Saskia C Sanderson

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1509466/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

394421 377865 1,924 35 19 34 citations g-index h-index papers 35 35 35 2996 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Effects of Testing and Disclosing Ancestry-Specific Genetic Risk for Kidney Failure on Patients and Health Care Professionals. JAMA Network Open, 2022, 5, e221048.	5.9	9
2	Animation or leaflet: Does it make a difference when educating young people about genome sequencing?. Patient Education and Counseling, 2021, 104, 2522-2530.	2.2	2
3	Young people's understanding, attitudes and involvement in decision-making about genome sequencing for rare diseases: A qualitative study with participants in the UK 100, 000 Genomes Project. European Journal of Medical Genetics, 2020, 63, 104043.	1.3	13
4	Development and mixed-methods evaluation of an online animation for young people about genome sequencing. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 28, 896-906.	2.8	10
5	Development of a measure of genome sequencing knowledge for young people: The kidsâ€KOGS. Clinical Genetics, 2019, 96, 411-417.	2.0	4
6	Predispositional genome sequencing in healthy adults: design, participant characteristics, and early outcomes of the PeopleSeq Consortium. Genome Medicine, 2019, 11, 10.	8.2	41
7	Increasing genomic literacy among adolescents. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 994-1000.	2.4	14
8	Parents' attitudes toward consent and data sharing in biobanks: A multisite experimental survey. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2018, 9, 128-142.	1.6	25
9	Development of the Knowledge of Genome Sequencing (KOGS) questionnaire. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 1966-1972.	2.2	15
10	Impacts of incorporating personal genome sequencing into graduate genomics education: a longitudinal study over three course years. BMC Medical Genomics, 2018, 11, 5.	1.5	17
11	Psychological and behavioural impact of returning personal results from whole-genome sequencing: the HealthSeq project. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2017, 25, 280-292.	2.8	54
12	Public Attitudes toward Consent and Data Sharing in Biobank Research: A Large Multi-site Experimental Survey in the US. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2017, 100, 414-427.	6.2	172
13	Experiences and concerns of patients with recurrent attacks of acute hepatic porphyria: A qualitative study. Molecular Genetics and Metabolism, 2016, 119, 278-283.	1.1	60
14	Development and preliminary evaluation of an online educational video about whole-genome sequencing for research participants, patients, and the general public. Genetics in Medicine, 2016, 18, 501-512.	2.4	51
15	Decision-Making in the Age of Whole Genome Sequencing. , 2016, , 357-373.		0
16	Conducting a large, multi-site survey about patients' views on broad consent: challenges and solutions. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2016, 16, 162.	3.1	9
17	Impact of Genomic Counseling on Informed Decisionâ€Making among ostensibly Healthy Individuals Seeking Personal Genome Sequencing: the HealthSeq Project. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2016, 25, 1044-1053.	1.6	15
18	A systematic literature review of individuals' perspectives on broad consent and data sharing in the United States. Genetics in Medicine, 2016, 18, 663-671.	2.4	181

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Motivations, concerns and preferences of personal genome sequencing research participants: Baseline findings from the HealthSeq project. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24, 14-20.	2.8	94
20	Factors Affecting Recall of Different Types of Personal Genetic Information about Alzheimer's Disease Risk: The REVEAL Study. Public Health Genomics, 2015, 18, 78-86.	1.0	10
21	How do students react to analyzing their own genomes in a whole-genome sequencing course?: outcomes of a longitudinal cohort study. Genetics in Medicine, 2015, 17, 866-874.	2.4	29
22	Population Testing for Cancer Predisposing BRCA1/BRCA2 Mutations in the Ashkenazi-Jewish Community: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2015, 107, 379.	6.3	146
23	Cost-effectiveness of Population Screening for BRCA Mutations in Ashkenazi Jewish Women Compared With Family History–Based Testing. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2015, 107, 380.	6.3	135
24	Preparing the next generation of genomicists: a laboratory-style course in medical genomics. BMC Medical Genomics, 2015, 8, 47.	1.5	16
25	Return of Genomic Results to Research Participants: The Floor, the Ceiling, and the Choices In Between. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2014, 94, 818-826.	6.2	342
26	Practical Guidance on Informed Consent for Pediatric Participants in a Biorepository. Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 2014, 89, 1471-1480.	3.0	27
27	Article does not prove that smoking cessation has an "effect" on mental health. BMJ, The, 2014, 348, g2018-g2018.	6.0	3
28	Willingness to participate in genomics research and desire for personal results among underrepresented minority patients: a structured interview study. Journal of Community Genetics, 2013, 4, 469-482.	1.2	82
29	Genome sequencing for healthy individuals. Trends in Genetics, 2013, 29, 556-558.	6.7	9
30	Stakeholder engagement: a key component of integrating genomic information into electronic health records. Genetics in Medicine, 2013, 15, 792-801.	2.4	64
31	Informed decision-making among students analyzing their personal genomes on a whole genome sequencing course: a longitudinal cohort study. Genome Medicine, 2013, 5, 113.	8.2	29
32	Public Awareness of Genetic Influence on Chronic Disease Risk: Are Genetic and Lifestyle Causal Beliefs Compatible?. Public Health Genomics, 2011, 14, 290-297.	1.0	25
33	Responses to Online <i>GSTM1</i> Genetic Test Results among Smokers Related to Patients with Lung Cancer: A Pilot Study. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2009, 18, 1953-1961.	2.5	46
34	Awareness of lifestyle risk factors for cancer and heart disease among adults in the UK. Patient Education and Counseling, 2009, 74, 221-227.	2.2	106
35	Psychological and Behavioural Impact of Genetic Testing Smokers for Lung Cancer Risk. Journal of Health Psychology, 2008, 13, 481-494.	2.3	69