

Helena Machado

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1703258/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

71
papers

901
citations

516215

16
h-index

552369

26
g-index

87
all docs

87
docs citations

87
times ranked

665
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Face Masks During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Simple Protection Tool With Many Meanings. <i>Frontiers in Public Health</i> , 2020, 8, 606635.	1.3	100
2	A look into the future of the COVID-19 pandemic in Europe: an expert consultation. <i>Lancet Regional Health - Europe</i> , The, 2021, 8, 100185.	3.0	72
3	Approaching ethical, legal and social issues of emerging forensic DNA phenotyping (FDP) technologies comprehensively: Reply to "Forensic DNA phenotyping: Predicting human appearance from crime scene material for investigative purposes" by Manfred Kayser. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2016, 22, e1-e4.	1.6	44
4	Factors associated with the donation and non-donation of embryos for research: a systematic review. <i>Human Reproduction Update</i> , 2014, 20, 641-655.	5.2	37
5	Towards a European strategy to address the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Lancet</i> , The, 2021, 398, 838-839.	6.3	36
6	Forensic DNA databases in European countries: is size linked to performance?. <i>Life Sciences, Society and Policy</i> , 2013, 9, .	3.1	35
7	Mothering From Prison and Ideologies of Intensive Parenting. <i>Journal of Family Issues</i> , 2015, 36, 1212-1232.	1.0	30
8	Public participation in genetic databases: crossing the boundaries between biobanks and forensic DNA databases through the principle of solidarity. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2015, 41, 820-824.	1.0	29
9	"Would you accept having your DNA profile inserted in the National Forensic DNA database? Why?" Results of a questionnaire applied in Portugal. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2014, 8, 132-136.	1.6	28
10	What influences public views on forensic DNA testing in the criminal field? A scoping review of quantitative evidence. <i>Human Genomics</i> , 2019, 13, 23.	1.4	27
11	Ethics in Transnational Forensic DNA Data Exchange in the EU: Constructing Boundaries and Managing Controversies. <i>Science As Culture</i> , 2018, 27, 242-264.	2.4	25
12	Feedback of Individual Genetic Results to Research Participants: Is It Feasible in Europe?. <i>Biopreservation and Biobanking</i> , 2016, 14, 241-248.	0.5	24
13	Oral presentations: abstracts. <i>European Journal of Public Health</i> , 2012, 22, 10-125.	0.1	21
14	Patterns of exchange of forensic DNA data in the European Union through the PrÃ¼m system. <i>Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 2017, 57, 307-313.	1.3	20
15	Prisoners' expectations of the national forensic DNA database: Surveillance and reconfiguration of individual rights. <i>Forensic Science International</i> , 2011, 210, 139-143.	1.3	17
16	Popular press and forensic genetics in Portugal: Expectations and disappointments regarding two cases of missing children. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2011, 20, 303-318.	1.6	17
17	The benefits, costs and feasibility of a low incidence COVID-19 strategy. <i>Lancet Regional Health - Europe</i> , The, 2022, 13, 100294.	3.0	17
18	Voluntary Participation in Forensic DNA Databases. <i>Science Technology and Human Values</i> , 2016, 41, 322-343.	1.7	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Uncertainty, risks and ethics in unsuccessful in vitro fertilisation treatment cycles. <i>Health, Risk and Society</i> , 2010, 12, 531-545.	0.9	15
20	Ethical Controversies of Familial Searching: The Views of Stakeholders in the United Kingdom and in Poland. <i>Science Technology and Human Values</i> , 2019, 44, 1068-1092.	1.7	15
21	Informed Consent in Forensic DNA Databases: Volunteering, Constructions of Risk and Identity Categorization. <i>BioSocieties</i> , 2009, 4, 335-348.	0.8	14
22	Police epistemic culture and boundary work with judicial authorities and forensic scientists: the case of transnational DNA data exchange in the EU. <i>New Genetics and Society</i> , 2019, 38, 289-307.	0.7	14
23	Biologising Paternity, Moralising Maternity: The Construction of Parenthood in the Determination of Paternity Through the Courts in Portugal. <i>Feminist Legal Studies</i> , 2008, 16, 215-236.	0.9	13
24	Public and patient involvement in health data governance (DATAGov): protocol of a people-centred, mixed-methods study on data use and sharing for rare diseases care and research. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e044289.	0.8	13
25	Constructing Suspicion Through Forensic DNA Databases in the EU. The Views of the PrÃ¼m Professionals. <i>British Journal of Criminology</i> , 2020, 60, 141-159.	1.5	12
26	Trust, morality and altruism in the donation of biological material: the case of Portugal. <i>New Genetics and Society</i> , 2009, 28, 103-118.	0.7	10
27	The construction of meaning by experts and would-be parents in assisted reproductive technology. <i>Sociology of Health and Illness</i> , 2011, 33, 853-868.	1.1	10
28	Factors associated with willingness to donate embryos for research among couples undergoing IVF. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2016, 32, 247-256.	1.1	10
29	Forensic DNA phenotyping and its politics of legitimation and contestation: Views of forensic geneticists in Europe. <i>Social Studies of Science</i> , 2023, 53, 850-868.	1.5	10
30	Public Perspectives on Risks and Benefits of Forensic DNA Databases. <i>Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society</i> , 2015, 35, 16-24.	1.1	9
31	Forensic Genetics in the Governance of Crime. , 2020, , .		9
32	Patients' views on the embryo storage time limits. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2015, 31, 232-238.	1.1	8
33	Couples' willingness to donate embryos for research: a longitudinal study. <i>Acta Obstetrica Et Gynecologica Scandinavica</i> , 2016, 95, 912-919.	1.3	8
34	Risks and benefits of transnational exchange of forensic DNA data in the EU: The views of professionals operating the PrÃ¼m system. <i>Journal of Clinical Forensic and Legal Medicine</i> , 2019, 68, 101872.	0.5	8
35	Prisoners' views of CSI's portrayal of forensic identification technologies: a grounded assessment. <i>New Genetics and Society</i> , 2012, 31, 271-284.	0.7	7
36	<i>Affected for good or for evil</i>: The formation of issue-publics that relate to the UK National DNA Database. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2019, 28, 590-605.	1.6	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	'Bio-bordering' processes in the EU: de-bordering and re-bordering along transnational systems of biometric database technologies. <i>International Journal of Migration and Border Studies</i> , 2019, 5, 392.	0.2	6
38	DNA Databases and Big Data. , 2020, , 57-70.		6
39	Heterosexual couples'™ uses and meanings of ovarian stimulation: Relatedness, embodiment and emotions. <i>Health (United Kingdom)</i> , 2011, 15, 620-632.	0.9	5
40	Payment to gamete donors: equality, gender equity, or solidarity?. <i>Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics</i> , 2020, 37, 133-140.	1.2	5
41	The (De)materialization of Criminal Bodies in Forensic DNA Phenotyping. <i>Body and Society</i> , 2021, 27, 60-84.	0.3	5
42	A compreensãŁo jurÃdica, mÃ©dica e "leiga" do embriÃŁo em Portugal: um alinhamento com a biologia?. <i>Interface: Communication, Health, Education</i> , 2009, 13, 31-43.	0.4	5
43	Biolegality, the Forensic Imaginary and Criminal Investigation*. <i>RCCS Annual Review</i> , 2013, , .	0.1	4
44	DNA Technologies in Criminal Investigation and Courts. , 2020, , 45-56.		4
45	Big Data applied to criminal investigations: expectations of professionals of police cooperation in the European Union. <i>Policing and Society</i> , 2022, 32, 1167-1179.	1.8	4
46	Portuguese forensic DNA database: political enthusiasm, public trust and probable issues in future practice. , 0, , 218-239.		3
47	Emerging DNA Technologies and Stigmatization. , 2020, , 85-104.		3
48	Reflexoes sobre a investigacao em embrioes de origem humana: o debate nas organizacoes de etica portuguesas. <i>Historia, Ciencias, Saude - Manguinhos</i> , 2013, 20, 1137-1151.	0.1	2
49	Self-reported psychosocial factors among in vitro fertilization patients interviewed alone or with the partner. <i>Psychology, Health and Medicine</i> , 2016, 21, 431-438.	1.3	2
50	Governing expectations of forensic innovations in society: the case of FDP in Germany. <i>New Genetics and Society</i> , 0, , 1-22.	0.7	2
51	Dramatization of justice and media coverage of crime: Which direction for the exercise of citizenship?. <i>ConfiguraÃŁes</i> , 2009, , 55-74.	0.0	2
52	Constructors of bio(in)security in the DNA profiles database. <i>Etnografica</i> , 2011, , 153-166.	0.1	2
53	A Brief History of the Evolution of Biometrics and Biometric Database Systems Crossing Borders in EU Law Enforcement. , 2021, , 15-34.		2
54	Criminal Genomic Pragmatism: Prisoners' Representations of DNA Technology and Biosecurity. <i>Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology</i> , 2012, 2012, 1-5.	3.0	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Consentir na criopreserva��o de embri�es: perce��o de casais usu�rios de medicina da reprodu��o. Interface: Communication, Health, Education, 2017, 21, 435-448.	0.4	1
56	Photographing prisoners: The unworthy, unpleasant and unchanging criminal body. Criminology and Criminal Justice, 2019, 19, 591-604.	1.0	1
57	Regula��o da investiga��o de paternidade biol�gica: perspectiva comparada. Revista Direito GV, 2012, 8, 573-586.	0.1	1
58	Biolegalidade, imagin�rio forense e investiga��o criminal*. Revista Critica De Ciencias Sociais, 2012, , 61-84.	0.0	1
59	Introdu��o. Viol�ncias de g�nero e direito(s): di�logos feministas. Ex Aequo, 2015, , .	0.1	1
60	Concerns and coping mechanisms during the first national COVID-19 lockdown: an online prospective study in Portugal. Public Health, 2022, 207, 24-27.	1.4	1
61	Biogen�tica e g�nero na constru��o da intencionalidade da paternidade: o teste de DNA nas investiga��es judiciais de paternidade. Revista Estudos Feministas, 2011, 19, 823-848.	0.0	0
62	Public participation in genetic databases. European Journal of Public Health, 2014, 24, .	0.1	0
63	Biobordering as a Concept. , 2021, , 35-54.		0
64	Issues on Methods of Identification in Court Ordered Paternity Testing ?The Case of Portugal. Journal of Forensics Research, 0, s2, .	0.1	0
65	Quality of websites for gamete donation. Revista Electronica De Comunicacao, Informacao & Inovacao Em Saude: RECIIS, 2019, 13, .	0.2	0
66	DNA Transnational Data Journeys and the Construction of Categories of Suspicion. Canadian Journal of Communication, 2020, 45, .	0.1	0
67	Forensic Genetics and Governance of Transnational Criminality. , 2020, , 71-84.		0
68	Support for decision-making on sharing health data for research: are data counsellors relevant?. European Journal of Public Health, 2021, 31, .	0.1	0
69	The United Kingdom (UK). , 2021, , 119-136.		0
70	Public preferences for involvement in the governance of health data. European Journal of Public Health, 2020, 30, .	0.1	0
71	Ethical challenges of merging criminal identification and civil identification within the Pr�m system. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 2022, 57, 102660.	1.6	0