Ann Yi

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1965762/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

430874 477307 1,145 29 18 29 citations h-index g-index papers 29 29 29 1494 docs citations all docs times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Microcalcifications and Peritumoral Edema Predict Survival Outcome in Luminal Breast Cancer Treated with Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy. Radiology, 2022, 304, 310-319.	7.3	15
2	Comparison of Abbreviated MRI and Full Diagnostic MRI in Distinguishing between Benign and Malignant Lesions Detected by Breast MRI: A Multireader Study. Korean Journal of Radiology, 2021, 22, 297.	3.4	11
3	Addition of Screening Breast US to Digital Mammography and Digital Breast Tomosynthesis for Breast Cancer Screening in Women at Average Risk. Radiology, 2021, 298, 568-575.	7.3	18
4	Glandular Tissue Component and Breast Cancer Risk in Mammographically Dense Breasts at Screening Breast US. Radiology, 2021, 301, 57-65.	7.3	10
5	Utility and Diagnostic Performance of Automated Breast Ultrasound System in Evaluating Pure Non-Mass Enhancement on Breast Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Korean Journal of Radiology, 2020, 21, 1210.	3.4	2
6	Detection of noncalcified breast cancer in patients with extremely dense breasts using digital breast tomosynthesis compared with full-field digital mammography. British Journal of Radiology, 2019, 92, 20180101.	2.2	7
7	Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with breast cancer in nonobese women. Digestive and Liver Disease, 2019, 51, 1030-1035.	0.9	38
8	Prevalence of Women with Dense Breasts in Korea: Results from a Nationwide Cross-sectional Study. Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 51, 1295-1301.	3.0	22
9	Does breast density measured through population-based screening independently increase breast cancer risk in Asian females?. Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, Volume 10, 61-70.	3.0	29
10	Supplemental Screening Breast US in Women with Negative Mammographic Findings: Effect of Routine Axillary Scanning. Radiology, 2018, 286, 830-837.	7.3	16
11	Diagnostic performances of supplemental breast ultrasound screening in women with personal history of breast cancer. Acta Radiologica, 2018, 59, 533-539.	1.1	11
12	Computer-aided prediction of axillary lymph node status in breast cancer using tumor surrounding tissue features in ultrasound images. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 2017, 146, 143-150.	4.7	29
13	Imaging features of breast cancers on digital breast tomosynthesis according to molecular subtype: association with breast cancer detection. British Journal of Radiology, 2017, 90, 20170470.	2.2	15
14	Interpretation of digital breast tomosynthesis: preliminary study on comparison with picture archiving and communication system (PACS) and dedicated workstation. British Journal of Radiology, 2017, 90, 20170182.	2.2	1
15	Evaluation of Screening US–detected Breast Masses by Combined Use of Elastography and Color Doppler US with B-Mode US in Women with Dense Breasts: A Multicenter Prospective Study. Radiology, 2017, 285, 660-669.	7. 3	52
16	Ultrasound-guided photoacoustic imaging for the selective detection of EGFR-expressing breast cancer and lymph node metastases. Biomedical Optics Express, 2016, 7, 1920.	2.9	24
17	Comparison of the diagnostic performance of digital breast tomosynthesis and magnetic resonance imaging added to digital mammography in women with known breast cancers. European Radiology, 2016, 26, 1556-1564.	4.5	32
18	Characterization of Breast Lesions: Comparison of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis and Ultrasonography. Korean Journal of Radiology, 2015, 16, 229.	3.4	34

#	Article	IF	CITATION
19	Undiagnosed Breast Cancer: Features at Supplemental Screening US. Radiology, 2015, 277, 372-380.	7.3	24
20	Breast Cancer Recurrence in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Breast Cancer without and with Preoperative MR Imaging: A Matched Cohort Study. Radiology, 2015, 276, 695-705.	7.3	36
21	Practice guideline for the performance of breast ultrasound elastography. Ultrasonography, 2014, 33, 3-10.	2.3	79
22	A New Full-Field Digital Mammography System with and without the Use of an Advanced Post-Processing Algorithm: Comparison of Image Quality and Diagnostic Performance. Korean Journal of Radiology, 2014, 15, 305.	3.4	5
23	Stiffness of tumours measured by shear-wave elastography correlated with subtypes of breast cancer. European Radiology, 2013, 23, 2450-2458.	4.5	143
24	Survival Outcomes of Breast Cancer Patients Who Receive Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy: Association with Dynamic Contrast-enhanced MR Imaging with Computer-aided Evaluation. Radiology, 2013, 268, 662-672.	7.3	47
25	Sonoelastography in Distinguishing Benign from Malignant Complex Breast Mass and Making the Decision to Biopsy. Korean Journal of Radiology, 2013, 14, 559.	3.4	24
26	Two-View versus Single-View Shear-Wave Elastography: Comparison of Observer Performance in Differentiating Benign from Malignant Breast Masses. Radiology, 2013, , 130561.	7.3	1
27	Sonoelastography for 1786 non-palpable breast masses: diagnostic value in the decision to biopsy. European Radiology, 2012, 22, 1033-1040.	4.5	81
28	Characteristics of breast cancers detected by ultrasound screening in women with negative mammograms. Cancer Science, 2011, 102, 1862-1867.	3.9	39
29	Clinical application of shear wave elastography (SWE) in the diagnosis of benign and malignant breast diseases. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment. 2011, 129, 89-97.	2.5	300