Marjolein Kok

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/2117151/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

		393982	329751
59	1,573	19	37
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
<i>C</i> 1	61	<i>C</i> 1	1757
61	61	61	1757
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Usability and Effectiveness of Mobile Health Technology–Based Lifestyle and Medical Intervention Apps Supporting Health Care During Pregnancy: Systematic Review. JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 2018, 6, e109.	1.8	153
2	External Cephalic Version–Related Risks. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2008, 112, 1143-1151.	1.2	124
3	Decision aids to improve informed decisionâ€making in pregnancy care: a systematic review. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2013, 120, 257-266.	1.1	111
4	Term breech deliveries in the Netherlands: did the increased cesarean rate affect neonatal outcome? A populationâ€based cohort study. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2014, 93, 888-896.	1.3	100
5	Impact of fetal gender on the risk of preterm birth, a national cohort study. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2016, 95, 1034-1041.	1.3	86
6	Clinical factors to predict the outcome of external cephalic version: a metaanalysis. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2008, 199, 630.e1-630.e7.	0.7	78
7	Continuous glucose monitoring during diabetic pregnancy (GlucoMOMS): A multicentre randomized controlled trial. Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism, 2018, 20, 1894-1902.	2.2	77
8	Nifedipine versus atosiban for threatened preterm birth (APOSTEL III): a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet, The, 2016, 387, 2117-2124.	6.3	65
9	Mode of Delivery After Successful External Cephalic Version. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2014, 123, 1327-1334.	1.2	51
10	Prediction of Success of External Cephalic Version after 36 Weeks. American Journal of Perinatology, 2011, 28, 103-110.	0.6	47
11	The predictive value of quantitative fibronectin testing inÂcombination with cervical length measurement inÂsymptomatic women. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2016, 215, 793.e1-793.e8.	0.7	43
12	Contraindications for external cephalic version in breech position at term: a systematic review. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2013, 92, 137-142.	1.3	39
13	Quantitative fetal fibronectin testing in combination with cervical length measurement in the prediction of spontaneous preterm delivery in symptomatic women. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2016, 123, 1965-1971.	1.1	38
14	Barriers and Facilitators for the Use of a Medical Mobile App to Prevent Work-Related Risks in Pregnancy: A Qualitative Analysis. JMIR Research Protocols, 2017, 6, e163.	0.5	32
15	Preterm birth in singleton and multiple pregnancies: evaluation of costs and perinatal outcomes. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2015, 186, 34-41.	0.5	30
16	Prediction models for successful external cephalic version: a systematic review. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2015, 195, 160-167.	0.5	26
17	Preterm Breech Presentation. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2015, 126, 1223-1230.	1.2	24
18	Nifedipine as a Uterine Relaxant for External Cephalic Version. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2008, 112, 271-276.	1.2	23

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Expectant parents' preferences for mode of delivery and trade-offs of outcomes for breech presentation. Patient Education and Counseling, 2008, 72, 305-310.	1.0	21
20	Patients' and professionals' barriers and facilitators to external cephalic version for breech presentation at term, a qualitative analysis in the Netherlands. Midwifery, 2014, 30, 324-330.	1.0	21
21	Tobacco control policies and perinatal health: a national quasi-experimental study. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 23907.	1.6	21
22	Risk of developmental dysplasia of the hip in breech presentation: the effect of successful external cephalic version. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2013, 120, 607-612.	1.1	20
23	Implementation of External Cephalic Version in the Netherlands: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Birth, 2014, 41, 323-329.	1.1	20
24	Usability and Usefulness of a Mobile Health App for Pregnancy-Related Work Advice: Mixed-Methods Approach. JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 2019, 7, e11442.	1.8	20
25	Nifedipine versus placebo in the treatment of preterm prelabor rupture of membranes: a randomized controlled trial. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2016, 205, 79-84.	0.5	19
26	Early nasogastric tube feeding in optimising treatment for hyperemesis gravidarum: the MOTHER randomised controlled trial (Maternal and Offspring outcomes after Treatment of HyperEmesis by) Tj ETQq0 0 C) rg B T9∤Ove	erlo ide 10 Tf 50
27	Low dose aspirin in the prevention of recurrent spontaneous preterm labour – the APRIL study: a multicenter randomized placebo controlled trial. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2017, 17, 223.	0.9	18
28	External Validation of a Prediction Model for Successful External Cephalic Version. American Journal of Perinatology, 2012, 29, 231-236.	0.6	17
29	Patient's willingness to opt for external cephalic version. Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2013, 34, 15-21.	1.1	17
30	Cervical Pessary After Arrested Preterm Labor. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2018, 132, 741-749.	1.2	16
31	Implementation of the external cephalic version in breech delivery. Dutch national implementation study of external cephalic version. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2010, 10, 20.	0.9	15
32	Facilitators and barriers to external cephalic version for breech presentation at term among health care providers in the Netherlands: A quantitative analysis. Midwifery, 2014, 30, e145-e150.	1.0	14
33	Risk stratification with cervical length and fetal fibronectin in women with threatened preterm labor before 34Âweeks and not delivering within 7Âdays. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2015, 94, 715-721.	1.3	14
34	Risk factors for cesarean section and instrumental vaginal delivery after successful external cephalic version. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2016, 29, 2005-2007.	0.7	14
35	Nifedipine versus atosiban in the treatment of threatened preterm labour (Assessment of Perinatal) Tj ETQq1 1 2014, 14, 93.	0.784314 0.9	rgBT /Overloc 13
36	Which factors play a role in clinical decision-making in external cephalic version?. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2008, 87, 31-35.	1.3	12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Development and internal validation of a clinical prediction model for external cephalic version. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2018, 228, 137-142.	0.5	12
38	Comment on: A meta-analysis of common risk factors associated with the diagnosis of developmental dysplasia of the hip in newborns. European Journal of Radiology, 2013, 82, 199.	1.2	10
39	Effectiveness of a cervical pessary for women who did not deliver 48Âh after threatened preterm labor (Assessment of perinatal outcome after specific treatment in early labor: Apostel VI trial). BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2016, 16, 154.	0.9	9
40	More home births during the <scp>COVID</scp> â€19 pandemic in the Netherlands. Birth, 2022, 49, 792-804.	1.1	9
41	Atosiban versus fenoterol as a uterine relaxant for external cephalic version: randomised controlled trial. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 356, i6773.	2.4	8
42	Impact of a randomized trial on maintenance tocolysis on length of hospital admission of women with threatened preterm labor in The Netherlands. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2015, 186, 8-11.	0.5	7
43	Implementation of client versus careâ€provider strategies to improve external cephalic version rates: a cluster randomized controlled trial. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2015, 94, 518-526.	1.3	7
44	Perinatal outcomes according to the mode of delivery in women with a triplet pregnancy in The Netherlands. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2019, 32, 3771-3777.	0.7	6
45	Study protocol for a randomised trial for atosiban versus placebo in threatened preterm birth: the APOSTEL 8 study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e029101.	0.8	6
46	Evaluation of a blended care programme for caregivers and working pregnant women to prevent adverse pregnancy outcomes: an intervention study. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2021, 78, 809-817.	1.3	6
47	764: Effect of increased caesarean section rate due to term breech presentation on maternal and fetal outcome in subsequent pregnancies. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2013, 208, S321.	0.7	5
48	Mode of childbirth and neonatal outcome after external cephalic version: A prospective cohort study. Midwifery, 2016, 39, 44-48.	1.0	5
49	Which Factors Contribute to False-Positive, False-Negative, and Invalid Results in Fetal Fibronectin Testing in Women with Symptoms of Preterm Labor?. American Journal of Perinatology, 2017, 34, 234-239.	0.6	5
50	Cost effectiveness of nifedipine compared with atosiban in the treatment of threatened preterm birth (<scp>APOSTEL III</scp> trial). BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2019, 126, 875-883.	1.1	4
51	The impact of mode of delivery on the outcome in very preterm twins. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2020, 33, 2089-2095.	0.7	4
52	Ethnic differences in the impact of male fetal gender on the risk of spontaneous preterm birth. Journal of Perinatology, 2021, 41, 2165-2172.	0.9	4
53	Subsequent pregnancy outcome after preterm breech delivery, a population based cohort study. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2016, 29, 2540-2544.	0.7	3
54	Risk factors for spontaneous preterm birth among healthy nulliparous pregnant women in the Netherlands, a prospective cohort study. Health Science Reports, 2022, 5, .	0.6	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Working conditions in low risk nulliparous women in The Netherlands: are legislation and guidelines a guarantee for a healthy working environment? A cohort study. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 2022, 95, 1305-1315.	1.1	2
56	Risks of vaginal breech delivery at term compared to elective cesarean section - Reply to comment by Page. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2015, 94, 442-442.	1.3	1
57	Contraindications for External Cephalic Version in Breech Position at Term. Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey, 2013, 68, 418-420.	0.2	O
58	Risks of vaginal breech delivery at term compared with elective cesarean section - Reply to comments by Walker and Powell, and Sholapurkar. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2015, 94, 119-119.	1.3	0
59	Nifedipine Versus Atosiban for Threatened Preterm Birth (APOSTEL III). Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey, 2016, 71, 514-516.	0.2	O