

Hugo QuenÃ©

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1431356/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

37
papers

1,980
citations

471509

17
h-index

330143

37
g-index

42
all docs

42
docs citations

42
times ranked

1882
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	On multi-level modeling of data from repeated measures designs: a tutorial. <i>Speech Communication</i> , 2004, 43, 103-121.	2.8	485
2	Examples of mixed-effects modeling with crossed random effects and with binomial data. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2008, 59, 413-425.	2.1	421
3	What makes speech sound fluent? The contributions of pauses, speed and repairs. <i>Language Testing</i> , 2013, 30, 159-175.	3.2	186
4	Multilevel modeling of between-speaker and within-speaker variation in spontaneous speech tempo. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2008, 123, 1104-1113.	1.1	123
5	Effects of Timing Regularity and Metrical Expectancy on Spoken-Word Perception. <i>Phonetica</i> , 2005, 62, 1-13.	0.6	101
6	On the just noticeable difference for tempo in speech. <i>Journal of Phonetics</i> , 2007, 35, 353-362.	1.2	67
7	Native speakers' perceptions of fluency and accent in L2 speech. <i>Language Testing</i> , 2014, 31, 349-365.	3.2	64
8	The Perception of Fluency in Native and Nonnative Speech. <i>Language Learning</i> , 2014, 64, 579-614.	2.7	53
9	Acoustic correlates of vowel intelligibility in clear and conversational speech for young normal-hearing and elderly hearing-impaired listeners. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2014, 135, 3570-3584.	1.1	51
10	Non-native durational patterns decrease speech intelligibility. <i>Speech Communication</i> , 2010, 52, 911-918.	2.8	50
11	Native speakers' elicitation of prediction of low-frequency referents, but non-native speakers do not. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2014, 75, 104-116.	2.1	42
12	Self-monitoring and feedback: A new attempt to find the main cause of lexical bias in phonological speech errors. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2008, 58, 837-861.	2.1	39
13	Segment durations and accent as cues to word segmentation in Dutch. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 1993, 94, 2027-2035.	1.1	38
14	Coping with gradient forms of /t/-deletion and lexical ambiguity in spoken word recognition. <i>Language and Cognitive Processes</i> , 2007, 22, 161-200.	2.2	35
15	Objective Acoustic-Phonetic Speech Analysis in Patients Treated for Oral or Oropharyngeal Cancer. <i>Folia Phoniatria Et Logopaedica</i> , 2009, 61, 180-187.	1.1	33
16	Word-level intelligibility of time-compressed speech: prosodic and segmental factors. <i>Speech Communication</i> , 2003, 41, 287-301.	2.8	31
17	Self-monitoring for speech errors: Two-stage detection and repair with and without auditory feedback. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2017, 95, 19-35.	2.1	22
18	Longitudinal trends in speech tempo: The case of Queen Beatrix. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2013, 133, EL452-EL457.	1.1	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The derivation of prosody for text-to-speech from prosodic sentence structure. <i>Computer Speech and Language</i> , 1992, 6, 77-98.	4.3	12
20	Metrical Segmentation in Dutch: Vowel Quality or Stress?. <i>Language and Speech</i> , 1998, 41, 185-202.	1.1	12
21	Audible smiles and frowns affect speech comprehension. <i>Speech Communication</i> , 2012, 54, 917-922.	2.8	12
22	The endonormative standards of European English. <i>English World-wide</i> , 2013, 34, 77-98.	0.5	11
23	Parallels between self-monitoring for speech errors and identification of the misspoken segments. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2013, 69, 417-428.	2.1	10
24	Temporal aspects of self-monitoring for speech errors. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2019, 105, 43-59.	2.1	10
25	â€œPitchâ€™Accent in Alaryngeal Speech. <i>Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research</i> , 2002, 45, 1106-1118.	1.6	7
26	Recursion in phonology. <i>Lingua</i> , 2009, 119, 1243-1252.	1.0	7
27	Word onsets and speech errors. Explaining relative frequencies of segmental substitutions. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2015, 78, 33-46.	2.1	7
28	Phonetic similarity of /s/ in native and second language: Individual differences in learning curves. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2017, 142, EL519-EL524.	1.1	7
29	Een coÄ“rdinerende omdat-constructie in gesproken Nederlands? - TekstlinguÄ“stische en prosodische aspecten. <i>Nederlandse Taalkunde</i> , 2010, 15, 259-282.	0.8	6
30	Heft lemisphere: Exchanges predominate in segmental speech errors. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2013, 68, 26-38.	2.1	5
31	Repairing speech errors: Competition as a source of repairs. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2020, 111, 104069.	2.1	5
32	Prosodic boundaries in alaryngeal speech. <i>Clinical Linguistics and Phonetics</i> , 2008, 22, 215-231.	0.9	3
33	Long-term within-speaker consistency of filled pauses in native and non-native speech. <i>JASA Express Letters</i> , 2022, 2, 035201.	1.1	3
34	Attractiveness of male speakers: Effects of voice pitch and of speech tempo. , 0, , .		2
35	Degemination of Dutch Fricatives in Three Different Speech Rates. <i>Linguistics in the Netherlands</i> , 1994, 11, 119-126.	0.1	1
36	Phonetic-acoustic and feature analyses by a neural network to assess speech quality in patients treated for head and neck cancer. , 0, , .		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Non-Native Attitudes to /ŷ/ and /Ã°/: A European Case Study. <i>Research in Language</i> , 2018, 16, 407-427.	0.1	0