## Sabrina Kumschick

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1453701/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

64 4,671 26 47 papers citations h-index g-index

67 67 4281 all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A global impact assessment of Acacia species introduced to South Africa. Biological Invasions, 2022, 24, 175-187.	2.4	11
2	Options for reducing uncertainty in impact classification for alien species. Ecosphere, 2021, 12, e03461.	2.2	16
3	Challenges and perspectives on tackling illegal or unsustainable wildlife trade. Biological Conservation, 2021, 263, 109342.	4.1	39
4	Scientists' warning to humanity on illegal or unsustainable wildlife trade. Biological Conservation, 2021, 263, 109341.	4.1	50
5	Tarantulas (Araneae: Theraphosidae) in the pet trade in South Africa. African Zoology, 2020, 55, 323-336.	0.4	11
6	A conceptual map of invasion biology: Integrating hypotheses into a consensus network. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 2020, 29, 978-991.	5.8	150
7	The threats posed by the pet trade in alien terrestrial invertebrates in South Africa. Journal for Nature Conservation, 2020, 55, 125831.	1.8	15
8	South Africa's Pathways of Introduction and Dispersal and How They Have Changed Over Time. , 2020, , 313-354.		25
9	An Evaluation of the Impacts of Alien Species on Biodiversity in South Africa Using Different Assessment Methods. , 2020, , 489-512.		27
10	Analysing the Risks Posed by Biological Invasions to South Africa. , 2020, , 573-595.		18
11	Does origin determine environmental impacts? Not for bamboos. Plants People Planet, 2019, 1, 119-128.	3.3	36
12	Global Actions for Managing Cactus Invasions. Plants, 2019, 8, 421.	3.5	17
13	Emerging infectious diseases and biological invasions: a call for a One Health collaboration in science and management. Royal Society Open Science, 2019, 6, 181577.	2.4	82
14	Identifying the factors that determine the severity and type of alien bird impacts. Diversity and Distributions, 2018, 24, 800-810.	4.1	35
15	More than "100 worst―alien species in Europe. Biological Invasions, 2018, 20, 1611-1621.	2.4	200
16	A framework for engaging stakeholders on the management of alien species. Journal of Environmental Management, 2018, 205, 286-297.	7.8	141
17	Determinants of data deficiency in the impacts of alien bird species. Ecography, 2018, 41, 1401-1410.	4.5	20
18	Socioâ€economic impact classification of alien taxa ( <scp>SEICAT</scp> ). Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 2018, 9, 159-168.	5.2	244

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Gastropods alien to South Africa cause severe environmental harm in their global alien ranges across habitats. Ecology and Evolution, 2018, 8, 8273-8285.	1.9	29
20	A vision for global monitoring of biological invasions. Biological Conservation, 2017, 213, 295-308.	4.1	178
21	How repeatable is the Environmental Impact Classification of Alien Taxa (EICAT)? Comparing independent global impact assessments of amphibians. Ecology and Evolution, 2017, 7, 2661-2670.	1.9	29
22	Promise and challenges of risk assessment as an approach for preventing the arrival of harmful alien species. Bothalia, $2017,47,\ldots$	0.3	17
23	Grasses as invasive plants in South Africa revisited: Patterns, pathways and management. Bothalia, 2017, 47, .	0.3	31
24	The generic impact scoring system (GISS): a standardized tool to quantify the impacts of alien species. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 2016, 188, 315.	2.7	88
25	Weed Risk Assessments Are an Effective Component of Invasion Risk Management. Invasive Plant Science and Management, 2016, 9, 81-83.	1.1	12
26	Managing alien bird species: Time to move beyond "100 of the worst―lists?. Bird Conservation International, 2016, 26, 154-163.	1.3	16
27	A global assessment of alien amphibian impacts in a formal framework. Diversity and Distributions, 2016, 22, 970-981.	4.1	67
28	Application of the <scp>E</scp> nvironmental <scp>I</scp> mpact <scp>C</scp> lassification for <scp>A</scp> lien <scp>T</scp> axa (EICAT) to a global assessment of alien bird impacts. Diversity and Distributions, 2016, 22, 919-931.	4.1	79
29	Methods and approaches for the management of arthropod border incursions. Biological Invasions, 2016, 18, 1057-1075.	2.4	37
30	Intentionally introduced terrestrial invertebrates: patterns, risks, and options for management. Biological Invasions, 2016, 18, 1077-1088.	2.4	30
31	Framework and guidelines for implementing the proposed <scp>IUCN</scp> Environmental Impact Classification for Alien Taxa ( <scp>EICAT</scp> ). Diversity and Distributions, 2015, 21, 1360-1363.	4.1	184
32	Ecological Impacts of Alien Species: Quantification, Scope, Caveats, and Recommendations. BioScience, 2015, 65, 55-63.	4.9	301
33	Crossing Frontiers in Tackling Pathways of Biological Invasions. BioScience, 2015, 65, 769-782.	4.9	202
34	Soft Touch or Heavy Hand? Legislative Approaches for Preventing Invasions: Insights from Cacti in South Africa. Invasive Plant Science and Management, 2015, 8, 307-316.	1.1	41
35	Comparing impacts of alien plants and animals in <scp>E</scp> urope using a standard scoring system. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2015, 52, 552-561.	4.0	116
36	A Unified Classification of Alien Species Based on the Magnitude of their Environmental Impacts. PLoS Biology, 2014, 12, e1001850.	5.6	648

3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Comparing determinants of alien bird impacts across two continents: implications for risk assessment and management. Ecology and Evolution, 2014, 4, 2957-2967.	1.9	29
38	Advancing impact prediction and hypothesis testing in invasion ecology using a comparative functional response approach. Biological Invasions, 2014, 16, 735-753.	2.4	214
39	Defining the Impact of Nonâ€Native Species. Conservation Biology, 2014, 28, 1188-1194.	4.7	308
40	Evolution of fastâ€growing and more resistant phenotypes in introduced common mullein ( <i>Verbascum thapsus</i> ). Journal of Ecology, 2013, 101, 378-387.	4.0	46
41	What determines the impact of alien birds and mammals in Europe?. Biological Invasions, 2013, 15, 785-797.	2.4	35
42	Speciesâ€based risk assessments for biological invasions: advances and challenges. Diversity and Distributions, 2013, 19, 1095-1105.	4.1	128
43	Species Richness-Environment Relationships of European Arthropods at Two Spatial Grains: Habitats and Countries. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e45875.	2.5	13
44	Response to Strubbe et al. (2011): Impact scoring of invasive birds is justified. Biological Conservation, 2011, 144, 2747.	4.1	5
45	Weak or strong invaders? A comparison of impact between the native and invaded ranges of mammals and birds alien to Europe. Diversity and Distributions, 2011, 17, 663-672.	4.1	20
46	Rapid spread of the wasp spider Argiope bruennichi across Europe: a consequence of climate change?. Climatic Change, 2011, 109, 319-329.	3.6	32
47	Defining Environment Risk Assessment Criteria for Genetically Modified Insects to be placed on the EU Market. EFSA Supporting Publications, 2010, 7, 71E.	0.7	8
48	Some alien birds have as severe an impact as the most effectual alien mammals in Europe. Biological Conservation, 2010, 143, 2757-2762.	4.1	96
49	Determinants of local ant (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) species richness and activity density across Europe. Ecological Entomology, 2009, 34, 748-754.	2.2	12
50	Water limitation prevails over energy in European diversity gradients of sheetweb spiders (Araneae:) Tj ETQq0	0 0 rgBT /O	verlock 10 Tf 5
51	A conceptual framework for prioritization of invasiveÂalien species for management accordingÂtoÂtheir impact. NeoBiota, 0, 15, 69-100.	1.0	112
52	Native range size and growth form in Cactaceae predict invasiveness and impact. NeoBiota, 0, 30, 75-90.	1.0	32
53	Impact assessment with different scoring tools: How well do alien amphibian assessments match?. NeoBiota, 0, 33, 53-66.	1.0	55
54	The relevance of using various scoring schemes revealed by an impact assessment of feral mammals. NeoBiota, 0, 38, 35-75.	1.0	30

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Global environmental and socio-economic impacts of selected alien grasses as a basis for ranking threats to South Africa. NeoBiota, 0, 41, 19-65.	1.0	13
56	A framework to support alien species regulation: the Risk Analysis for Alien Taxa (RAAT). NeoBiota, 0, 62, 213-239.	1.0	31
57	Appropriate uses of EICAT protocol, data and classifications. NeoBiota, 0, 62, 193-212.	1.0	37
58	Identifying safe cultivars of invasive plants: six questions for risk assessment, management, and communication. NeoBiota, 0, 62, 81-97.	1.0	7
59	Understanding uncertainty in the Impact Classification for Alien Taxa (ICAT) assessments. NeoBiota, 0, 62, 387-405.	1.0	22
60	Improving the Environmental Impact Classification for Alien Taxa (EICAT): a summary of revisions to the framework and guidelines. NeoBiota, 0, 62, 547-567.	1.0	26
61	The importance of assessing positive and beneficial impacts of alien species. NeoBiota, 0, 62, 525-545.	1.0	55
62	Frameworks used in invasion science: progress and prospects. NeoBiota, 0, 62, 1-30.	1.0	20
63	Comparing the IUCN's EICAT and Red List to improve assessments of the impact of biological invasions. NeoBiota, 0, 62, 509-523.	1.0	10
64	Is invasion science moving towards agreed standards? The influence of selected frameworks. NeoBiota, 0, 62, 569-590.	1.0	12