

Jessie J Peissig

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1619822/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

24
papers

550
citations

759233

12
h-index

839539

18
g-index

24
all docs

24
docs citations

24
times ranked

615
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Who's Behind the Makeup? The Effects of Varying Levels of Cosmetics Application on Perceptions of Facial Attractiveness, Competence, and Sociosexuality. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2021, 12, 661006.	2.1	13
2	Pigeons spontaneously form three-dimensional shape categories. <i>Behavioural Processes</i> , 2019, 158, 70-76.	1.1	5
3	The Relationships Between Waist-to-Hip Ratio (WHR), Waist-to-Stature Ratio (WSR), and Body Mass Index (BMI) on Ratings of Women's Body Attractiveness and Health. <i>Journal of Vision</i> , 2019, 19, 155c.	0.3	0
4	Facial asymmetry versus facial makeup. , 2018, , .		2
5	The Role of Regional Contrast Changes and Asymmetry in Facial Attractiveness Related to Cosmetic Use. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2018, 9, 2448.	2.1	6
6	Does Blocking the Eyebrows with Eyeglasses Disrupt Faces Recognition Performance?. <i>Journal of Vision</i> , 2017, 17, 609.	0.3	0
7	Using the reassignment procedure to test object representation in pigeons and people. <i>Learning and Behavior</i> , 2015, 43, 188-207.	1.0	0
8	Children (but not adults) judge similarity in own- and other-race faces by the color of their skin. <i>Journal of Experimental Child Psychology</i> , 2015, 130, 56-66.	1.4	17
9	Recognizing disguised faces. <i>Visual Cognition</i> , 2012, 20, 143-169.	1.6	84
10	The Recognition of Rotated Objects in Animals. , 2012, , 232-246.		0
11	How Faces Became Special. , 2009, , 11-40.		2
12	Is Region-of-Interest Overlap Comparison a Reliable Measure of Category Specificity?. <i>Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience</i> , 2007, 19, 2019-2034.	2.3	30
13	Effects of Long-Term Object Familiarity on Event-Related Potentials in the Monkey. <i>Cerebral Cortex</i> , 2007, 17, 1323-1334.	2.9	44
14	Visual Object Recognition: Do We Know More Now Than We Did 20 Years Ago?. <i>Annual Review of Psychology</i> , 2007, 58, 75-96.	17.7	122
15	Effects of varying stimulus size on object recognition in pigeons.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology</i> , 2006, 32, 419-430.	1.7	24
16	XOR style tasks for testing visual object processing in monkeys. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2006, 46, 1804-1815.	1.4	9
17	Initial saccades predict manual recognition choices in the monkey. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2006, 46, 3812-3822.	1.4	3
18	The Role of Edges in Object Recognition by Pigeons. <i>Perception</i> , 2005, 34, 1353-1374.	1.2	22

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The role of surface pigmentation for recognition revealed by contrast reversal in faces and Greebles. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2005, 45, 1213-1223.	1.4	76
20	Learning an object from multiple views enhances its recognition in an orthogonal rotational axis in pigeons. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2002, 42, 2051-2062.	1.4	23
21	BRIEF PRESENTATIONS ARE SUFFICIENT FOR PIGEONS TO DISCRIMINATE ARRAYS OF SAME AND DIFFERENT STIMULI. <i>Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior</i> , 2002, 78, 365-373.	1.1	3
22	Superordinate categorization via learned stimulus equivalence: Quantity of reinforcement, hedonic value, and the nature of the mediator.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology</i> , 2001, 27, 252-268.	1.7	18
23	Discrimination of geons by pigeons: The effects of variations in surface depiction. <i>Learning and Behavior</i> , 2001, 29, 97-106.	3.4	23
24	Seeing things from a different angle: The pigeon's recognition of single geons rotated in depth.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology</i> , 2000, 26, 115-132.	1.7	24