

# Violet A Brown

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/148554/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

19  
papers

431  
citations

1162367

8  
h-index

887659

17  
g-index

24  
all docs

24  
docs citations

24  
times ranked

332  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	“Where are the . . . Fixations?” Grammatical number cues guide anticipatory fixations to upcoming referents and reduce lexical competition.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition</i> , 2022, 48, 643-657.	0.7	1
2	Revisiting the relationship between implicit racial bias and audiovisual benefit for nonnative-accented speech. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2022, 84, 2074-2086.	0.7	7
3	Revisiting the target-masker linguistic similarity hypothesis. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2022, 84, 1772-1787.	0.7	3
4	Speech and non-speech measures of audiovisual integration are not correlated. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2022, 84, 1809-1819.	0.7	2
5	Face mask type affects audiovisual speech intelligibility and subjective listening effort in young and older adults. <i>Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications</i> , 2021, 6, 49.	1.1	47
6	An Introduction to Linear Mixed-Effects Modeling in R. <i>Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science</i> , 2021, 4, 251524592096035.	5.4	138
7	Talking Points: A Modulating Circle Increases Listening Effort Without Improving Speech Recognition in Young Adults. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2020, 27, 536-543.	1.4	13
8	Rapid adaptation to fully intelligible nonnative-accented speech reduces listening effort. <i>Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology</i> , 2020, 73, 1431-1443.	0.6	28
9	Understanding Speech amid the Jingle and Jangle: Recommendations for Improving Measurement Practices in Listening Effort Research. <i>Auditory Perception &amp; Cognition</i> , 2020, 3, 169-188.	0.5	19
10	Recall of Speech is Impaired by Subsequent Masking Noise: A Replication of Rabbitt (1968) Experiment 2. <i>Auditory Perception &amp; Cognition</i> , 2020, 3, 158-167.	0.5	2
11	Talking points: A modulating circle reduces listening effort without improving speech recognition. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2019, 26, 291-297.	1.4	6
12	“Paying” attention to audiovisual speech: Do incongruent stimuli incur greater costs?. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2019, 81, 1743-1756.	0.7	4
13	Noise increases listening effort in normal-hearing young adults, regardless of working memory capacity. <i>Language, Cognition and Neuroscience</i> , 2019, 34, 628-640.	0.7	10
14	Publishing Open, Reproducible Research With Undergraduates. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2019, 10, 564.	1.1	7
15	About Face: Seeing the Talker Improves Spoken Word Recognition but Increases Listening Effort. <i>Journal of Cognition</i> , 2019, 2, 44.	1.0	11
16	Node Ordering for Rescalable Network Summarization (or, the Apparent Magic of Word Frequency) <a href="#">Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Overlock 10 Tf 5</a>	0.7	0
17	What accounts for individual differences in susceptibility to the McGurk effect?. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0207160.	1.1	37
18	Measuring Listening Effort: Convergent Validity, Sensitivity, and Links With Cognitive and Personality Measures. <i>Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research</i> , 2018, 61, 1463-1486.	0.7	89

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Keep listening: Grammatical context reduces but does not eliminate activation of unexpected words.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2018, 44, 962-973.	0.7	6