

Maria Augustinova

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2162045/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

33
papers

753
citations

567281

15
h-index

552781

26
g-index

34
all docs

34
docs citations

34
times ranked

527
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Automaticity of Word Reading. <i>Current Directions in Psychological Science</i> , 2014, 23, 343-348.	5.3	104
2	Suggestion does not de-automatize word reading: Evidence from the semantically based Stroop task. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2012, 19, 521-527.	2.8	59
3	Comparing word processing times in naming, lexical decision, and progressive demasking: evidence from Chronolex. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2011, 2, 306.	2.1	57
4	Falsification cueing in collective reasoning: example of the Wason selection task. <i>European Journal of Social Psychology</i> , 2008, 38, 770-785.	2.4	46
5	Improved Cognitive Control in Presence of Anthropomorphized Robots. <i>International Journal of Social Robotics</i> , 2019, 11, 463-476.	4.6	36
6	The loci of Stroop effects: a critical review of methods and evidence for levels of processing contributing to color-word Stroop effects and the implications for the loci of attentional selection. <i>Psychological Research</i> , 2022, 86, 1029-1053.	1.7	36
7	Single-letter coloring and spatial cuing do not eliminate or reduce a semantic contribution to the Stroop effect. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2010, 17, 827-833.	2.8	34
8	The influence of mere social presence on Stroop interference: New evidence from the semantically-based Stroop task. <i>Journal of Experimental Social Psychology</i> , 2012, 48, 1213-1216.	2.2	33
9	The Loci of Stroop Interference and Facilitation Effects With Manual and Vocal Responses. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2019, 10, 1786.	2.1	32
10	Further investigation of distinct components of Stroop interference and of their reduction by short response-stimulus intervals. <i>Acta Psychologica</i> , 2018, 189, 54-62.	1.5	31
11	Negative Relations Between Pacifier Use and Emotional Competence. <i>Basic and Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2012, 34, 387-394.	2.1	27
12	Behavioral and electrophysiological investigation of semantic and response conflict in the Stroop task. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2015, 22, 543-549.	2.8	26
13	An fMRI Study of Response and Semantic Conflict in the Stroop Task. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2019, 10, 2426.	2.1	26
14	Social priming of dyslexia and reduction of the Stroop effect: What component of the Stroop effect is actually reduced?. <i>Cognition</i> , 2014, 130, 442-454.	2.2	20
15	Differential Access to Information and Anticipated Group Interaction: Impact on Individual Reasoning.. <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i> , 2005, 88, 619-631.	2.8	18
16	Some further clarifications on age-related differences in Stroop interference. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2018, 25, 767-774.	2.8	16
17	Influence de la pr�sentation bicolore des mots sur lâ�effet Stroop. <i>Annee Psychologique</i> , 2007, 107, 163.	0.3	15
18	Differential effects of viewing positions on standard versus semantic Stroop interference. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2014, 21, 425-431.	2.8	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Quand Â« amour Â» amorce Â« soleil Â» (ou pourquoi lâ€™amorçage affectif nâ€™est pas un (simple) cas) Tj ETQq1,1 0.784314 rgB // 0,3 14		
20	Body and Mind: Zajoncâ€™s (Re)introduction of the Motor System to Emotion and Cognition. <i>Emotion Review</i> , 2010, 2, 340-347.	3.4	12
21	Pacifiers Disrupt Adultsâ€™ Responses to Infantsâ€™ Emotions. <i>Basic and Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2014, 36, 299-308.	2.1	12
22	Category learning in the color-word contingency learning paradigm. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2018, 25, 658-666.	2.8	11
23	Editorial: The Locus of the Stroop Effect. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2019, 10, 2860.	2.1	11
24	Que mesure lâ€™interfÃ©rence Stroop? Quand et comment? Arguments mÃ©thodologiques et thÃ©oriques en faveur dâ€™un changement de pratiques dans sa mesure. <i>Annee Psychologique</i> , 2016, 116, 45-66.	0.3	10
25	Some further clarifications on age-related differences in the Stroop task: New evidence from the two-to-one Stroop paradigm. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2022, 29, 492-500.	2.8	10
26	Response Modality and the Stroop Task. <i>Experimental Psychology</i> , 2019, 66, 361-367.	0.7	10
27	The effect of high-frequency rTMS of the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex on the resolution of response, semantic and task conflict in the colour-word Stroop task. <i>Brain Structure and Function</i> , 2021, 226, 1241-1252.	2.3	9
28	Is There Semantic Conflict in the Stroop Task?. <i>Experimental Psychology</i> , 2021, 68, 274-283.	0.7	7
29	Stroop interference is a composite phenomenon: Evidence from distinct developmental trajectories of its components. <i>Developmental Science</i> , 2020, 23, e12899.	2.4	6
30	Power of the desired self: Influence of induced perceptions of the self on reasoning. <i>Cognition</i> , 2011, 121, 299-312.	2.2	3
31	The impact of exposure to unrealistically high beauty standards on inhibitory control. <i>Annee Psychologique</i> , 2019, Vol. 119, 473-493.	0.3	3
32	Le traitement motivÃ© de lâ€™information dans la prise de dÃ©cision en groupe: le cas du paradigme des Â«Â profiles cachÃ©sÂ». <i>Annee Psychologique</i> , 2012, 112, 663-693.	0.3	2
33	2 en 1 ou lorsque lâ€™effet de format dÃ©pend de lâ€™effet dâ€™ordre : le cas du problÃ©me des avocats et des ingÃ©nieurs. <i>Annee Psychologique</i> , 2009, 109, 253.	0.3	0