Kristin J Van Engen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1949816/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



KDISTIN I VAN ENCEN

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Pupillometry reveals cognitive demands of lexical competition during spoken word recognition in young and older adults. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2022, 29, 268-280.	2.8	10
2	Time Stand Still: Effects of Temporal Window Selection on Eye Tracking Analysis. Collabra: Psychology, 2021, 7, .	1.8	8
3	Face mask type affects audiovisual speech intelligibility and subjective listening effort in young and older adults. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2021, 6, 49.	2.0	47
4	Rapid adaptation to fully intelligible nonnative-accented speech reduces listening effort. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2020, 73, 1431-1443.	1.1	28
5	Effects of Age, Word Frequency, and Noise on the Time Course of Spoken Word Recognition. Collabra: Psychology, 2020, 6, .	1.8	4
6	Age-Related Differences in Auditory Cortex Activity During Spoken Word Recognition. Neurobiology of Language (Cambridge, Mass), 2020, 1, 452-473.	3.1	7
7	The Impact of Age, Background Noise, Semantic Ambiguity, and Hearing Loss on Recognition Memory for Spoken Sentences. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 2018, 61, 740-751.	1.6	32
8	Eyes and ears: Using eye tracking and pupillometry to understand challenges to speech recognition. Hearing Research, 2018, 369, 56-66.	2.0	32
9	Coping with adversity: Individual differences in the perception of noisy and accented speech. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2018, 80, 1559-1570.	1.3	47
10	Effects of noise and talker intelligibility on judgments of accentedness. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2018, 143, 3138-3145.	1.1	4
11	Audiovisual sentence recognition not predicted by susceptibility to the McGurk effect. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2017, 79, 396-403.	1.3	44
12	Clear speech and lexical competition in younger and older adult listeners. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2017, 142, 1067-1077.	1.1	10
13	A relationship between processing speech in noise and dysarthric speech. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2017, 141, 4660-4667.	1.1	34
14	Effects of Age, Acoustic Challenge, and Verbal Working Memory on Recall of Narrative Speech. Experimental Aging Research, 2016, 42, 97-111.	1.2	48
15	Cross-modal Informational Masking of Lipreading by Babble. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2016, 78, 346-354.	1.3	7
16	Audio-Visual and Meaningful Semantic Context Enhancements in Older and Younger Adults. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0152773.	2.5	18
17	Influence of depressive symptoms on speech perception in adverse listening conditions. Cognition and Emotion, 2015, 29, 900-909.	2.0	11
18	Listening effort and accented speech. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2014, 8, 577.	2.0	120

Kristin J Van Engen

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Enhancing Speech Intelligibility: Interactions Among Context, Modality, Speech Style, and Masker. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 2014, 57, 1908-1918.	1.6	65
20	Linguistic contributions to speech-on-speech masking for native and non-native listeners: Language familiarity and semantic content. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2012, 131, 1449-1464.	1.1	121
21	Speech-in-speech recognition: A training study. Language and Cognitive Processes, 2012, 27, 1089-1107.	2.2	17
22	Effects of Speech Clarity on Recognition Memory for Spoken Sentences. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e43753.	2.5	57
23	Word durations in non-native English. Journal of Phonetics, 2011, 39, 1-17.	1.2	50
24	Similarity and familiarity: Second language sentence recognition in first- and second-language multi-talker babble. Speech Communication, 2010, 52, 943-953.	2.8	58
25	The Wildcat Corpus of Native-and Foreign-accented English: Communicative Efficiency across Conversational Dyads with Varying Language Alignment Profiles. Language and Speech, 2010, 53, 510-540.	1.1	134
26	Sentence recognition in native- and foreign-language multi-talker background noise. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2007, 121, 519-526.	1.1	191