## Giorgio Lanzuisi

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1961465/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



CIOPCIO LANZUISI

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	X-ray spectroscopic survey of highly accreting AGN. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2022, 657, A57.	2.1	15
2	Deep XMM-Newton Observations of an X-ray Weak Broad Absorption Line Quasar at z = 6.5. Astrophysical Journal Letters, 2022, 924, L25.	3.0	8
3	The properties of the X-ray corona in the distant ( <i>z</i> = 3.91) quasar APM 08279+5255. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2022, 662, A98.	2.1	6
4	SUPER. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2021, 646, A96.	2.1	25
5	Connecting X-ray nuclear winds with galaxy-scale ionised outflows in two <i>z</i> â^¼â€" 1.5 lensed qı Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2021, 648, A99.	uasars. 2.1	15
6	X-Ray Redshifts for Obscured AGN: A Case Study in the J1030 Deep Field. Astrophysical Journal, 2021, 906, 90.	1.6	12
7	The role of SPICA-like missions and the Origins Space Telescope in the quest for heavily obscured AGN and synergies with Athena. Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia, 2021, 38, .	1.3	2
8	Multiphase Powerful Outflows Detected in High-z Quasars. Astrophysical Journal, 2021, 920, 24.	1.6	18
9	The <i>NuSTAR</i> extragalactic survey of the <i>James Webb Space Telescope</i> North Ecliptic Pole time-domain field. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2021, 508, 5176-5195.	1.6	5
10	Compton-Thick AGN in the NuSTAR ERA VII. A joint NuSTAR, Chandra, and XMM-Newton Analysis of Two Nearby, Heavily Obscured Sources. Astrophysical Journal, 2021, 922, 159.	1.6	7
11	Compton-thick AGN in the NuSTAR Era VI: The Observed Compton-thick Fraction in the Local Universe. Astrophysical Journal, 2021, 922, 252.	1.6	19
12	The deep <i>Chandra</i> survey in the SDSS J1030+0524 field. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2020, 637, A52.	2.1	10
13	Linking the small-scale relativistic winds and the large-scale molecular outflows in the zÂ= 1.51 lensed quasar HSÂ0810+2554. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2020, 496, 598-611.	1.6	12
14	The XMM deep survey in the CDFS. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2020, 639, A51.	2.1	11
15	SUPER. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2020, 642, A147.	2.1	61
16	Mock catalogs for the extragalactic X-ray sky: Simulating AGN surveys with ATHENA and with the AXIS probe. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2020, 642, A184.	2.1	25
17	Galaxy-scale ionised winds driven by ultra-fast outflows in two nearby quasars. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2020, 644, A15.	2.1	27
18	SUPER. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2020, 644, A175.	2.1	25

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	X-raying winds in distant quasars: The first high-redshift wind duty cycle. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2020, 638, A136.	2.1	2
20	Multi-phase outflows in Mkn 848 observed with SDSS-MaNGA integral field spectroscopy. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2019, 623, A171.	2.1	23
21	Broad-band X-ray analysis of local mid-infrared-selected Compton-thick AGN candidates. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2019, 487, 1662-1674.	1.6	10
22	The Composite Nature of Dust-obscured Galaxies (DOGs) at zÂâ^1⁄4Â2–3 in the COSMOS Field. II. The AGN Fraction. Astronomical Journal, 2019, 157, 233.	1.9	8
23	Compton-thick AGNs in the NuSTAR Era. III. A Systematic Study of the Torus Covering Factor. Astrophysical Journal, 2019, 872, 8.	1.6	33
24	NuSTAR Measurement of Coronal Temperature in Two Luminous, High-redshift Quasars. Astrophysical Journal Letters, 2019, 875, L20.	3.0	18
25	Discovery of a galaxy overdensity around a powerful, heavily obscured FRII radio galaxy at <i>z</i> = 1.7: star formation promoted by large-scale AGN feedback?. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2019, 632, A26.	2.1	24
26	The NuSTAR Extragalactic Surveys: X-Ray Spectroscopic Analysis of the Bright Hard-band Selected Sample. Astrophysical Journal, 2018, 854, 33.	1.6	33
27	Compton-thick AGNs in the NuSTAR Era. Astrophysical Journal, 2018, 854, 49.	1.6	63
28	SUPER. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2018, 620, A82.	2.1	36
29	<i>NuSTAR</i> reveals that the heavily obscured nucleus of NGC 2785 was the contaminant of IRAS 09104+4109 in the <i>Beppo</i> SAX/PDS hard X-rays. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2018, 619, A16.	2.1	1
30	Yet another UFO in the X-ray spectrum of a high- <i>z</i> lensed QSO. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2018, 610, L13.	2.1	15
31	Molecular outflow and feedback in the obscured quasar XID2028 revealed by ALMA. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2018, 612, A29.	2.1	70
32	Molecular gas content in obscured AGN at <i>z</i> > 1. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2018, 619, A90.	2.1	35
33	The Chandra COSMOS Legacy Survey: Compton thick AGN at high redshift. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2018, 480, 2578-2592.	1.6	49
34	The 500Âks <i>Chandra</i> observation of the <i>z</i> Â=Â6.31 QSO SDSS J1030Â+Â0524. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2018, 614, A121.	2.1	33
35	The hyperluminous Compton-thick <i>z</i> â^¼ 2 quasar nucleus of the hot DOG W1835+4355 observed by <i>NuSTAR</i> . Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2018, 618, A28.	2.1	18
36	Type 2 AGN Host Galaxies in the Chandra-COSMOS Legacy Survey: No Evidence of AGN-driven Quenching. Astrophysical Journal, 2017, 841, 102.	1.6	32

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The <scp>XMM</scp> deep survey in the Chandra Deep Field South. Astronomische Nachrichten, 2017, 338, 311-315.	0.6	0
38	Xâ€ray selection of Comptonâ€Thick <scp>AGN</scp> at high redshift. Astronomische Nachrichten, 2017, 338, 316-322.	0.6	2
39	AGN Populations in Large-volume X-Ray Surveys: Photometric Redshifts and Population Types Found in the Stripe 82X Survey. Astrophysical Journal, 2017, 850, 66.	1.6	50
40	Active galactic nuclei vs. host galaxy properties in the COSMOS field. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2017, 602, A123.	2.1	75
41	Inferring Compton-thick AGN candidates at zÂ>Â2 with Chandra using the >8ÂkeV rest-frame spectral curvature. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2017, 471, 364-372.	1.6	4
42	The Hunt for Red Quasars: Luminous Obscured Black Hole Growth Unveiled in the Stripe 82 X-Ray Survey. Astrophysical Journal, 2017, 847, 100.	1.6	15
43	An X-ray/SDSS sample. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2017, 606, A96.	2.1	47
44	The MUSE view of He 2-10: No AGN ionization but a sparkling starburst. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2017, 604, A101.	2.1	42
45	The active nucleus of the ULIRG IRAS F00183–7111 viewed by <i>NuSTAR</i> . Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2017, 606, A117.	2.1	4
46	The WISSH quasars project. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2017, 608, A51.	2.1	66
47	An X-ray/SDSS sample. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2017, 603, A99.	2.1	56
48	THE CHANDRA COSMOS LEGACY SURVEY: CLUSTERING OF X-RAY-SELECTED AGNs AT 2.9Ââ‰ÂzÂâ‰Â5.5 USIN PHOTOMETRIC REDSHIFT PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS. Astrophysical Journal, 2016, 832, 70.	G <sub>1.6</sub>	20
49	X-ray observations of dust obscured galaxies in the <i>Chandra</i> deep field south. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2016, 592, A109.	2.1	13
50	A fast ionised wind in a star-forming quasar system at <i>z</i> ~ 1.5 resolved through adaptive optics assisted near-infrared data. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2016, 588, A58.	2.1	42
51	<i>NuSTAR</i> reveals the extreme properties of the super-Eddington accreting supermassive black hole in PG 1247+267. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2016, 590, A77.	2.1	26
52	THE CHANDRA COSMOS-LEGACY SURVEY: SOURCE X-RAY SPECTRAL PROPERTIES. Astrophysical Journal, 2016, 830, 100.	1.6	93
53	Compton-thick AGN in the 70-month <i>Swift</i> -BAT All-Sky Hard X-ray Survey: A Bayesian approach. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2016, 594, A73.	2.1	34
54	<i>XMM-Newton</i> reveals a Seyfert-like X-ray spectrum in the <i>z</i> = 3.6 QSO B1422+231. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2016, 592, A104.	2.1	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Pan-STARRS1 variability of XMM-COSMOS AGN. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2016, 585, A129.	2.1	71
56	THE CHANDRA COSMOS LEGACY SURVEY: OPTICAL/IR IDENTIFICATIONS. Astrophysical Journal, 2016, 817, 34.	1.6	242
57	THE CHANDRA COSMOS-LEGACY SURVEY: THE zÂ>Â3 SAMPLE. Astrophysical Journal, 2016, 827, 150.	1.6	35
58	Tracing outflows in the AGN forbidden region with SINFONI. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2016, 592, A148.	2.1	55
59	THE CHANDRA COSMOS LEGACY SURVEY: OVERVIEW AND POINT SOURCE CATALOG. Astrophysical Journal, 2016, 819, 62.	1.6	348
60	The hidden quasar nucleus of a WISE-selected, hyperluminous, dust-obscured galaxy at <i>z</i> ~ 2.3. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2015, 574, L9.	2.1	39
61	The most obscured AGN in the COSMOS field. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2015, 578, A120.	2.1	26
62	The XMM deep survey in the CDF-S. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2015, 583, A141.	2.1	25
63	Compton thick AGN in the XMM-COSMOS survey. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2015, 573, A137.	2.1	77
64	Mapping the average AGN accretion rate in the SFR–M* plane for Herschelâ~selected galaxies at OÂ<ÂzÂâ‰Â2.5. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2015, 449, 373-389.	1.6	73
65	BLOWIN' IN THE WIND: BOTH "NEGATIVE―AND "POSITIVE―FEEDBACK IN AN OBSCURED HIGH- <i>z</i> QUASAR. Astrophysical Journal, 2015, 799, 82.	1.6	175
66	DETAILED SHAPE AND EVOLUTIONARY BEHAVIOR OF THE X-RAY LUMINOSITY FUNCTION OF ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI. Astrophysical Journal, 2015, 804, 104.	1.6	86
67	X-shooter reveals powerful outflows in z â^¼ 1.5 X-ray selected obscured quasi-stellar objects. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2015, 446, 2394-2417.	1.6	128
68	Galaxy-wide outflows in <i>z</i> ~ 1.5 luminous obscured quasars revealed through near-IR slit-resolved spectroscopy. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2015, 574, A82.	2.1	72
69	The XMM deep survey in the CDF-S. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2015, 574, A144.	2.1	7
70	Evidence for feedback in action from the molecular gas content in the <i>z</i> ~ 1.6 outflowing QSO XID2028. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2015, 578, A11.	2.1	43
71	SINFONI spectra of heavily obscured AGNs in COSMOS: Evidence of outflows in a MIR/O target at <i>&gt;z</i> ~ 2.5. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2015, 583, A72.	2.1	46
72	<i>XMM-NEWTON</i> OBSERVATIONS OF THREE INTERACTING LUMINOUS INFRARED GALAXIES. Astrophysical Journal, 2014, 787, 40.	1.6	3

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
73	CLUSTERING OF MODERATE LUMINOSITY X-RAY-SELECTED TYPE 1 AND TYPE 2 AGNS AT <i>Z</i> â <sup>1</sup> /4 3. Astrophysical Journal, 2014, 796, 4.	1.6	48
74	ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEUS X-RAY VARIABILITY IN THE <i>XMM</i> -COSMOS SURVEY. Astrophysical Journal, 2014, 781, 105.	1.6	51
75	Searching for highly obscured AGNs in the <i>XMM-Newton</i> serendipitous source catalog. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2014, 569, A71.	2.1	17
76	Spectral energy distributions of type 1 AGN in XMM-COSMOS – II. Shape evolution. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2013, 438, 1288-1304.	1.6	29
77	A quasar–galaxy mixing diagram: quasar spectral energy distribution shapes in the optical to near-infrared. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2013, 434, 3104-3121.	1.6	23
78	The Chandra-COSMOS survey – IV. X-ray spectra of the bright sample. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2013, 431, 978-996.	1.6	55
79	A statistical relation between the X-ray spectral index and Eddington ratio of active galactic nuclei in deep surveys. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2013, 433, 2485-2496.	1.6	155
80	THE <i>XMM-NEWTON</i> SPECTRUM OF A CANDIDATE RECOILING SUPERMASSIVE BLACK HOLE: AN ELUSIVE INVERTED P-CYGNI PROFILE. Astrophysical Journal, 2013, 778, 62.	1.6	8
81	THE <i>CHANDRA</i> COSMOS SURVEY. III. OPTICAL AND INFRARED IDENTIFICATION OF X-RAY POINT SOURCES. Astrophysical Journal, Supplement Series, 2012, 201, 30.	3.0	200
82	<i>CHANDRA</i> HIGH-RESOLUTION OBSERVATIONS OF CID-42, A CANDIDATE RECOILING SUPERMASSIVE BLACK HOLE. Astrophysical Journal, 2012, 752, 49.	1.6	53
83	SPECTRAL ENERGY DISTRIBUTIONS OF TYPE 1 ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI IN THE COSMOS SURVEY. I. THE <i>XMM</i> -COSMOS SAMPLE. Astrophysical Journal, 2012, 759, 6.	1.6	67
84	FeÂK emission from active galaxies in the COSMOS field. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2012, 537, A86.	2.1	35
85	HSÂ1700+6416: the first high-redshift unlensed narrow absorption line-QSO showing variable high-velocity outflows. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2012, 544, A2.	2.1	31
86	Modelling the flaring activity of the high-z, hard X-ray-selected blazar IGR J22517+2217. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2012, , no-no.	1.6	2
87	ACCRETION RATE AND THE PHYSICAL NATURE OF UNOBSCURED ACTIVE GALAXIES. Astrophysical Journal, 2011, 733, 60.	1.6	116
88	DISSECTING PHOTOMETRIC REDSHIFT FOR ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEUS USING <i>XMM</i> AND <i>CHANDRA</i> -COSMOS SAMPLES. Astrophysical Journal, 2011, 742, 61.	1.6	205
89	On the nature of the absorber in IRAS 09104+4109: the X-ray and mid-infrared view. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2011, 416, 2068-2077.	1.6	24
90	The [O iii] emission line luminosity function of optically selected type-2 AGN from zCOSMOS\$^{m,}\$. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2010, 510, A56.	2.1	55

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	A RUNAWAY BLACK HOLE IN COSMOS: GRAVITATIONAL WAVE OR SLINGSHOT RECOIL?. Astrophysical Journal, 2010, 717, 209-222.	1.6	101
92	HOT-DUST-POOR TYPE 1 ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI IN THE COSMOS SURVEY. Astrophysical Journal Letters, 2010, 724, L59-L63.	3.0	55
93	WITNESSING THE KEY EARLY PHASE OF QUASAR EVOLUTION: AN OBSCURED ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEUS PAIR IN THE INTERACTING GALAXY IRAS 20210+1121. Astrophysical Journal Letters, 2010, 722, L147-L151.	3.0	41
94	X-ray spectral analysis of C-COSMOS sources. , 2010, , .		0
95	Type 2 Quasars at the heart of dust-obscured galaxies (DOGs) at high z. , 2010, , .		0
96	THE NATURE OF OPTICALLY DULL ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI IN COSMOS. Astrophysical Journal, 2009, 706, 797-809.	1.6	49
97	Revealing X-ray obscured quasars in SWIRE sources with extreme mid-IR/optical flux ratios. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2009, 498, 67-81.	2.1	61
98	Simbol-X Core Science in a Context. , 2009, , .		0
99	The IR to X-rays SED of the Heavily Obscured Quasar IRAS 09104+4109. , 2009, , .		0