

Sarah Hoeck

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1798866/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

22
papers

365
citations

933447

10
h-index

839539

18
g-index

22
all docs

22
docs citations

22
times ranked

603
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Why do participants in the Flemish colorectal cancer screening program not undergo a diagnostic colonoscopy after a positive fecal immunochemical test?. <i>Acta Clinica Belgica</i> , 2022, 77, 760-766.	1.2	3
2	Optimizing the colorectal cancer screening programme using faecal immunochemical test (FIT) in Flanders, Belgium from the "interval cancer" perspective. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2022, 126, 1091-1099.	6.4	11
3	Differences and Similarities in Breast and Colorectal Cancer Screening Uptake among Municipalities in Flanders, Belgium. <i>Gastrointestinal Disorders</i> , 2022, 4, 84-96.	0.8	1
4	Self-Reported and Objective Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Planning, Compliance and Timeliness of a Diagnostic Colonoscopy after a Positive FIT Screening Result in the Flemish Colorectal Cancer Screening Program. <i>Gastrointestinal Disorders</i> , 2022, 4, 129-140.	0.8	2
5	Towards Novel Non-Invasive Colorectal Cancer Screening Methods: A Comprehensive Review. <i>Cancers</i> , 2021, 13, 1820.	3.7	30
6	Population-Based Data Reveal Factors Associated with Organised and Non-Organised Colorectal Cancer Screening: An Important Step towards Improving Coverage. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2021, 18, 8373.	2.6	5
7	Colorectal Cancer Screening: Have We Addressed Concerns and Needs of the Target Population?. <i>Gastrointestinal Disorders</i> , 2021, 3, 173-203.	0.8	10
8	Occurrence and characteristics of faecal immunochemical screen-detected cancers vs non-screen-detected cancers: Results from a Flemish colorectal cancer screening programme. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2020, 8, 185-194.	3.8	12
9	Uptake of cancer screening among adults with disabilities in Flanders (Belgium). <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2020, 27, 48-51.	2.3	11
10	Participation in faecal immunochemical testing-based colorectal cancer screening programmes in the northwest of Europe. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2020, 27, 68-76.	2.3	19
11	Barriers and facilitators to participate in the colorectal cancer screening programme in Flanders (Belgium): a focus group study. <i>Acta Clinica Belgica</i> , 2020, , 1-8.	1.2	11
12	Should Flanders consider lowering its target age for colorectal cancer screening to 45-49?. <i>Cancer Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 61, 172-175.	1.9	2
13	Towards risk-stratified colorectal cancer screening. Adding risk factors to the fecal immunochemical test: Evidence, evolution and expectations. <i>Preventive Medicine</i> , 2019, 126, 105746.	3.4	15
14	Risk stratification for colorectal neoplasia detection in the Flemish colorectal cancer screening programme. <i>Cancer Epidemiology</i> , 2018, 56, 90-96.	1.9	11
15	Preventive Care Use among the Belgian Elderly Population: Does Socio-Economic Status Matter?. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2014, 11, 355-372.	2.6	14
16	Equity in GP and specialist contacts by older persons in Belgium. <i>International Journal of Public Health</i> , 2013, 58, 593-602.	2.3	7
17	Unhealthy drinking in the Belgian elderly population: prevalence and associated characteristics. <i>European Journal of Public Health</i> , 2013, 23, 1069-1075.	0.3	29
18	Health-care and home-care utilization among frail elderly persons in Belgium. <i>European Journal of Public Health</i> , 2012, 22, 671-677.	0.3	75

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Population-based screening for colorectal cancer using an immunochemical faecal occult blood test: A comparison of two invitation strategies. <i>Cancer Epidemiology</i> , 2012, 36, e317-e324.	1.9	50
20	Experiences of parents of substance-abusing young people attending support groups. <i>Archives of Public Health</i> , 2012, 70, 11.	2.4	17
21	Healthcare utilisation among the Belgian elderly in relation to their socio-economic status. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2011, 99, 174-182.	3.0	17
22	Screening for colorectal cancer: sense and sensibilities. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2011, 47, S156-S163.	2.8	13