Ludo Waltman

List of Publications by Year in Descending Order

94 papers	13,486 citations	44 h-index	105 g-index
105 ext. papers	19,130 ext. citations	5.8 avg, IF	7.5 L-index

#	Paper	IF	Citations
94	A large-scale bibliometric analysis of global climate change research between 2001 and 2018. *Climatic Change*, **2022**, 170, 1	4.5	1
93	Science of science. *Bibliosfera*, **2021**, 25-42	0.4	0
92	Algorithmic labeling in hierarchical classifications of publications: Evaluation of bibliographic fields and term weighting approaches. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2021**, 72, 853-869	2.7	2
91	A scientometric overview of CORD-19. *PLoS ONE*, **2021**, 16, e0244839	3.7	27
90	A multidimensional framework for characterizing the citation impact of scientific publications. *Quantitative Science Studies*, **2021**, 2, 155-183	3.8	6
89	Large-scale comparison of bibliographic data sources: Scopus, Web of Science, Dimensions, Crossref, and Microsoft Academic. *Quantitative Science Studies*, **2021**, 2, 20-41	3.8	64
88	Investigating disagreement in the scientific literature.. *ELife*, **2021**, 10,	8.9	8
87	Intermediacy of publications. *Royal Society Open Science*, **2020**, 7, 190207	3.3	3
86	Opening science: The rebirth of a scholarly journal. *Quantitative Science Studies*, **2020**, 1, 1-3	3.8	3
85	Special issue on bibliographic data sources. *Quantitative Science Studies*, **2020**, 1, 360-362	3.8	10
84	Use of the journal impact factor for assessing individual articles need not be statistically wrong. *F1000Research*, **2020**, 9, 366	3.6	9
83	Use of the journal impact factor for assessing individual articles need not be statistically wrong. *F1000Research*, **2020**, 9, 366	3.6	5
82	Rethinking impact factors: better ways to judge a journal. *Nature*, **2019**, 569, 621-623	50.4	25
81	From Louvain to Leiden: guaranteeing well-connected communities. *Scientific Reports*, **2019**, 9, 5233	4.9	707
80	Field Normalization of Scientometric Indicators. *Springer Handbooks*, **2019**, 281-300	1.3	13
79	Systematic analysis of agreement between metrics and peer review in the UK REF. *Palgrave Communications*, **2019**, 5,	5.3	24
78	Science of science. *Science*, **2018**, 359,	33.3	373

77	Improving the evaluation of worldwide biomedical research output: classification method and standardised bibliometric indicators by disease. *BMJ Open*, **2018**, 8, e020818	3	
76	Analyzing the activities of visitors of the Leiden Ranking website. *Journal of Data and Information Science*, **2018**, 3, 81-98	1.2	1
75	Characterizing in-text citations in scientific articles: A large-scale analysis. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2018**, 12, 59-73	3.1	62
74	The Closer the Better: Similarity of Publication Pairs at Different Cocitation Levels. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2018**, 69, 600-609	2.7	16
73	Citation-based clustering of publications using CitNetExplorer and VOSviewer. *Scientometrics*, **2017** , 111, 1053-1070	3	452
72	Topic identification challenge. *Scientometrics*, **2017**, 111, 1223-1224	3	11
71	Impact factors: Is the Nature Index at odds with DORA?. *Nature*, **2017**, 545, 412	50.4	1
70	Conceptual difficulties in the use of statistical inference in citation analysis. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2016**, 10, 1249-1252	3.1	8
69	Constructing bibliometric networks: A comparison between full and fractional counting. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2016**, 10, 1178-1195	3.1	311
68	A review of the literature on citation impact indicators. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2016**, 10, 365-391	3.1	476
67	Clustering Scientific Publications Based on Citation Relations: A Systematic Comparison of Different Methods. *PLoS ONE*, **2016**, 11, e0154404	3.7	63
66	A Large-Scale Analysis of Impact Factor Biased Journal Self-Citations. *PLoS ONE*, **2016**, 11, e0161021	3.7	46
65	Large-scale analysis of the accuracy of the journal classification systems of Web of Science and Scopus. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2016**, 10, 347-364	3.1	132
64	The elephant in the room: The problem of quantifying productivity in evaluative scientometrics. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2016**, 10, 671-674	3.1	14
63	Predicting the long-term citation impact of recent publications. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2015**, 9, 642-657	3.1	62
62	Field-normalized citation impact indicators and the choice of an appropriate counting method. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2015**, 9, 872-894	3.1	127
61	Field-normalized citation impact indicators using algorithmically constructed classification systems of science. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2015**, 9, 102-117	3.1	76
60	Bibliometrics: The Leiden Manifesto for research metrics. *Nature*, **2015**, 520, 429-31	50.4	908

59	A Longitudinal Analysis of Publications on Maternal Mortality. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*, **2015**, 29, 481-9	2.7	6
58	F1000 Recommendations as a Potential New Data Source for Research Evaluation: A Comparison With Citations. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2014**, 65, 433-445	2.7	76
57	CitNetExplorer: A new software tool for analyzing and visualizing citation networks. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2014**, 8, 802-823	3.1	254
56	PageRank-Related Methods for Analyzing Citation Networks **2014**, 83-100		7
55	Visualizing Bibliometric Networks **2014**, 285-320		375
54	Mapping patient safety: a large-scale literature review using bibliometric visualisation techniques. *BMJ Open*, **2014**, 4, e004468	3	45
53	The correlation between citation-based and expert-based assessments of publication channels: SNIP and SJR vs. Norwegian quality assessments. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2014**, 8, 985-996	3.1	22
52	Exploring the relationship between the engineering and physical sciences and the health and life sciences by advanced bibliometric methods. *PLoS ONE*, **2014**, 9, e111530	3.7	12
51	A systematic empirical comparison of different approaches for normalizing citation impact indicators. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2013**, 7, 833-849	3.1	83
50	Some modifications to the SNIP journal impact indicator. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2013**, 7, 272-285	3.1	116
49	A smart local moving algorithm for large-scale modularity-based community detection. *European Physical Journal B*, **2013**, 86, 1	1.2	411
48	An Evolutionary Model of Price Competition Among Spatially Distributed Firms. *Computational Economics*, **2013**, 42, 373-391	1.4	2
47	Source normalized indicators of citation impact: an overview of different approaches and an empirical comparison. *Scientometrics*, **2013**, 96, 699-716	3	81
46	On the calculation of percentile-based bibliometric indicators. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2013**, 64, 372-379		93
45	Counting publications and citations: Is more always better?. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2013**, 7, 635-641	3.1	42
44	Citation analysis may severely underestimate the impact of clinical research as compared to basic research. *PLoS ONE*, **2013**, 8, e62395	3.7	137
43	Universality of citation distributions revisited. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2012**, 63, 72-77		53
42	The inconsistency of the h-index. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2012**, 63, 406-415		182

41	The Leiden ranking 2011/2012: Data collection, indicators, and interpretation. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2012**, 63, 2419-2432		228
40	A new methodology for constructing a publication-level classification system of science. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2012**, 63, 2378-2392		290
39	An empirical analysis of the use of alphabetical authorship in scientific publishing. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2012**, 6, 700-711	3.1	101
38	Some Limitations of the H Index: A Commentary on Ruscio and Colleagues' Analysis of Bibliometric Indices. *Measurement*, **2012**, 10, 172-175	1.3	8
37	A mathematical analysis of the long-run behavior of genetic algorithms for social modeling. *Soft Computing*, **2012**, 16, 1071-1089	3.5	1
36	The detection of "hot regions" in the geography of science—A visualization approach by using density maps. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2011**, 5, 547-553	3.1	49
35	Globalisation of science in kilometres. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2011**, 5, 574-582	3.1	54
34	Collaborations span 1,553 kilometres. *Nature*, **2011**, 473, 154	50.4	8
33	Relations between the shape of a size-frequency distribution and the shape of a rank-frequency distribution. *Information Processing and Management*, **2011**, 47, 238-245	6.3	16
32	Towards a new crown indicator: an empirical analysis. *Scientometrics*, **2011**, 87, 467-481	3	152
31	On the correlation between bibliometric indicators and peer review: reply to Opthof and Leydesdorff. *Scientometrics*, **2011**, 88, 1017-1022	3	16
30	A recursive field-normalized bibliometric performance indicator: an application to the field of library and information science. *Scientometrics*, **2011**, 89, 301-314	3	44
29	Economic modeling using evolutionary algorithms: the effect of a binary encoding of strategies. *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, **2011**, 21, 737-756	1.9	14
28	Towards a new crown indicator: Some theoretical considerations. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2011**, 5, 37-47	3.1	249
27	Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping. *Scientometrics*, **2010**, 84, 523-538	3	3982
26	Automatic term identification for bibliometric mapping. *Scientometrics*, **2010**, 82, 581-596	3	129
25	The relation between Eigenfactor, audience factor, and influence weight. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2010**, 61, 1476-1486		27
24	A comparison of two techniques for bibliometric mapping: Multidimensional scaling and VOS. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2010**, 61, 2405-2416		309

23	Rivals for the crown: Reply to Opthof and Leydesdorff. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2010**, 4, 431-435	3.1	70
22	A unified approach to mapping and clustering of bibliometric networks. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2010**, 4, 629-635	3.1	739
21	How to normalize cooccurrence data? An analysis of some well-known similarity measures. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2009**, 60, 1635-1651		354
20	Robust Evolutionary Algorithm Design for Socio-Economic Simulation: Some Comments. *Computational Economics*, **2009**, 33, 103-105	1.4	6
19	On the proper understanding of the limiting behavior of generalizations of the h- and g-indices. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2009**, 3, 369-370	3.1	
18	Some comments on Egghe's derivation of the impact factor distribution. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2009**, 3, 363-366	3.1	14
17	Some comments on the journal weighted impact factor proposed by Habibzadeh and Yadollahie. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2008**, 2, 369-372	3.1	4
16	Appropriate similarity measures for author co-citation analysis. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2008**, 59, 1653-1661		43
15	Generalizing the h- and g-indices. *Journal of Informetrics*, **2008**, 2, 263-271	3.1	96
14	-learning agents in a Cournot oligopoly model. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, **2008**, 32, 3275-3293		48
13	A Theoretical Analysis of Cooperative Behavior in Multi-agent Q-learning **2007**,		4
12	Some comments on the question whether co-occurrence data should be normalized. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **2007**, 58, 1701-1703		12
11	BIBLIOMETRIC MAPPING OF THE COMPUTATIONAL INTELLIGENCE FIELD. *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowlege-Based Systems*, **2007**, 15, 625-645	0.8	128
10	VOS: A New Method for Visualizing Similarities Between Objects. *Studies in Classification, Data Analysis, and Knowledge Organization*, **2007**, 299-306	0.2	129
9	Visualizing the computational intelligence field [Application Notes]. *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, **2006**, 1, 6-10	5.6	38
8	Visualizing the WCCI 2006 Knowledge Domain **2006**,		4
7	Visualizing the Computational Intelligence Field. *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, **2006**, 1, 6-10	5.6	15
6	A Novel Algorithm for Visualizing Concept Associations		10

List of Publications

5	Maximum likelihood parameter estimation in probabilistic fuzzy classifiers		10
4	A principled methodology for comparing relatedness measures for clustering publications. *Quantitative Science Studies*,1-23	3.8	14
3	The relationship between publication volume of biomedical research and burden of disease		3
2	A scientometric overview of CORD-19		14
1	Innovations in peer review in scholarly publishing: a meta-summary. *Wellcome Open Research*,7, 82	4.8	1