David J Spiegelhalter

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

		687363	888059
17 papers	3,328 citations	13 h-index	17 g-index
18 all docs	18 docs citations	18 times ranked	5746 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	The adverse effects of bisphosphonates in breast cancer: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0246441.	2.5	18
2	COVID-19 risk perception: a longitudinal analysis of its predictors and associations with health protective behaviours in the United Kingdom. Journal of Risk Research, 2021, 24, 294-313.	2.6	144
3	Communicating personalized risks from COVID-19: guidelines from an empirical study. Royal Society Open Science, 2021, 8, 201721.	2.4	13
4	How well did experts and laypeople forecast the size of the COVID-19 pandemic?. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0250935.	2.5	26
5	Redevelopment of the Predict: Breast Cancer website and recommendations for developing interfaces to support decision€making. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 10, 5141-5153.	2.8	13
6	The effects of quality of evidence communication on perception of public health information about COVID-19: Two randomised controlled trials. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0259048.	2.5	17
7	Expert elicitation on the relative importance of possible SARS-CoV-2 transmission routes and the effectiveness of mitigations. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e050869.	1.9	11
8	Living risk prediction algorithm (QCOVID) for risk of hospital admission and mortality from coronavirus 19 in adults: national derivation and validation cohort study. BMJ, The, 2020, 371, m3731.	6.0	471
9	Risk perceptions of COVID-19 around the world. Journal of Risk Research, 2020, 23, 994-1006.	2.6	1,138
10	Shielding from covid-19 should be stratified by risk. BMJ, The, 2020, 369, m2063.	6.0	57
11	The effects of communicating uncertainty on public trust in facts and numbers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2020, 117, 7672-7683.	7.1	158
12	Five rules for evidence communication. Nature, 2020, 587, 362-364.	27.8	76
13	Communicating uncertainty about facts, numbers and science. Royal Society Open Science, 2019, 6, 181870.	2.4	213
14	Risk and Uncertainty Communication. Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application, 2017, 4, 31-60.	7.0	134
15	Routine Antenatal Anti-D Prophylaxis in Women Who Are Rh(D) Negative: Meta-Analyses Adjusted for Differences in Study Design and Quality. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e30711.	2.5	49
16	Visualizing Uncertainty About the Future. Science, 2011, 333, 1393-1400.	12.6	536
17	Bias Modelling in Evidence Synthesis. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series A: Statistics in Society, 2009, 172, 21-47.	1.1	252