

Elissa M Ozanne

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/168746/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

82
papers

3,076
citations

147801

31
h-index

175258

52
g-index

86
all docs

86
docs citations

86
times ranked

4277
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Presenting quantitative information about decision outcomes: a risk communication primer for patient decision aid developers. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2013, 13, S7.	3.0	369
2	Developing CollaboRATE: A fast and frugal patient-reported measure of shared decision making in clinical encounters. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2013, 93, 102-107.	2.2	257
3	An approach to measuring the quality of breast cancer decisions. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2007, 65, 261-269.	2.2	135
4	Hypertension is an independent predictor of survival disparity between African-American and white breast cancer patients. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2009, 124, 1213-1219.	5.1	114
5	Annual screening strategies in <i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> gene mutation carriers. <i>Cancer</i> , 2012, 118, 2021-2030.	4.1	104
6	Characterizing the impact of 25 years of DCIS treatment. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2011, 129, 165-173.	2.5	102
7	Biologic markers determine both the risk and the timing of recurrence in breast cancer. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2011, 129, 607-616.	2.5	89
8	Predictors of households at risk for food insecurity in the United States during the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Public Health Nutrition</i> , 2021, 24, 3929-3936.	2.2	86
9	Current Best Practice for Presenting Probabilities in Patient Decision Aids: Fundamental Principles. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2021, 41, 821-833.	2.4	80
10	Breast Cancer Risk Assessment and Prevention: A Framework for Shared Decision-Making Consultations. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2006, 12, 103-113.	1.0	79
11	Aggregate Cost of Mammography Screening in the United States: Comparison of Current Practice and Advocated Guidelines. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2014, 160, 145.	3.9	79
12	How to define and measure concordance between patients' preferences and medical treatments: A systematic review of approaches and recommendations for standardization. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2010, 78, 12-23.	2.2	66
13	Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Intraoperative Radiation Therapy for Early-Stage Breast Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2013, 20, 2873-2880.	1.5	66
14	Using CollaboRATE, a brief patient-reported measure of shared decision making: Results from three clinical settings in the United States. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2018, 21, 82-89.	2.6	66
15	Cost-effectiveness of Breast MR Imaging and Screen-Film Mammography for Screening <i>BRCA1</i> Gene Mutation Carriers. <i>Radiology</i> , 2010, 254, 793-800.	7.3	65
16	Implementing breast cancer decision aids in community sites: barriers and resources. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2008, 11, 46-53.	2.6	60
17	Impact of Ductal Carcinoma In Situ Terminology on Patient Treatment Preferences. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2013, 173, 1830.	5.1	60
18	Which Risk Model to Use? Clinical Implications of the ACS MRI Screening Guidelines. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2013, 22, 146-149.	2.5	59

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Undetermined impact of patient decision support interventions on healthcare costs and savings: systematic review. <i>BMJ</i> , The, 2014, 348, g188-g188.	6.0	59
20	Identification and Management of Women at High Risk for Hereditary Breast/Ovarian Cancer Syndrome. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2009, 15, 155-162.	1.0	55
21	Measuring patient-centered care: An updated systematic review of how studies define and report concordance between patients's preferences and medical treatments. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2015, 98, 811-821.	2.2	50
22	Patient preferences regarding intraoperative versus external beam radiotherapy following breast-conserving surgery. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2014, 143, 135-140.	2.5	49
23	Evaluating CollaborATE in a clinical setting: analysis of mode effects on scores, response rates and costs of data collection. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e014681.	1.9	49
24	Current Challenges When Using Numbers in Patient Decision Aids: Advanced Concepts. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2021, 41, 834-847.	2.4	49
25	Pilot Trial of a Computerized Decision Aid for Breast Cancer Prevention. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2007, 13, 147-154.	1.0	45
26	What matters most: Randomized controlled trial of breast cancer surgery conversation aids across socioeconomic strata. <i>Cancer</i> , 2021, 127, 422-436.	4.1	44
27	Bias in the Reporting of Family History: Implications for Clinical Care. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2012, 21, 547-556.	1.6	42
28	Doctor's Patient Communication about Advance Directives in Metastatic Breast Cancer. <i>Journal of Palliative Medicine</i> , 2009, 12, 547-553.	1.1	41
29	Development of a personalized decision aid for breast cancer risk reduction and management. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2014, 14, 4.	3.0	38
30	Is There a Role for Decision Aids in Advanced Breast Cancer?. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2009, 29, 475-482.	2.4	36
31	Sensitivity to Input Variability of the Adjuvant! Online Breast Cancer Prognostic Model. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2009, 27, 214-219.	1.6	32
32	Doing the right thing: Systems support for decision quality in cancer care. <i>Annals of Behavioral Medicine</i> , 2006, 32, 172-178.	2.9	31
33	Cost Effectiveness of Operative Versus Non-Operative Treatment of Geriatric Type-II Odontoid Fracture. <i>Spine</i> , 2016, 41, 610-617.	2.0	30
34	Breast Cancer Prevention: Patient Decision Making and Risk Communication in the High Risk Setting. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2010, 16, 38-47.	1.0	26
35	Persistent effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on diet, exercise, risk for food insecurity, and quality of life: A longitudinal study among U.S. adults. <i>Appetite</i> , 2021, 167, 105639.	3.7	24
36	How Do Women View Risk-Based Mammography Screening? A Qualitative Study. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 33, 1905-1912.	2.6	23

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Shared Decision Making Tools for People Facing Stroke Prevention Strategies in Atrial Fibrillation: A Systematic Review and Environmental Scan. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2021, 41, 540-549.	2.4	20
38	Shared Decision Making in Cardiac Electrophysiology Procedures and Arrhythmia Management. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2021, 14, CIRCEP121007958.	4.8	20
39	onlineDeCISion.org: a web-based decision aid for DCIS treatment. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2015, 154, 181-190.	2.5	19
40	Applying the neoadjuvant paradigm to ductal carcinoma in situ. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2004, 11, 28S-36S.	1.5	17
41	Patient Preferences for the Chemoprevention of Esophageal Adenocarcinoma in Barrett's Esophagus. <i>American Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2008, 103, 2432-2442.	0.4	17
42	Staging MR Lymphangiography of the Axilla for Early Breast Cancer: Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2008, 191, 1308-1319.	2.2	17
43	Cost-effectiveness of consensus guideline based management of pancreatic cysts: The sensitivity and specificity required for guidelines to be cost-effective. <i>Surgery</i> , 2020, 168, 601-609.	1.9	17
44	Tailoring risk communication to improve comprehension: Do patient preferences help or hurt?. <i>Health Psychology</i> , 2016, 35, 1007-1016.	1.6	17
45	A role for biomarkers in the screening and diagnosis of breast cancer in younger women. <i>Expert Review of Molecular Diagnostics</i> , 2007, 7, 533-544.	3.1	16
46	Modeling the Effectiveness of Initial Management Strategies for Ductal Carcinoma In Situ. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2013, 105, 774-781.	6.3	16
47	Cost-effectiveness of prophylactic appendectomy: a Markov model. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 3596-3604.	2.4	16
48	Overdiagnosis and Overtreatment of Breast Cancer. <i>American Society of Clinical Oncology Educational Book / ASCO American Society of Clinical Oncology Meeting</i> , 2012, 32, e40-e45.	3.8	16
49	Personalized Medicine Through SNP Testing for Breast Cancer Risk: Clinical Implementation. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2015, 24, 744-751.	1.6	14
50	Importance of Costs and Cost Effectiveness of Palliative Care. <i>Journal of Oncology Practice</i> , 2017, 13, 287-289.	2.5	12
51	Development and pilot of an online, personalized risk assessment tool for a breast cancer precision medicine trial. <i>Npj Breast Cancer</i> , 2021, 7, 78.	5.2	12
52	Preference of Endoscopic Ablation Over Medical Prevention of Esophageal Adenocarcinoma by Patients With Barrett's Esophagus. <i>Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2015, 13, 84-90.	4.4	11
53	A Novel Decision Aid for Surgical Patients with Ulcerative Colitis: Results of a Pilot Study. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2016, 59, 520-528.	1.3	11
54	Estrogen-receptor status and risk of contralateral breast cancer following DCIS. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2018, 171, 777-781.	2.5	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Interprofessional practice and decision support: An organizational framework applied to a mental health setting. <i>Journal of Interprofessional Care</i> , 2011, 25, 423-427.	1.7	8
56	Shared Decision Making in the Era of Telehealth: Implications for Practice and Research. <i>MDM Policy and Practice</i> , 2020, 5, 238146832097636.	0.9	8
57	A systematic review of intensive outpatient care programs for high-need, high-cost patients. <i>Translational Behavioral Medicine</i> , 2020, 10, 1187-1199.	2.4	8
58	Decision Analysis and Alzheimer Disease: Three Case Studies. <i>Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers</i> , 1999, 3, 71-83.	1.7	7
59	Costs matter: The impact of disclosing treatment costs and provider profit on patients' decisions. <i>Journal of Cancer Policy</i> , 2017, 11, 42-47.	1.4	7
60	Adapting the Breast Cancer Surgery Decision Quality Instrument for Lower Socioeconomic Status: Improving Readability, Acceptability, and Relevance. <i>MDM Policy and Practice</i> , 2018, 3, 238146831881183.	0.9	7
61	Barriers and Facilitators to Enrollment and Retention in the National Diabetes Prevention Program: Perspectives of Women and Clinicians Within a Health System. <i>Women S Health Reports</i> , 2021, 2, 133-141.	0.8	7
62	Factors Associated With Decision Regret Among Patients With Diverticulitis in the Elective Setting. <i>Journal of Surgical Research</i> , 2021, 261, 159-166.	1.6	7
63	Parents' decision-making for their foetus or neonate with a severe congenital heart defect. <i>Cardiology in the Young</i> , 2022, 32, 896-903.	0.8	7
64	Patient Preferences for the Chemoprevention of Colorectal Cancer. <i>Digestive Diseases and Sciences</i> , 2009, 54, 2207-2214.	2.3	6
65	Randomized evaluation of decision support interventions for atrial fibrillation: Rationale and design of the RED-AF study. <i>American Heart Journal</i> , 2022, 248, 42-52.	2.7	6
66	An Intervention Tool to Increase Patient-Physician Discussion of Lifestyle Risk Factors for Breast Cancer. <i>Journal of Women's Health</i> , 2019, 28, 1468-1475.	3.3	5
67	Evaluation of breast cancer risk assessment techniques: a cost-effectiveness analysis. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2004, 13, 2043-52.	2.5	5
68	Will Early Detection for Breast Cancer Ever Work?. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2013, 59, 190-193.	3.2	4
69	Evaluation of National Comprehensive Cancer Network guideline-based Tool for Risk Assessment for breast and ovarian Cancer (Nâ€¦TRAC): A patient-reported survey for genetic high-risk assessment for breast and ovarian cancers in women. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2019, 28, 507-515.	1.6	4
70	Ileostomy or ileal pouch-anal anastomosis for ulcerative colitis: patient participation and decisional needs. <i>BMC Gastroenterology</i> , 2021, 21, 347.	2.0	4
71	Provider insights on shared decision-making with families affected by CHD. <i>Cardiology in the Young</i> , 2022, 32, 1475-1482.	0.8	4
72	Study protocol for a randomised clinical trial of a decision aid and values clarification method for parents of a fetus or neonate diagnosed with a life-threatening congenital heart defect. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e055455.	1.9	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Evaluation of the intensive outpatient clinic: study protocol for a prospective study of high-cost, high-need patients in the University of Utah Health system. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e024724.	1.9	3
74	Commentary: Creating a patient-centered decision aid for ductal carcinoma in situ. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2020, 26, 1498-1499.	1.0	3
75	Acceptability of an mHealth breast cancer risk-reduction intervention promoting risk assessment, education, and discussion of risk in the primary care setting. <i>MHealth</i> , 2021, 7, 0-0.	1.6	3
76	Association of Regional Intensity of Ductal Carcinoma In Situ Treatment With Likelihood of Breast Preservation. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2017, 3, 101.	7.1	2
77	Preoperative Breast Magnetic Resonance Imaging Use by Breast Density and Family History of Breast Cancer. <i>Journal of Women's Health</i> , 2018, 27, 987-993.	3.3	2
78	Patient Willingness to Accept Antibiotic Side Effects to Reduce Surgical Site Infection After Colorectal Surgery. <i>Journal of Surgical Research</i> , 2021, 261, 417-422.	1.6	2
79	Patient decision-making and clinical outcomes following endoscopic therapy or esophagectomy for Barrett's neoplasia. <i>Endoscopy International Open</i> , 2017, 05, E1128-E1135.	1.8	1
80	Surveillance Cessation for Barrett's Esophagus: A Survey of Gastroenterologists. <i>American Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2021, 116, 1730-1733.	0.4	1
81	Overdiagnosis and Overtreatment of Breast Cancer: How Can We Promote Informed Patient Choice?. <i>Current Breast Cancer Reports</i> , 2013, 5, 263-265.	1.0	0
82	Reply to S.R. Isenberg et al. <i>Journal of Oncology Practice</i> , 2017, 13, 774-775.	2.5	0