

# Jakub Staniszewski

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1472856/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

22

papers

102

citations

1478505

6

h-index

1372567

10

g-index

22

all docs

22

docs citations

22

times ranked

79

citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Deadweight loss in environmental policy: The case of the European Union member states. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2020, 260, 121064.	9.3	22
2	The impact of the subsidies on efficiency of different sized farms. Case study of the Common Agricultural Policy of the European Union. <i>Agricultural Economics (Czech Republic)</i> , 2020, 66, 373-380.	1.1	18
3	Income or Assetsâ€”What Determines the Approach to the Environment among Farmers in A Region in Poland?. <i>Sustainability</i> , 2020, 12, 4917.	3.2	15
4	Measuring total factor productivity in agriculture: a bibliometric review. <i>International Journal of Emerging Markets</i> , 2023, 18, 148-172.	2.2	13
5	Food management innovations for reducing food wastage â€“ a systematic literature review. <i>Management</i> , 2020, 24, 193-207.	0.9	7
6	Dylematy operacyjonalizacji paradygmatu zrÃ³wnowaÅ¼onego rozwoju rolnictwa z wykorzystaniem pojÄ™cia ekoefektywnoÅci. <i>Zeszyty Naukowe SGGW W Warszawie - Problemy Rolnictwa Åšwiatowego</i> , 2018, 18(33), 44-56.	0.1	6
7	Do Structures Matter in the Process of Sustainable Intensification? A Case Study of Agriculture in the European Union Countries. <i>Agriculture (Switzerland)</i> , 2022, 12, 334.	3.1	6
8	Technological Heterogeneity in Pig Farming: A Metafrontier Approachâ€”Perspectives from Hungary and Poland. <i>Agriculture (Switzerland)</i> , 2021, 11, 961.	3.1	5
9	ECONOMIC FACTORS UNDERPINNING THE STRUCTURAL GENOTYPES OF AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT IN THE EUROPEAN UNION AFTER 2004. <i>Journal of Agribusiness and Rural Development</i> , 2018, 50, .	0.3	3
10	ZrÃ³wnowaÅ¼ona intensyfikacja rolnictwa jako kombinacja efektywnoÅci nakÅ,adÃ³w ekonomicznych i Å»rodowiskowych. <i>Zeszyty Naukowe SGGW W Warszawie - Problemy Rolnictwa Åšwiatowego</i> , 2018, 18(33), 80-90.	0.1	2
11	Contemporary agrarian question and alternative ways to its solution1. <i>Management</i> , 2015, 19, 98-112.	0.9	1
12	Proposal of Alternative Typology of Social Economy. <i>Research Papers in Economics and Finance</i> , 2017, 2, 7-18.	0.1	1
13	WydajnoÅ›Ä‡ pracy jako przesÅ,anka restrukturyzacji zatrudnienia w rolnictwie. <i>Zeszyty Naukowe SGGW W Warszawie - Problemy Rolnictwa Åšwiatowego</i> , 2017, 17(32), 31-42.	0.1	1
14	The Elasticity of Agricultural Income in the EU Member States Under Different Cost Structures. <i>Zeszyty Naukowe SGGW W Warszawie - Problemy Rolnictwa Åšwiatowego</i> , 2017, 17, 182-192.	0.1	1
15	ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND SOCIAL DIMENSIONS OF FARMING SUSTAINABILITY â€“ TRADE-OFF OR SYNERGY?. <i>Technological and Economic Development of Economy</i> , 2022, 28, 655-675.	4.6	1
16	Changes in the production factorâ€™s structures in agriculture in the light of price adjustments. A case study of selected EU countries1. <i>Management</i> , 2015, 19, 136-151.	0.9	0
17	SUSTAINABLE INTENSIFICATION OF AGRICULTURE â€“ THE OPERATIONALIZATION DIRECTIONS. <i>Annals of the Polish Association of Agricultural and Agribusiness Economists</i> , 2017, XIX, 220-225.	0.3	0
18	Title is missing!. <i>Journal of Agribusiness and Rural Development</i> , 2017, 16, 295-304.	0.3	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	INTERDEPENDENCE OF ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL EFFICIENCY IN AGRICULTURE IN THE EUROPEAN UNION. <i>Acta Scientiarum Polonorum - Oeconomia</i> , 2022, 17, 159-169.	0.3	0
20	CAN STRUCTURAL GENOTYPES OF AGRICULTURE BE DISTINGUISHED IN INDIVIDUAL REGIONS OF THE EUROPEAN UNION?. <i>Annals of the Polish Association of Agricultural and Agribusiness Economists</i> , 2019, XXI, 475-485.	0.3	0
21	Pro-environmental actions of agricultural farms - example of holdings from the Wielkopolska region. <i>Management</i> , 2020, 24, 236-250.	0.9	0
22	Managing sustainable consumption of durable goods – A systematic literature review. <i>Management</i> , 2021, 25, 73-90.	0.9	0