Anthony C Little

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1964390/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Facial attractiveness: evolutionary based research. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2011, 366, 1638-1659.	1.8	668
2	Facial Attractiveness. , 2021, , 2887-2891.		442
3	Testosterone and financial risk preferences. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2008, 29, 384-390.	1.4	362
4	Facial appearance affects voting decisions. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2007, 28, 18-27.	1.4	358
5	Partnership status and the temporal context of relationships influence human female preferences for sexual dimorphism in male face shape. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2002, 269, 1095-1100.	1.2	356
6	Manipulations of fundamental and formant frequencies influence the attractiveness of human male voices. Animal Behaviour, 2005, 69, 561-568.	0.8	331
7	Self-perceived attractiveness influences human female preferences for sexual dimorphism and symmetry in male faces. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2001, 268, 39-44.	1.2	325
8	Symmetry, sexual dimorphism in facial proportions and male facial attractiveness. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2001, 268, 1617-1623.	1.2	307
9	Facial symmetry and judgements of apparent health. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2001, 22, 417-429.	1.4	276
10	Female condition influences preferences for sexual dimorphism in faces of male humans (Homo) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rg	gBT /Qverlo 0.3	ock 10 Tf 50 3 259
11	Commitment to relationships and preferences for femininity and apparent health in faces are strongest on days of the menstrual cycle when progesterone level is high. Hormones and Behavior, 2005, 48, 283-290.	1.0	239
12	The health of a nation predicts their mate preferences: cross-cultural variation in women's preferences for masculinized male faces. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2010, 277, 2405-2410.	1.2	237
13	Correlated preferences for facial masculinity and ideal or actual partner's masculinity. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2006, 273, 1355-1360.	1.2	222
14	Personality Judgments from Natural and Composite Facial Images: More Evidence For A "Kernel Of Truth―In Social Perception. Social Cognition, 2006, 24, 607-640.	0.5	217
15	When Facial Attractiveness is Only Skin Deep. Perception, 2004, 33, 569-576.	0.5	215
16	Raised salivary testosterone in women is associated with increased attraction to masculine faces. Hormones and Behavior, 2007, 52, 156-161.	1.0	212
17	Female facial attractiveness increases during the fertile phase of the menstrual cycle. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2004, 271, S270-2.	1.2	202

18Menstrual cycle, pregnancy and oral contraceptive use alter attraction to apparent health in faces.
Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2005, 272, 347-354.1.2183

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Sex-contingent face after-effects suggest distinct neural populations code male and female faces. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2005, 272, 2283-2287.	1.2	182
20	Preferences for masculinity in male bodies change across the menstrual cycle. Hormones and Behavior, 2007, 51, 633-639.	1.0	177
21	Effects of Menstrual Cycle Phase on Face Preferences. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2008, 37, 78-84.	1.2	173
22	A domain-specific opposite-sex bias in human preferences for manipulated voice pitch. Animal Behaviour, 2010, 79, 57-62.	0.8	165
23	MHC-heterozygosity and human facial attractiveness. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2005, 26, 213-226.	1.4	163
24	Evidence from rhesus macaques suggests that male coloration plays a role in female primate mate choice. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2003, 270, S144-6.	1.2	160
25	Correlated preferences for men's facial and vocal masculinity. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2008, 29, 233-241.	1.4	159
26	Social Perception of Facial Resemblance in Humans. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2008, 37, 64-77.	1.2	157
27	Facial cues of dominance modulate the short-term gaze-cuing effect in human observers. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2010, 277, 617-624.	1.2	156
28	The role of masculinity and distinctiveness in judgments of human male facial attractiveness. British Journal of Psychology, 2002, 93, 451-464.	1.2	149
29	Symmetry Is Related to Sexual Dimorphism in Faces: Data Across Culture and Species. PLoS ONE, 2008, 3, e2106.	1.1	148
30	No Compelling Evidence that Preferences for Facial Masculinity Track Changes in Women's Hormonal Status. Psychological Science, 2018, 29, 996-1005.	1.8	145
31	Visual adaptation to masculine and feminine faces influences generalized preferences and perceptions of trustworthiness. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2006, 27, 381-389.	1.4	134
32	Human preferences for facial masculinity change with relationship type and environmental harshness. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 2007, 61, 967-973.	0.6	133
33	Viewing attractive or unattractive same-sex individuals changes self-rated attractiveness and face preferences in women. Animal Behaviour, 2006, 72, 981-987.	0.8	132
34	Social transmission of face preferences among humans. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2007, 274, 899-903.	1.2	129
35	Exposure to visual cues of pathogen contagion changes preferences for masculinity and symmetry in opposite-sex faces. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2011, 278, 2032-2039.	1.2	126
36	Evidence that androstadienone, a putative human chemosignal, modulates women's attributions of men's attractiveness. Hormones and Behavior, 2008, 54, 597-601.	1.0	125

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Integrating Gaze Direction and Expression in Preferences for Attractive Faces. Psychological Science, 2006, 17, 588-591.	1.8	123
38	Preferences for symmetry in human faces in two cultures: data from the UK and the Hadza, an isolated group of hunter-gatherers. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2007, 274, 3113-3117.	1.2	123
39	The relative importance of the face and body in judgments of human physical attractiveness. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2009, 30, 409-416.	1.4	122
40	Investigating an imprinting-like phenomenon in humans. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2003, 24, 43-51.	1.4	118
41	Using composite images to assess accuracy in personality attribution to faces. British Journal of Psychology, 2007, 98, 111-126.	1.2	118
42	Facial attractiveness judgements reflect learning of parental age characteristics. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2002, 269, 873-880.	1.2	112
43	Men report stronger attraction to femininity in women's faces when their testosterone levels are high. Hormones and Behavior, 2008, 54, 703-708.	1.0	111
44	Selective attention toward female secondary sexual color in male rhesus macaques. American Journal of Primatology, 2006, 68, 738-744.	0.8	110
45	Perceived Aggressiveness Predicts Fighting Performance in Mixed-Martial-Arts Fighters. Psychological Science, 2013, 24, 1664-1672.	1.8	106
46	Evidence against perceptual bias views for symmetry preferences in human faces. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2003, 270, 1759-1763.	1.2	105
47	Are attractive men's faces masculine or feminine? The importance of controlling confounds in face stimuli Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2010, 36, 751-758.	0.7	105
48	Good genes, complementary genes and human mate preferences. Genetica, 2008, 132, 309-321.	0.5	103
49	Category contingent aftereffects for faces of different races, ages and species. Cognition, 2008, 106, 1537-1547.	1.1	101
50	Preferences for symmetry in faces change across the menstrual cycle. Biological Psychology, 2007, 76, 209-216.	1.1	100
51	Testosterone exposure, dopaminergic reward, and sensation-seeking in young men. Physiology and Behavior, 2010, 99, 451-456.	1.0	100
52	Concordant preferences for opposite–sex signals? Human pheromones and facial characteristics. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2004, 271, 635-640.	1.2	98
53	Preferences for variation in masculinity in real male faces change across the menstrual cycle: Women prefer more masculine faces when they are more fertile. Personality and Individual Differences, 2008, 45, 478-482.	1.6	98
54	Human preference for masculinity differs according to context in faces, bodies, voices, and smell. Behavioral Ecology, 2011, 22, 862-868.	1.0	95

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	What is good is beautiful: Face preference reflects desired personality. Personality and Individual Differences, 2006, 41, 1107-1118.	1.6	93
56	Integrating cues of social interest and voice pitch in men's preferences for women's voices. Biology Letters, 2008, 4, 192-194.	1.0	90
57	Dissociating averageness and attractiveness: Attractive faces are not always average Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2007, 33, 1420-1430.	0.7	87
58	Manipulation of Infant‣ike Traits Affects Perceived Cuteness of Infant, Adult and Cat Faces. Ethology, 2012, 118, 775-782.	0.5	87
59	The relationship between shape symmetry and perceived skin condition in male facial attractiveness. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2004, 25, 24-30.	1.4	86
60	The perception of attractiveness and trustworthiness in male faces affects hypothetical voting decisions differently in wartime and peacetime scenarios. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2012, 65, 2018-2032.	0.6	84
61	Circum-menopausal effects on women's judgements of facial attractiveness. Biology Letters, 2009, 5, 62-64.	1.0	83
62	In the face of dominance: Self-perceived and other-perceived dominance are positively associated with facial-width-to-height ratio in men. Personality and Individual Differences, 2014, 69, 115-118.	1.6	83
63	Social influence in human face preference: men and women are influenced more for long-term than short-term attractiveness decisions. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2008, 29, 140-146.	1.4	81
64	Self-rated attractiveness predicts individual differences in women's preferences for masculine men's voices. Personality and Individual Differences, 2008, 45, 451-456.	1.6	81
65	Sex-contingent face aftereffects depend on perceptual category rather than structural encoding. Cognition, 2008, 107, 353-365.	1.1	78
66	Assortative mating for perceived facial personality traits. Personality and Individual Differences, 2006, 40, 973-984.	1.6	77
67	MHC-assortative facial preferences in humans. Biology Letters, 2005, 1, 400-403.	1.0	75
68	Duchenne smiles and the perception of generosity and sociability in faces. Journal of Evolutionary Psychology, 2007, 5, 183-196.	1.4	74
69	Symmetry and sexual dimorphism in human faces: interrelated preferences suggest both signal quality. Behavioral Ecology, 2008, 19, 902-908.	1.0	74
70	Facial Averageness and Attractiveness in an Isolated Population of Hunter-Gatherers. Perception, 2007, 36, 1813-1820.	0.5	70
71	Sex-Dimorphic Face Shape Preference in Heterosexual and Homosexual Men and Women. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2010, 39, 1289-1296.	1.2	70
72	Oral contraceptive use in women changes preferences for male facial masculinity and is associated with partner facial masculinity. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 2013, 38, 1777-1785.	1.3	70

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	2D:4D and Sexually Dimorphic Facial Characteristics. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2007, 36, 377-384.	1.2	68
74	Experimental evidence that women speak in a higher voice pitch to men they find attractive. Journal of Evolutionary Psychology, 2011, 9, 57-67.	1.4	68
75	Facial attractiveness. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science, 2014, 5, 621-634.	1.4	68
76	Women's preferences for masculinity in male faces are highest during reproductive age range and lower around puberty and post-menopause. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 2010, 35, 912-920.	1.3	67
77	Facial masculinity is related to perceived age but not perceived health. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2005, 26, 417-431.	1.4	65
78	Cues to the sex ratio of the local population influence women's preferences for facial symmetry. Animal Behaviour, 2012, 83, 545-553.	0.8	65
79	Human perception of fighting ability: facial cues predict winners and losers in mixed martial arts fights. Behavioral Ecology, 2015, 26, 1470-1475.	1.0	65
80	Preferences for Symmetry in Conspecific Facial Shape Among Macaca mulatta. International Journal of Primatology, 2006, 27, 133-145.	0.9	64
81	Effects of partner conception risk phase on male perception of dominance in faces. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2006, 27, 297-305.	1.4	64
82	Further evidence for regional variation in women's masculinity preferences. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2011, 278, 813-814.	1.2	64
83	The role of symmetry in attraction to average faces. Perception & Psychophysics, 2007, 69, 1273-1277.	2.3	62
84	Social learning and human mate preferences: a potential mechanism for generating and maintaining between-population diversity in attraction. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2011, 366, 366-375.	1.8	62
85	Evolution, Appearance, and Occupational Success. Evolutionary Psychology, 2012, 10, 782-801.	0.6	60
86	Hormonal contraceptive use and mate retention behavior in women and their male partners. Hormones and Behavior, 2012, 61, 114-120.	1.0	59
87	Pathogen disgust predicts women's preferences for masculinity in men's voices, faces, and bodies. Behavioral Ecology, 2013, 24, 373-379.	1.0	59
88	Are there vocal cues to human developmental stability? Relationships between facial fluctuating asymmetry and voice attractiveness. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2017, 38, 249-258.	1.4	59
89	Sensation seeking and men's face preferences. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2007, 28, 439-446.	1.4	58
90	The many faces of research on face perception. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2011, 366, 1634-1637.	1.8	57

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Androgens and competitiveness in men Journal of Neuroscience, Psychology, and Economics, 2011, 4, 54-62.	0.4	57
92	Attraction independent of detection suggests special mechanisms for symmetry preferences in human face perception. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2006, 273, 3093-3099.	1.2	55
93	Variation in facial masculinity and symmetry preferences across the menstrual cycle is moderated by relationship context. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 2012, 37, 999-1008.	1.3	55
94	Good genes, complementary genes and human mate preferences. Genetica, 2008, 134, 31-43.	0.5	54
95	Further evidence for links between facial widthâ€toâ€height ratio and fighting success: Commentary on Zilioli et al. (2014). Aggressive Behavior, 2015, 41, 331-334.	1.5	54
96	Facial appearance and leader choice in different contexts: Evidence for task contingent selection based on implicit and learned face-behaviour/face-ability associations. Leadership Quarterly, 2014, 25, 865-874.	3.6	53
97	Correlated Male Preferences for Femininity in Female Faces and Voices. Evolutionary Psychology, 2010, 8, 447-461.	0.6	52
98	Face and voice attractiveness judgments change during adolescence. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2009, 30, 398-408.	1.4	51
99	Sex Differences in the Perceived Dominance and Prestige of Women With and Without Cosmetics. Perception, 2016, 45, 1166-1183.	0.5	51
100	Manipulation of body odour alters men's self-confidence and judgements of their visual attractiveness by women. International Journal of Cosmetic Science, 2009, 31, 47-54.	1.2	50
101	Evidence for adaptive design in human gaze preference. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2008, 275, 63-69.	1.2	49
102	Body Odor Quality Predicts Behavioral Attractiveness in Humans. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2011, 40, 1111-1117.	1.2	48
103	Relationship satisfaction and outcome in women who meet their partner while using oral contraception. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2012, 279, 1430-1436.	1.2	48
104	Attribution to red suggests special role in dominance signalling. Journal of Evolutionary Psychology, 2007, 5, 161-168.	1.4	47
105	Women's own voice pitch predicts their preferences for masculinity in men's voices. Behavioral Ecology, 2010, 21, 767-772.	1.0	47
106	Variation in perceptions of physical dominance and trustworthiness predicts individual differences in the effect of relationship context on women's preferences for masculine pitch in men's voices. British Journal of Psychology, 2011, 102, 37-48.	1.2	47
107	Waist–hip ratio predicts women's preferences for masculine male faces, but not perceptions of men's trustworthiness. Personality and Individual Differences, 2009, 47, 476-480.	1.6	46
108	Viewing Time Measures of Sexual Orientation in Samoan Cisgender Men Who Engage in Sexual Interactions with Fa'afafine. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0116529.	1.1	45

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Women's physical and psychological condition independently predict their preference for apparent health in faces. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2005, 26, 451-457.	1.4	44
110	Opposite-sex siblings decrease attraction, but not prosocial attributions, to self-resembling opposite-sex faces. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2011, 108, 11710-11714.	3.3	43
111	Partner Choice, Relationship Satisfaction, and Oral Contraception. Psychological Science, 2014, 25, 1497-1503.	1.8	42
112	Face aftereffects suggest interdependent processing of expression and sex and of expression and race. Visual Cognition, 2010, 18, 255-274.	0.9	41
113	Facial coloration tracks changes in women's estradiol. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 2015, 56, 29-34.	1.3	41
114	Women's self-perceived health and attractiveness predict their male vocal masculinity preferences in different directions across short- and long-term relationship contexts. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 2012, 66, 413-418.	0.6	40
115	Integrating Gaze Direction and Sexual Dimorphism of Face Shape When Perceiving the Dominance of Others. Perception, 2009, 38, 1275-1283.	0.5	39
116	Circum-menopausal changes in women's preferences for sexually dimorphic shape cues in peer-aged faces. Biological Psychology, 2011, 87, 453-455.	1.1	39
117	Sociosexuality Predicts Women's Preferences for Symmetry in Men's Faces. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2012, 41, 1415-1421.	1.2	38
118	Accuracy in discrimination of self-reported cooperators using static facial information. Personality and Individual Differences, 2013, 54, 507-512.	1.6	38
119	Do prevailing environmental factors influence human preferences for facial morphology?. Behavioral Ecology, 2017, 28, 1217-1227.	1.0	38
120	Average ovarian hormone levels, rather than daily values and their fluctuations, are related to facial preferences among women. Hormones and Behavior, 2018, 102, 114-119.	1.0	38
121	Smelling human sex hormone-like compounds affects face gender judgment of men. NeuroReport, 2004, 15, 1275-1277.	0.6	37
122	The influence of steroid sex hormones on the cognitive and emotional processing of visual stimuli in humans. Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology, 2013, 34, 315-328.	2.5	34
123	Pregnancy coloration in macaques may act as a warning signal to reduce antagonism by conspecifics. Behavioural Processes, 2009, 80, 7-11.	0.5	33
124	Reconsidering male bisexuality: Sexual activity role and sexual attraction in Samoan men who engage in sexual interactions with Fa'afafine Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity, 2016, 3, 11-26.	2.0	33
125	Accuracy in assessment of self-reported stress and a measure of health from static facial information. Personality and Individual Differences, 2011, 51, 693-698.	1.6	32
126	Priming concerns about pathogen threat versus resource scarcity: dissociable effects on women's perceptions of men's attractiveness and dominance. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 2012, 66, 1549-1556.	0.6	32

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Salivary cortisol and pathogen disgust predict men's preferences for feminine shape cues in women's faces. Biological Psychology, 2013, 92, 233-240.	1.1	32
128	Environment contingent preferences: Exposure to visual cues of direct male–male competition and wealth increase women's preferences for masculinity in male faces. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2013, 34, 193-200.	1.4	32
129	Perceived differences in social status between speaker and listener affect the speaker's vocal characteristics. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0179407.	1.1	32
130	Females Pay Attention to Female Secondary Sexual Color: An Experimental Study in Macaca mulatta. International Journal of Primatology, 2007, 28, 1-7.	0.9	31
131	Hormonal effects on women's facial masculinity preferences: The influence of pregnancy, post-partum, and hormonal contraceptive use. Biological Psychology, 2015, 104, 35-40.	1.1	31
132	Extraversion predicts individual differences in women's face preferences. Personality and Individual Differences, 2009, 47, 996-998.	1.6	30
133	Vocal fundamental and formant frequencies affect perceptions of speaker cooperativeness. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2016, 69, 1657-1675.	0.6	30
134	Heterosexual Romantic Couples Mate Assortatively for Facial Symmetry, But Not Masculinity. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2011, 37, 601-613.	1.9	29
135	Domain Specificity in Human Symmetry Preferences: Symmetry is Most Pleasant When Looking at Human Faces. Symmetry, 2014, 6, 222-233.	1.1	29
136	Men's strategic preferences for femininity in female faces. British Journal of Psychology, 2014, 105, 364-381.	1.2	29
137	Trade-offs between markers of absolute and relative quality in human facial preferences. Behavioral Ecology, 2009, 20, 1133-1137.	1.0	28
138	Facial scarring enhances men's attractiveness for short-term relationships. Personality and Individual Differences, 2009, 46, 213-217.	1.6	28
139	Effects of Partner Beauty on Opposite-Sex Attractiveness Judgments. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2011, 40, 1119-1127.	1.2	28
140	Extending parasite-stress theory to variation in human mate preferences. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2012, 35, 86-87.	0.4	28
141	The valence of experiences with faces influences generalized preferences. Journal of Evolutionary Psychology, 2007, 5, 119-129.	1.4	27
142	Interactions among the Effects of Head Orientation, Emotional Expression, and Physical Attractiveness on Face Preferences. Perception, 2010, 39, 62-71.	0.5	26
143	Female Preferences for Male Vocal and Facial Masculinity in Videos. Ethology, 2012, 118, 321-330.	0.5	26
144	Hormonal contraceptive use and perceptions of trust modulate the effect of relationship context on women's preferences for sexual dimorphism in male face shape. Journal of Evolutionary Psychology, 2009, 7, 195-210.	1.4	25

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Infant's visual preferences for facial traits associated with adult attractiveness judgements: Data from eye-tracking. , 2014, 37, 268-275.		25
146	Viewing Time and Self-Report Measures of Sexual Attraction in Samoan Cisgender and Transgender Androphilic Males. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2018, 47, 2427-2434.	1.2	25
147	Transient pupil constrictions to faces are sensitive to orientation and species. Journal of Vision, 2008, 8, 17.	0.1	24
148	Interactions between masculinity–femininity and apparent health in face preferences. Behavioral Ecology, 2009, 20, 441-445.	1.0	24
149	Static and Dynamic Facial Images Cue Similar Attractiveness Judgements. Ethology, 2009, 115, 588-595.	0.5	24
150	Adolescents' preferences for sexual dimorphism are influenced by relative exposure to male and female faces. Personality and Individual Differences, 2009, 47, 864-868.	1.6	23
151	A longitudinal study of adolescents' judgments of the attractiveness of facial symmetry, averageness and sexual dimorphism. Journal of Evolutionary Psychology, 2011, 9, 43-55.	1.4	23
152	Sexual dimorphism of male face shape, partnership status and the temporal context of relationship sought modulate women's preferences for direct gaze. British Journal of Psychology, 2010, 101, 109-121.	1.2	22
153	The effects of relationship context and modality on ratings of funniness. Personality and Individual Differences, 2013, 54, 496-500.	1.6	21
154	Adaptation reinforces preferences for correlates of attractive facial cues. Visual Cognition, 2008, 16, 849-858.	0.9	20
155	Sex Differences in Attraction to Familiar and Unfamiliar Opposite-Sex Faces: Men Prefer Novelty and Women Prefer Familiarity. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2014, 43, 973-981.	1.2	19
156	Observer age and the social transmission of attractiveness in humans: Younger women are more influenced by the choices of popular others than older women. British Journal of Psychology, 2015, 106, 397-413.	1.2	19
157	Integrating social knowledge and physical cues when judging the attractiveness of potential mates. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2012, 48, 770-773.	1.3	18
158	Integrating physical and social cues when forming face preferences: Differences among low and high-anxiety individuals. Social Neuroscience, 2008, 3, 89-95.	0.7	16
159	â€~Eavesdropping' and perceived male dominance rank in humans. Animal Behaviour, 2011, 81, 1203-1208.	0.8	16
160	Reported Sexual Desire Predicts Men's Preferences for Sexually Dimorphic Cues in Women's Faces. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2011, 40, 1281-1285.	1.2	16
161	Sociosexuality in Brazil: Validation of the SOI-R and its correlates with personality, self-perceived mate value, and ideal partner preferences. Personality and Individual Differences, 2018, 124, 98-104.	1.6	15
162	No evidence that women using oral contraceptives have weaker preferences for masculine characteristics in men's faces. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0210162.	1.1	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	Adaptation May Cause Some of the Face Caricature Effect. Perception, 2011, 40, 317-322.	0.5	14
164	Mixed-Ethnicity Face Shape and Attractiveness in Humans. Perception, 2012, 41, 1486-1496.	0.5	14
165	Do assortative preferences contribute to assortative mating for adiposity?. British Journal of Psychology, 2014, 105, 474-485.	1.2	14
166	An explanation for enhanced perceptions of attractiveness after alcohol consumption. Alcohol, 2010, 44, 307-313.	0.8	13
167	Facial asymmetry is negatively related to condition in female macaque monkeys. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 2012, 66, 1311-1318.	0.6	13
168	Adaptation to Antifaces and the Perception of Correct Famous Identity in an Average Face. Frontiers in Psychology, 2012, 3, 19.	1.1	13
169	Adaptation to different mouth shapes influences visual perception of ambiguous lip speech. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2010, 17, 522-528.	1.4	12
170	Reading the Look of Love. Psychological Science, 2010, 21, 796-798.	1.8	12
171	Opposite effects of visual versus imagined presentation of faces on subsequent sex perception. Visual Cognition, 2010, 18, 816-828.	0.9	11
172	The organization of conspecific face space in nonhuman primates. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2012, 65, 2411-2434.	0.6	11
173	Social Support Influences Preferences for Feminine Facial Cues in Potential Social Partners. Experimental Psychology, 2012, 59, 340-347.	0.3	11
174	Heterogeneity in the Sexual Orientations of Men Who Have Sex with Fa'afafine in Samoa. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2020, 49, 517-529.	1.2	10
175	Correlated male preferences for femininity in female faces and voices. Evolutionary Psychology, 2010, 8, 447-61.	0.6	10
176	View-Contingent Aftereffects Suggest Joint Coding of Face Shape and View. Perception, 2009, 38, 133-141.	0.5	9
177	It's the way he tells them (and who is listening): men's dominance is positively correlated with their preference for jokes told by dominant-sounding men. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2016, 37, 97-104.	1.4	9
178	Emotions in context: Anger causes ethnic bias but not gender bias in men but not women. European Journal of Social Psychology, 2012, 42, 432-441.	1.5	8
179	Adaptation to Faces and Voices. Psychological Science, 2013, 24, 2297-2305.	1.8	8
180	Does Exogenous Testosterone Modulate Men's Ratings of Facial Dominance or Trustworthiness?. Adaptive Human Behavior and Physiology, 2017, 3, 365-385.	0.6	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
181	Costs of reproduction are reflected in women's faces: Postâ€menopausal women with fewer children are perceived as more attractive, healthier and younger than women with more children. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 2018, 165, 589-593.	2.1	8
182	Relationship satisfaction mediates the association between perceived partner mate retention strategies and relationship commitment. Current Psychology, 2022, 41, 5374-5382.	1.7	8
183	The Role of Vision in the Emergence of Mate Preferences. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2021, 50, 3785-3797.	1.2	8
184	Category-contingent face adaptation for novel colour categories: Contingent effects are seen only after social or meaningful labelling. Cognition, 2011, 118, 116-122.	1.1	7
185	Facial masculinity predicts risk and time preferences in expert chess players. Applied Economics Letters, 2013, 20, 1477-1480.	1.0	7
186	Attraction and Human Mating. Evolutionary Psychology, 2015, , 319-332.	1.8	7
187	Effect of Partnership Status on Preferences for Facial Self-Resemblance. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 869.	1.1	7
188	Mate Retention Behaviours and Jealousy in Hypothetical Mate-Poaching Situations: Measuring the Effects of Sex, Context, and Rivals' Attributes. Evolutionary Psychological Science, 2020, 6, 20-29.	0.8	7
189	Further Evidence That Facial Cues of Dominance Modulate Gaze Cuing in Human Observers. Swiss Journal of Psychology, 2011, 70, 193-197.	0.9	6
190	Exposure to sexually attractive men decreases women's preferences for feminine faces. Journal of Evolutionary Psychology, 2008, 6, 219-230.	1.4	5
191	Mate retention Strategies, Self-Esteem, Mate Value and Facial Attractiveness Disparity in Brazil and in the UK. Journal of Sex and Marital Therapy, 2019, 45, 461-472.	1.0	5
192	Similarities in Human Visual and Declared Measures of Preference for Opposite-Sex Faces. Experimental Psychology, 2014, 61, 301-309.	0.3	5
193	Perceptions of partner femininity predict individual differences in men's sensitivity to facial cues of male dominance. Journal of Evolutionary Psychology, 2011, 9, 69-82.	1.4	4
194	Discrimination of Attractiveness and Health in Men's Faces: the Impact of Color Cues and Variation in Relation to Sex and Age of Rater. Adaptive Human Behavior and Physiology, 2017, 3, 401-411.	0.6	4
195	Ecological Validity in the Study of Human Pheromones. , 2008, , 111-120.		4
196	Voice pitch preferences of adolescents: Do changes across time indicate a shift towards potentially adaptive adult-like preferences?. Personality and Individual Differences, 2013, 55, 90-94.	1.6	3
197	Face adaptation in an isolated population of African hunter-gatherers: Exposure influences perception of other-ethnicity faces more than own-ethnicity faces. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2016, 23, 439-444.	1.4	3
198	Facial Attractiveness. , 2010, , 164-185.		2

198 Facial Attractiveness. , 2010, , 164-185.

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
199	An evolutionary approach to accuracy in social perception. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2017, 40, e8.	0.4	2
200	Evolutionary explanations for financial and prosocial biases: Beyond mating motivation. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2017, 40, e34.	0.4	2
201	The evolutionary cognitive neuropsychology of face preferences. , 2009, , 175-204.		1
202	Multiple motives in women's preferences for masculine male faces: comment on Scott et al Behavioral Ecology, 2013, 24, 590-591.	1.0	1
203	The varying value of a friendly face: Experimentally induced stress is associated with higher preferences for friendship with people possessing feminine versus masculine face traits. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2016, 69, 1498-1507.	0.6	1
204	Preferring and Detecting Face Symmetry: Comparing Children and Adults Judging Human and Monkey Faces. Symmetry, 2020, 12, 2112.	1.1	1
205	Sexual Conflict and the Ovulatory Cycle. , 2012, , .		0
206	The other-species effect in human perceptions of sexual dimorphism using human and macaque faces. Visual Cognition, 2013, 21, 970-986.	0.9	0
207	Primacy in the effects of face exposure: Perception is influenced more by faces that are seen first Archives of Scientific Psychology, 2014, 2, 43-47.	0.8	0
208	Attachment styles and mate-retention: Exploring the mediating role of relationship satisfaction Evolutionary Behavioral Sciences, 2022, 16, 362-370.	0.7	0
209	Indicators and Correlates of Status and Dominance. , 2021, , 4051-4059.		0
210	Mate Choice Copying. , 2021, , 4828-4831.		0
211	Facial Masculinity Predicts Risk and Time Preferences in High-Level Chess Players. SSRN Electronic Journal, O, , .	0.4	0
212	Mate Choice Copying. , 2016, , 1-4.		0
213	Indicators and Correlates of Status and Dominance. , 2017, , 1-10.		0
214	Leadership perception in candidate faces: Scotland's unionists prefer dominant leaders, and so do nationalists – but only if they are economic pessimists. Scottish Affairs, 2019, 28, 434-458.	0.2	0