

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1827825/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

35
papers

6,818
citations

394421

19
h-index

345221

36
g-index

36
all docs

36
docs citations

36
times ranked

13597
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	FactoMineR : An <i>R</i> Package for Multivariate Analysis. Journal of Statistical Software, 2008, 25, .	3.7	5,743
2	How reliable are the consumers? Comparison of sensory profiles from consumers and experts. Food Quality and Preference, 2010, 21, 309-318.	4.6	134
3	SENSOMINER: A PACKAGE FOR SENSORY DATA ANALYSIS. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2008, 23, 14-25.	1.6	113
4	Simultaneous analysis of distinct Omics data sets with integration of biological knowledge: Multiple Factor Analysis approach. BMC Genomics, 2009, 10, 32.	2.8	100
5	Confidence ellipse for the sensory profiles obtained by principal component analysis. Food Quality and Preference, 2005, 16, 245-250.	4.6	81
6	A Factorial Approach for Sorting Task data (FAST). Food Quality and Preference, 2009, 20, 410-417.	4.6	76
7	THE SORTED NAPPING: A NEW HOLISTIC APPROACH IN SENSORY EVALUATION. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2010, 25, 637-658.	1.6	58
8	Effect of Familiarity on a Cross-Cultural Acceptance of a Sweet Ethnic Food: A Case Study with Korean Traditional Cookie (Yackwa). Journal of Sensory Studies, 2014, 29, 110-125.	1.6	55
9	Antifungal Activity of Lactic Acid Bacteria Combinations in Dairy Mimicking Models and Their Potential as Bioprotective Cultures in Pilot Scale Applications. Frontiers in Microbiology, 2018, 9, 1787.	3.5	51
10	SENSORY ANALYSIS COMPARISON OF EIGHT BISCUITS BY FRENCH AND PAKISTANI PANELS. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2007, 22, 665-686.	1.6	39
11	Ideal Profile Method (IPM): The ins and outs. Food Quality and Preference, 2013, 28, 45-59.	4.6	35
12	Development of antifungal ingredients for dairy products: From in vitro screening to pilot scale application. Food Microbiology, 2019, 81, 97-107.	4.2	35
13	Methodology for the comparison of sensory profiles provided by several panels: Application to a cross-cultural study. Food Quality and Preference, 2008, 19, 179-184.	4.6	33
14	ANALYSIS OF MULTILINGUAL LABELED SORTING TASKS: APPLICATION TO A CROSS-CULTURAL STUDY IN WINE INDUSTRY. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2011, 26, 299-310.	1.6	31
15	Assessment of the consistency of ideal profiles according to non-ideal data for IPM. Food Quality and Preference, 2012, 24, 99-110.	4.6	29
16	Extension of the consistency of the data obtained with the Ideal Profile Method: Would the ideal products be more liked than the tested products?. Food Quality and Preference, 2012, 26, 74-80.	4.6	23
17	Binding of Folic Acid Induces Specific Self-Aggregation of Lactoferrin: Thermodynamic Characterization. Langmuir, 2015, 31, 12481-12488.	3.5	21
18	Construction of an Ideal Map (IdMap) based on the ideal profiles obtained directly from consumers. Food Quality and Preference, 2012, 26, 93-104.	4.6	20

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	A new unsupervised gene clustering algorithm based on the integration of biological knowledge into expression data. BMC Bioinformatics, 2013, 14, 42.	2.6	20
20	You like tomato, I like tomato: Segmentation of consumers with missing values. Food Quality and Preference, 2006, 17, 228-233.	4.6	17
21	Analyzing Sensory Data with R. Chapman & Hall/CRC the R Series, 2014, , .	0.0	14
22	DMFA: Dual Multiple Factor Analysis. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 2010, 39, 483-492.	1.0	12
23	Microbial Diversity Associated with Gwell, a Traditional French Mesophilic Fermented Milk Inoculated with a Natural Starter. Microorganisms, 2020, 8, 982.	3.6	12
24	Adaptation of the Q-methodology for the characterization of a complex concept through a set of products: From the collection of the data to their analysis. Food Quality and Preference, 2018, 67, 77-86.	4.6	11
25	The Ideal Pair Method, an Alternative to the Ideal Profile Method Based on Pairwise Comparisons: Application to a Panel of Children. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2016, 31, 306-313.	1.6	9
26	Digit-tracking: Interpreting the evolution over time of sensory dimensions of an individual product space issued from NappingÅ® and sorted Napping. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 47, 73-78.	4.6	8
27	Nudging consumers for relevant data using Free JAR profiling: An application to product development. Food Quality and Preference, 2020, 79, 103751.	4.6	8
28	An in silico approach to highlight relationships between a techno-functional property of a dairy matrix and a peptide profile. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2015, 475, 44-54.	4.7	7
29	CONFIDENCE ELLIPSES APPLIED TO THE COMPARISON OF SENSORY PROFILES. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2006, 21, 241-248.	1.6	6
30	The Sequential Agglomerative Sorting task, a new methodology for the sensory characterization of large sets of products. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2019, 34, e12527.	1.6	6
31	Holos: A collaborative environment for similarity-based holistic approaches. Behavior Research Methods, 2017, 49, 1597-1604.	4.0	4
32	Multidimensional Scaling Versus Multiple Correspondence Analysis When Analyzing Categorization Data. Studies in Classification, Data Analysis, and Knowledge Organization, 2011, , 301-308.	0.2	3
33	Free JAR experiment: data analysis and comparison with JAR task. Food Quality and Preference, 2021, , 104453.	4.6	1
34	Translating non-expertsâ€™ perception for expert engineers: A first step in co-designing automotive humanâ€“machine interfaces. Food Quality and Preference, 2022, 98, 104528.	4.6	1
35	A machine learning approach for analyzing Free JAR data. Food Quality and Preference, 2022, 99, 104581.	4.6	1