Surgery: A Meta-analysis. <i>American Journal of Medicine</i> , 2018, 131, 795-804.e5.	0.6	31
52	Device diagnostics and long-term clinical outcome in patients receiving cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Europace</i> , 2009, 11, 1647-1653.	0.7	30
53	Simultaneous Electrical and Mechanical Mapping Using 3D Cardiac Mapping System: Novel Approach for Optimal Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology</i> , 2010, 21, 219-222.	0.8	30
54	Targeted Left Ventricular Lead Implantation Strategy for Non-Left Bundle Branch Block Patients. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2020, 6, 1171-1181.	1.3	29

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Real-world behavior of CRT pacing using the AdaptiveCRT algorithm on patient outcomes: Effect on mortality and atrial fibrillation incidence. <i>Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology</i> , 2020, 31, 825-833.	0.8	29
56	Smartwatch Electrocardiogram and Artificial Intelligence for Assessing Cardiac-Rhythm Safety of Drug Therapy in the COVID-19 Pandemic. The QT-logs study. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2021, 331, 333-339.	0.8	29
57	Prognostic implication of baseline PR interval in cardiac resynchronization therapy recipients. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2015, 12, 2256-2262.	0.3	28
58	The heart regulates the endocrine response to heart failure: cardiac contribution to circulating neprilysin. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2018, 39, 1794-1798.	1.0	27
59	Biventricular pacing: current trends and future strategies. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2012, 33, 305-313.	1.0	26
60	Automatic Optimization of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy Using SonR Rationale and Design of the Clinical Trial of the SonR Tip Lead and Automatic AV-VV Optimization Algorithm in the Paradym RF SonR CRT-D (RESPOND CRT) Trial. <i>American Heart Journal</i> , 2014, 167, 429-436.	1.2	26
61	Assessing mitral regurgitation in the prediction of clinical outcome after cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2015, 12, 1201-1208.	0.3	26
62	Comparative Effectiveness of CRT-D Versus Defibrillator Alone in HF Patients With Moderate-to-Severe Chronic Kidney Disease. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2015, 66, 2618-2629.	1.2	26
63	Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>Heart Failure Clinics</i> , 2015, 11, 287-303.	1.0	26
64	Cardiac Implantable Electronic Devices in Patients With Left Ventricular Assist Systems. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2018, 71, 1483-1493.	1.2	26
65	A Novel Point-of-Care Smartphone Based System for Monitoring the Cardiac and Respiratory Systems. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2017, 7, 44946.	1.6	23
66	Design and rationale for the Stimulation Of the Left Ventricular Endocardium for Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy in non-responders and previously untreatable patients (SOLVE-CRT) trial. <i>American Heart Journal</i> , 2019, 217, 13-22.	1.2	23
67	Clinical, Laboratory, and Pacing Predictors of CRT Response. <i>Journal of Cardiovascular Translational Research</i> , 2012, 5, 196-212.	1.1	22
68	Prognostic implications of left ventricular global longitudinal strain in heart failure patients with narrow QRS complex treated with cardiac resynchronization therapy: a subanalysis of the randomized EchoCRT trial. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2017, 38, ehw506.	1.0	22
69	Usefulness of High-Speed Rotational Coronary Venous Angiography During Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2007, 100, 1561-1565.	0.7	21
70	Utility of dual-source computed tomography in cardiac resynchronization therapy DIRECT study. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2018, 15, 1206-1213.	0.3	21
71	Incidence and Clinical Significance of New-Onset Device-Detected Atrial Tachyarrhythmia. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2018, 11, e005393.	2.1	21
72	Pre-Capillary Pulmonary Hypertension and Right Ventricular Dilation Predict Clinical Outcome in Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>JACC: Heart Failure</i> , 2014, 2, 230-237.	1.9	20

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Left Ventricular Lead Location and Long-Term Outcomes in Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy Patients. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2018, 4, 1410-1420.	1.3	20
74	Interaction of Left Ventricular Size and Sex on Outcome of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy Among Patients With a Narrow QRS Duration in the EchoCRT Trial. <i>Journal of the American Heart Association</i> , 2018, 7, .	1.6	20
75	“Dialing-in” cardiac resynchronization therapy: Overcoming constraints of the coronary venous anatomy. <i>Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology</i> , 2007, 17, 51-58.	0.6	19
76	Low-Level Tragus Stimulation Modulates Atrial Alternans and Fibrillation Burden in Patients With Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation. <i>Journal of the American Heart Association</i> , 2021, 10, e020865.	1.6	19
77	Prognostic Impact of QRS Axis Deviation in Patients Treated With Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology</i> , 2016, 27, 315-320.	0.8	18
78	Effect of Interventricular Electrical Delay on Atrioventricular Optimization for Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2018, 11, e006055.	2.1	18
79	Usefulness of a Novel “Response Score” to Predict Hemodynamic and Clinical Outcome from Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2006, 97, 1732-1736.	0.7	17
80	Longer Left Ventricular Electric Delay Reduces Mitral Regurgitation After Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2016, 9, .	2.1	17
81	Usefulness of the Sum Absolute QRST Integral to Predict Outcomes in Patients Receiving Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2016, 118, 389-395.	0.7	17
82	Fusion of three-dimensional X-ray angiography and three-dimensional echocardiography. <i>International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery</i> , 2008, 2, 293-303.	1.7	16
83	A Novel Method to Capture the Onset of Dynamic Electrocardiographic Ischemic Changes and its Implications to Arrhythmia Susceptibility. <i>Journal of the American Heart Association</i> , 2014, 3, e001055.	1.6	16
84	New Classification Scheme for Atrial Fibrillation Symptom Severity and Burden. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2014, 114, 260-265.	0.7	16
85	Myocardial scar imaging by standard single-energy and dual-energy late enhancement CT: Comparison with pathology and electroanatomic map in an experimental chronic infarct porcine model. <i>Journal of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography</i> , 2015, 9, 313-320.	0.7	16
86	Utility of a Smartphone Based System (cvrPhone) to Predict Short-term Arrhythmia Susceptibility. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2019, 9, 14497.	1.6	16
87	Real-World Assessment of Acute Left Ventricular Lead Implant Success and Complication Rates: Results from the Attain Success Clinical Trial. <i>PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2016, 39, 1246-1253.	0.5	15
88	Long-term reverse remodeling by cardiac resynchronization therapy with MultiPoint Pacing: A feasibility study of noninvasive hemodynamics-guided device programming. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2018, 15, 1766-1774.	0.3	15
89	Electrocardiographic optimization techniques in resynchronization therapy. <i>Europace</i> , 2019, 21, 1286-1296.	0.7	15
90	Risk of ventricular arrhythmia in cardiac resynchronization therapy responders and super-responders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Europace</i> , 2021, 23, 1262-1274.	0.7	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy in Patients With Heart Failure and Narrow QRS Complexes. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2018, 71, 1325-1333.	1.2	14
92	Arrhythmias in Cardiac Sarcoidosis Bench to Bedside. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2021, 14, e009203.	2.1	14
93	Sleep (Vagal)â€“Induced Atrial Fibrillation. <i>Circulation</i> , 2004, 110, e32-3.	1.6	13
94	Progressive ventricular dysfunction among nonresponders to cardiac resynchronization therapy: Baseline predictors and associated clinical outcomes. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2014, 11, 1991-1998.	0.3	13
95	Renal Response in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease Predicts Outcome Following Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2015, 38, 1192-1200.	0.5	13
96	Novel Heart Failure Biomarkers Predict Improvement of Mitral Regurgitation in Patients Receiving Cardiac Resynchronization Therapyâ€”The BIOCRT Study. <i>Canadian Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2016, 32, 1478-1484.	0.8	13
97	Potential Uses of Computed Tomography for Management of Heart Failure Patients With Dyssynchrony. <i>Critical Pathways in Cardiology</i> , 2008, 7, 185-190.	0.2	12
98	Association of Hypothyroidism With Adverse Events in Patients With Heart Failure Receiving Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2015, 115, 1249-1253.	0.7	12
99	Association of an Acute Myocardial Infarction Readmission-Reduction Program With Mortality and Readmission. <i>Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes</i> , 2020, 13, e006043.	0.9	12
100	Anemia and its association with clinical outcome in heart failure patients undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology</i> , 2015, 44, 297-304.	0.6	11
101	Inflammatory Mediators and Clinical Outcome in Patients With Advanced Heart Failure Receiving Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2016, 117, 617-625.	0.7	11
102	T-wave area as biomarker of clinical response to cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Europace</i> , 2016, 18, 1077-1085.	0.7	11
103	Utility of a smartphone based system (cvrphone) to accurately determine apneic events from electrocardiographic signals. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0217217.	1.1	11
104	Mid-regional pro-atrial natriuretic peptide to predict clinical course in heart failure patients undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Europace</i> , 2017, 19, 1848-1854.	0.7	10
105	Real-Time Closed-Loop Suppression of Repolarization Alternans Reduces Arrhythmia Susceptibility In Vivo. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2020, 13, e008186.	2.1	10
106	The cost of non-response to cardiac resynchronization therapy: characterizing heart failure events following cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Europace</i> , 2021, 23, 1586-1595.	0.7	10
107	Elusive atrial substrate: Complex fractionated atrial electrograms and beyond. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2010, 7, 1886-1890.	0.3	9
108	Renin-angiotensin-system modulators and the incidence of atrial fibrillation following hospitalization for coronary artery disease. <i>Europace</i> , 2012, 14, 1287-1293.	0.7	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Leadless pacemakers: leading us into the future?: Figure 1. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2015, 36, 2520-2522.	1.0	9
110	Incremental value of cystatin C over conventional renal metrics for predicting clinical response and outcomes in cardiac resynchronization therapy: The BIOCRT study. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2016, 205, 43-49.	0.8	9
111	Impact of cardiac resynchronization therapy on mitral valve apparatus geometry and clinical outcomes in patients with secondary mitral regurgitation. <i>Echocardiography</i> , 2017, 34, 1561-1567.	0.3	9
112	Cardiorenal status using amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide and cystatin C on cardiac resynchronization therapy outcomes: From the BIOCRT Study. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2019, 16, 928-935.	0.3	9
113	Social determinants of telemedicine utilization in ambulatory cardiovascular patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>European Heart Journal Digital Health</i> , 2021, 2, 244-253.	0.7	9
114	Modified design of stimulation of the left ventricular endocardium for cardiac resynchronization therapy in nonresponders, previously untreatable and high-risk upgrade patients (SOLVE-CRT) trial. <i>American Heart Journal</i> , 2021, 235, 158-162.	1.2	9
115	Virtual multidisciplinary care for heart failure patients with cardiac resynchronization therapy devices during the Coronavirus Disease 2019 pandemic. <i>IJC Heart and Vasculature</i> , 2021, 34, 100811.	0.6	9
116	Biventricular pacing: more is better!. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2015, 36, 407-409.	1.0	8
117	A new simplified electrocardiographic score predicts clinical outcome in patients treated with CRT. <i>Europace</i> , 2018, 20, 492-500.	0.7	8
118	Rationale and design for ENHANCE CRT: QLV implant strategy for non-left bundle branch block patients. <i>ESC Heart Failure</i> , 2018, 5, 1184-1190.	1.4	8
119	Usefulness of Hyponatremia as a Predictor for Adverse Events in Patients With Heart Failure Receiving Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2014, 114, 83-87.	0.7	7
120	Coronary Sinus Lead Positioning. <i>Heart Failure Clinics</i> , 2017, 13, 79-91.	1.0	7
121	Relationship of soluble ST2 to pulmonary hypertension severity in patients undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Journal of Thoracic Disease</i> , 2019, 11, 5362-5371.	0.6	7
122	Combination Biomarkers for Risk Stratification in Patients with Chronic Heart Failure Biomarkers Prognostication in HF. <i>Journal of Cardiac Failure</i> , 2021, 27, 1321-1327.	0.7	7
123	Short-term prediction of atrial fibrillation from ambulatory monitoring ECG using a deep neural network. <i>European Heart Journal Digital Health</i> , 2022, 3, 208-217.	0.7	7
124	The Role of I-123 Metaiodobenzylguanidine Imaging in Management of Patients With Heart Failure. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2015, 116, S1-S9.	0.7	6
125	Alternative left ventricular pacing approaches for optimal cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2019, 16, 1281-1289.	0.3	6
126	Left ventricular wall thickness assessed by cardiac computed tomography and cardiac resynchronization therapy outcomes. <i>Europace</i> , 2020, 22, 401-411.	0.7	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Microvolt T-Wave Alternans Is Modulated by Acute Low-Level Tragus Stimulation in Patients With Ischemic Cardiomyopathy and Heart Failure. <i>Frontiers in Physiology</i> , 2021, 12, 707724.	1.3	6
128	Emerging Implantable-Device Technology for Patients at the Intersection of Electrophysiology and Heart Failure Interdisciplinary Care. <i>Journal of Cardiac Failure</i> , 2022, 28, 991-1015.	0.7	6
129	CRT Efficacy in "Mid-Range" QRS Duration Among Asians Contrasted to Non-Asians, and Influence of Height. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2022, 8, 211-221.	1.3	6
130	Cause of death and CRT device selection: striving for certitude?. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2015, 36, 2777-2779.	1.0	5
131	Spinal Cord Stimulation for Heart Failure in the DEFEAT-HF Study. <i>JACC: Heart Failure</i> , 2016, 4, 137-139.	1.9	5
132	Making sense of remote monitoring studies in heart failure. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2017, 38, 2361-2363.	1.0	5
133	Atrial Fibrillation and Heart Failure Prevention. <i>JACC: Heart Failure</i> , 2017, 5, 53-55.	1.9	5
134	Effect of cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with diabetes randomized in <sc>EchoCRT</sc>. <i>European Journal of Heart Failure</i> , 2017, 19, 80-87.	2.9	5
135	Low-Level Tragus Stimulation for Atrial Fibrillation. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2020, 6, 292-294.	1.3	5
136	Autonomic modulation and cardiac arrhythmias: old insights and novel strategies. <i>Europace</i> , 2021, 23, 1708-1721.	0.7	5
137	Device-Based Approaches to Modulate the Autonomic Nervous System and Cardiac Electrophysiology. <i>Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology Review</i> , 2014, 3, 30-35.	1.3	5
138	Patient selection and classification for atrial fibrillation ablation: Thinking beyond duration. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2009, 6, 1522-1525.	0.3	4
139	Spinal Cord Stimulation for Intercostal Neuralgia in a Patient With Implantable Cardiac Defibrillator and Biventricular Pacing. <i>Neuromodulation</i> , 2014, 17, 386-388.	0.4	4
140	An Electrophysiologist Perspective on Risk Stratification in Heart Failure: Can Better Understanding of the Condition of the Cardiac Sympathetic Nervous System Help?. <i>Journal of Nuclear Medicine</i> , 2015, 56, 59S-64S.	2.8	4
141	Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy and Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator Therapy in Advanced Heart Failure. <i>Heart Failure Clinics</i> , 2016, 12, 423-436.	1.0	4
142	The effects of cardiac resynchronization therapy on left ventricular and mitral valve geometry and secondary mitral regurgitation in patients with left bundle branch block. <i>Echocardiography</i> , 2019, 36, 1450-1458.	0.3	4
143	It Is Time for Us to Get Artificially Intelligent!. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2019, 5, 263-265.	1.3	4
144	Measuring individual physician clinical productivity in an era of consolidated group practices. <i>Healthcare</i> , 2019, 7, .	0.6	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Evolving Cardiac Electrical Therapies for Advanced Heart Failure Patients. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2021, 14, e009668.	2.1	4
146	Is Image Guidance for Left Ventricular Lead Targeting Overkill?. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2020, 6, 1310-1312.	1.3	3
147	Advances in electrical therapy for heart failure: Papers from the International ADVANCE CRT Summit. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2012, 9, S1-S2.	0.3	2
148	Effects of cardiac resynchronization therapy after inferior myocardial infarction on secondary mitral regurgitation and mitral valve geometry. <i>PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2018, 41, 114-121.	0.5	2
149	Computed TomographyâGuided Assessment of Response to Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2019, 5, 987-989.	1.3	2
150	Can cardiac resynchronization therapy be used as a tool to reduce sudden cardiac arrest risk?. <i>Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases</i> , 2019, 62, 242-248.	1.6	2
151	Utility of Computed Tomography to Predict Ventricular Arrhythmias in Patients With Nonischemic Cardiomyopathy Receiving Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2020, 125, 607-612.	0.7	2
152	Sensor-aided continuous care and self-management: implications for the post-COVID era. <i>The Lancet Digital Health</i> , 2020, 2, e632-e634.	5.9	2
153	Comparative Effectiveness of Primary Prevention Implantable CardioverterâDefibrillators in Older Heart Failure Patients With Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Journal of the American Heart Association</i> , 2020, 9, e012405.	1.6	2
154	Arrhythmias and device therapies in patients with cancer therapy-induced cardiomyopathy. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2021, 18, 1223-1229.	0.3	2
155	Relationship Between Electrical and Mechanical Dyssynchrony and Outcomes Among Patients Undergoing Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2021, 14, CIRCEP121010217.	2.1	2
156	Beyond Ejection Fraction: Is There a Role for the Use of Mechanical Dispersion in Predicting Ventricular Arrhythmias?. <i>Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography</i> , 2022, 35, 366-368.	1.2	2
157	Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy: The MGH Experience. <i>Annals of Noninvasive Electrocardiology</i> , 2005, 10, 44-54.	0.5	1
158	QRS configuration and cardiac resynchronization therapy: Do we need a patient-specific approach?. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2009, 6, 1448-1449.	0.3	1
159	ICDs, Guidelines, and National Registries: Opportunities to Enhance Quality of Patient Care. <i>PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2012, 35, 253-258.	0.5	1
160	ThreeâDimensional Cardiac Mapping Characterizes Ventricular Contractile Patterns during Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy Implant: A Feasibility Study. <i>PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2015, 38, 1091-1098.	0.5	1
161	SIMPLER not to test implantable cardioverter defibrillators?. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2015, 385, 753-755.	6.3	1
162	Coronary Sinus Lead Positioning. <i>Cardiac Electrophysiology Clinics</i> , 2015, 7, 635-647.	0.7	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
163	Response to Letter Regarding Article, "Circulating MicroRNA-30d Is Associated With Response to Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy in Heart Failure and Regulates Cardiomyocyte Apoptosis: A Translational Pilot Study". <i>Circulation</i> , 2016, 133, e389-e390.	1.6	1
164	Left ventricle and mitral valve reverse remodeling in response to cardiac resynchronization therapy in nonischemic cardiomyopathy. <i>Echocardiography</i> , 2020, 37, 1557-1565.	0.3	1
165	Integrated electrophysiology care for patients with heart failure: An envisioned future. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2021, 18, e51-e63.	0.3	1
166	Ventricular activation patterns during intrinsic conduction and right ventricular pacing in cardiac resynchronization therapy patients. <i>PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2021, 44, 1663-1670.	0.5	1
167	His Bundle Pacing. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2022, 8, 70-72.	1.3	1
168	Sex-Specific Differences in Ventricular Remodeling and Response After Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2022, , .	0.7	1
169	Meta-analysis of biventricular vs. right ventricular pacing mode in patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing atrioventricular nodal ablation: what was the quality of the included trials? -reply. <i>European Journal of Heart Failure</i> , 2012, 14, 1311-1312.	2.9	0
170	EFFORTLESS S-ICD registry: another step in the right direction. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2014, 35, 1634-1636.	1.0	0
171	Electrophysiology and Health Care Reform. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2015, 1, 463-464.	1.3	0
172	Breaking New Paths. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2015, 1, 337-339.	1.3	0
173	Reply to the Editor "Prognostic Implication of Baseline PR Interval in Patients Undergoing Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2016, 13, 1573-1574.	0.3	0
174	Resynchronizing the Heart and Remodeling Healthcare. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2016, 2, 532-533.	1.3	0
175	Clinical EP in 2017 and Beyond. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2017, 3, 85-87.	1.3	0
176	The Nonischemic Cardiomyopathy Defibrillator Conundrum. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2017, 3, 1064-1067.	1.3	0
177	Connecting Life With Devices. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2018, 4, 422-423.	1.3	0
178	Evolving Strategies in Heart Failure Management: An Eye Toward Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. <i>Cardiac Electrophysiology Clinics</i> , 2019, 11, xv-xvi.	0.7	0
179	Is There a "Right" Way to Define the "Left" Bundle Branch Block for Enhancing Response?. <i>JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology</i> , 2020, 6, 204-206.	1.3	0
180	Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy for Chemotherapy-Induced Cardiomyopathy -Reply. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2020, 323, 1317.	3.8	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
181	Advances in Heart Failure Management: Improving Outcomes With Innovation. Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine, 2017, 18, S1-S16.	0.5	0
182	Techniques for Visualizing the Coronary Sinus and Coronary Venous Anatomy. , 0, , 183-195.		0
183	Keep your fingers on the PULsE: artificial intelligence to guide atrial fibrillation screening. European Heart Journal Digital Health, 0, , .	0.7	0