

Ellen Blix

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1423466/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

49
papers

898
citations

471061

17
h-index

476904

29
g-index

50
all docs

50
docs citations

50
times ranked

905
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	What women emphasise as important aspects of care in childbirth – an online survey. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2022, 129, 647-655.	1.1	18
2	The overuse of intrapartum cardiotocography (CTG) for low-risk women: An actor-network theory analysis of data from focus groups. Women and Birth, 2022, 35, 593-601.	0.9	4
3	The impact of the introduction of intrapartum fetal <scp>ECG ST</scp> segment analysis. A population study. Acta Obstetrica Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2022, 101, 809-818.	1.3	4
4	Practice, skills and experience with the Pinard stethoscope for intrapartum Foetal monitoring: Focus group interviews with Norwegian midwives.. Midwifery, 2022, 108, 103288.	1.0	3
5	Giving birth and becoming a parent during the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative analysis of 806 women's responses to three open-ended questions in an online survey. Midwifery, 2022, 109, 103321.	1.0	13
6	The effect of Zhang's guideline vs the WHO partograph on childbirth experience measured by the Childbirth Experience Questionnaire in the Labor Progression Study (LaPS): A cluster randomized trial. Acta Obstetrica Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2022, 101, 193-199.	1.3	6
7	Use of external cephalic version for breech presentation at term: A national survey of Norwegian birth units. Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare, 2022, 33, 100751.	0.5	0
8	Maternal body mass index and risk of obstetric, maternal and neonatal outcomes: A cohort study of nulliparous women with spontaneous onset of labor. Acta Obstetrica Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2021, 100, 521-530.	1.3	17
9	Enhancing the quality of maternal and neonatal care by the Safe Childbirth Project in Al Shifa Hospital, Gaza occupied Palestinian territory (oPt): a descriptive retrospective study. Lancet, The, 2021, 398, S34.	6.3	0
10	The Labour Progression Study (LaPS): Duration of labour following Zhang's guideline and the WHO partograph – A cluster randomised trial. Midwifery, 2020, 81, 102578.	1.0	14
11	Norwegian women's motivations and preparations for freebirth – A qualitative study. Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare, 2020, 25, 100511.	0.5	7
12	Labor pain, birth experience and postpartum depression. Scandinavian Journal of Pain, 2020, 20, 859-860.	0.5	4
13	Recommendations for intrapartum fetal monitoring are not followed in low-risk women: A study from two Norwegian birth units. Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare, 2020, 26, 100552.	0.5	4
14	Factors Associated with Cesarean Section among Primiparous Women in Georgia: A Registry-based Study. Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health, 2020, 10, 337.	1.1	5
15	Effects of external cephalic version for breech presentation at or near term in high-resource settings: A systematic review of randomized and non-randomized studies. European Journal of Midwifery, 2020, 4, 1-8.	0.5	4
16	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0227941.		0
17	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0227941.		0
18	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0227941.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0227941.		0
20	Intermittent auscultation fetal monitoring during labour: A systematic scoping review to identify methods, effects, and accuracy. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0219573.	1.1	29
21	Zhang's guidelines vs WHO guidelines for diagnosing labour dystocia – Authors' reply. Lancet, The, 2019, 394, e11.	6.3	1
22	Regarding national survey of routines for intrapartum fetal monitoring in Norway. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2019, 98, 1354-1355.	1.3	0
23	National survey of routines for intrapartum fetal monitoring in Norway. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2019, 98, 390-395.	1.3	8
24	One-to-one care routines and compliance with the national professional recommendation on continuous intrapartum support in Norway: A national survey. European Journal of Midwifery, 2019, 3, 14.	0.5	1
25	Suboptimal Iodine Status among Pregnant Women in the Oslo Area, Norway. Nutrients, 2018, 10, 280.	1.7	27
26	Study protocol: the Labor Progression Study, LAPS - does the use of a dynamic progression guideline in labor reduce the rate of intrapartum cesarean sections in nulliparous women? A multicenter, cluster randomized trial in Norway. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2017, 17, 370.	0.9	9
27	Statistical significance is not necessarily equal to clinical significance. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2016, 95, 1192-1192.	1.3	2
28	Transfers to hospital in planned home birth in four Nordic countries – a prospective cohort study. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2016, 95, 420-428.	1.3	29
29	Evaluation of satisfaction with care in a midwifery unit and an obstetric unit: a randomized controlled trial of low-risk women. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2016, 16, 143.	0.9	25
30	Perineal injuries and birth positions among 2992 women with a low risk pregnancy who opted for a homebirth. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2016, 16, 196.	0.9	27
31	ST waveform analysis versus cardiotocography alone for intrapartum fetal monitoring: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2016, 95, 16-27.	1.3	58
32	Outcomes of care at “F�deriket Midwifery Unit” 2007–2011, a freestanding midwifery-led unit in Oslo, Norway: A prospective cohort study. Nordic Journal of Nursing Research, 2016, 36, 38-43.	0.6	2
33	<scp>STAN</scp> technology, surrogate outcomes and possible sources of bias. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2016, 95, 608-609.	1.3	4
34	Anal incontinence, urinary incontinence and sexual problems in primiparous women – a comparison between women with episiotomy only and women with episiotomy and obstetric anal sphincter injury. BMC Women's Health, 2014, 14, 157.	0.8	19
35	Risk factors for obstetric anal sphincter injury after a successful multicentre interventional programme. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2014, 121, 83-91.	1.1	58
36	Oxytocin and dystocia as risk factors for adverse birth outcomes: A cohort of low-risk nulliparous women. Midwifery, 2014, 30, 364-370.	1.0	51

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Deviations from <scp>STAN</scp> guidelines are frequent but results cannot be excluded when the effectiveness of the method should be evaluated. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2014, 93, 589-589.	1.3	3
38	Scarce scientific evidence for the use of cardiotocography plus fetal <scp>ECG ST</scp> interval analysis (<scp>STAN</scp>). Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2014, 93, 570-570.	1.3	7
39	Transfer to hospital in planned home births: a systematic review. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2014, 14, 179.	0.9	52
40	Praxis and guidelines for planned homebirths in the Nordic countries – An overview. Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare, 2014, 5, 3-8.	0.5	28
41	The admission <scp>CTG</scp>: is there any evidence for still using the test?. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2013, 92, 613-619.	1.3	20
42	Episiotomy characteristics and risk for obstetric anal sphincter injuries: a caseâ€control study. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2012, 119, 1148-1149.	1.1	0
43	Outcomes of planned home births and planned hospital births in low-risk women in Norway between 1990 and 2007: A retrospective cohort study. Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare, 2012, 3, 147-153.	0.5	51
44	Episiotomy characteristics and risks for obstetric anal sphincter injuries: a caseâ€control study. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2012, 119, 724-730.	1.1	116
45	Avoiding disturbance: Midwifery practice in home birth settings in Norway. Midwifery, 2011, 27, 687-692.	1.0	25
46	Is the operative delivery rate in low-risk women dependent on the level of birth care? A randomised controlled trial. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2011, 118, 1357-1364.	1.1	39
47	Inter-observer variation in assessment of 845 labour admission tests: comparison between midwives and obstetricians in the clinical setting and two experts. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2003, 110, 1-5.	1.1	75
48	Inter-observer variation in assessment of 845 labour admission tests: comparison between midwives and obstetricians in the clinical setting and two experts. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2003, 110, 1-5.	1.1	11
49	Labor admission test: an assessment of the testâ€™s value as screening for fetal distress in labor. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2001, 80, 738-743.	1.3	17