

# Esteve Corbera

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1422956/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

94  
papers

9,718  
citations

53751

45  
h-index

40954

93  
g-index

101  
all docs

101  
docs citations

101  
times ranked

7940  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Reconciling theory and practice: An alternative conceptual framework for understanding payments for environmental services. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2010, 69, 1202-1208.	2.9	808
2	Payments for ecosystem services as commodity fetishism. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2010, 69, 1228-1236.	2.9	625
3	Bioenergy and climate change mitigation: an assessment. <i>GCB Bioenergy</i> , 2015, 7, 916-944.	2.5	494
4	Changing the intellectual climate. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2014, 4, 763-768.	8.1	438
5	Social Equity Matters in Payments for Ecosystem Services. <i>BioScience</i> , 2014, 64, 1027-1036.	2.2	423
6	Payments for ecosystem services and the fatal attraction of win-win solutions. <i>Conservation Letters</i> , 2013, 6, 274-279.	2.8	383
7	Governing and implementing REDD+. <i>Environmental Science and Policy</i> , 2011, 14, 89-99.	2.4	327
8	The Effectiveness of Payments for Environmental Services. <i>World Development</i> , 2017, 96, 359-374.	2.6	315
9	Equity implications of marketing ecosystem services in protected areas and rural communities: Case studies from Meso-America. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 2007, 17, 365-380.	3.6	297
10	The Equity and Legitimacy of Markets for Ecosystem Services. <i>Development and Change</i> , 2007, 38, 587-613.	2.0	295
11	Mainstreaming Impact Evaluation in Nature Conservation. <i>Conservation Letters</i> , 2016, 9, 58-64.	2.8	275
12	Institutional dimensions of Payments for Ecosystem Services: An analysis of Mexico's carbon forestry programme. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2009, 68, 743-761.	2.9	268
13	Traditional Ecological Knowledge and Global Environmental Change: Research findings and policy implications. <i>Ecology and Society</i> , 2013, 18, .	1.0	242
14	Socially sustainable degrowth as a social-ecological transformation: repoliticizing sustainability. <i>Sustainability Science</i> , 2015, 10, 375-384.	2.5	228
15	Justice and conservation: The need to incorporate recognition. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2016, 197, 254-261.	1.9	215
16	Social-ecological outcomes of agricultural intensification. <i>Nature Sustainability</i> , 2018, 1, 275-282.	11.5	204
17	Problematizing REDD+ as an experiment in payments for ecosystem services. <i>Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability</i> , 2012, 4, 612-619.	3.1	202
18	Participation in payments for ecosystem services: Case studies from the Lacandon rainforest, Mexico. <i>Geoforum</i> , 2008, 39, 2073-2083.	1.4	187

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Reforming the CDM for sustainable development: lessons learned and policy futures. <i>Environmental Science and Policy</i> , 2009, 12, 820-831.	2.4	181
20	Academia in the Time of COVID-19: Towards an Ethics of Care. <i>Planning Theory and Practice</i> , 2020, 21, 191-199.	0.8	171
21	Ten facts about land systems for sustainability. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2022, 119, .	3.3	157
22	Exploring equity and sustainable development in the new carbon economy. <i>Climate Policy</i> , 2003, 3, S41-S56.	2.6	140
23	Patterns of authorship in the IPCC Working Group III report. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2016, 6, 94-99.	8.1	133
24	Payments for ecosystem services in the tropics: a closer look at effectiveness and equity. <i>Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability</i> , 2015, 14, 150-162.	3.1	119
25	Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries: revisiting the assumptions. <i>Climatic Change</i> , 2010, 100, 355-388.	1.7	110
26	Expanding the Boundaries of Justice in Urban Greening Scholarship: Toward an Emancipatory, Antisubordination, Intersectional, and Relational Approach. <i>Annals of the American Association of Geographers</i> , 2020, 110, 1743-1769.	1.5	108
27	Rights to Land, Forests and Carbon in REDD+: Insights from Mexico, Brazil and Costa Rica. <i>Forests</i> , 2011, 2, 301-342.	0.9	98
28	A systematic review of co-managed small-scale fisheries: Social diversity and adaptive management improve outcomes. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 2018, 52, 212-225.	3.6	94
29	Offsetting Benefits? Analyzing Access to Forest Carbon. <i>Environment and Planning A</i> , 2010, 42, 1739-1761.	2.1	93
30	Community-Based Conservation and Traditional Ecological Knowledge: Implications for Social-Ecological Resilience. <i>Ecology and Society</i> , 2013, 18, .	1.0	93
31	Ecosystem services, social interdependencies, and collective action: a conceptual framework. <i>Ecology and Society</i> , 2018, 23, .	1.0	93
32	Payments for Environmental Services and Motivation Crowding: Towards a Conceptual Framework. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2019, 156, 434-443.	2.9	88
33	Building Institutions to Trade Ecosystem Services: Marketing Forest Carbon in Mexico. <i>World Development</i> , 2008, 36, 1956-1979.	2.6	84
34	Ecosystem Services: Heed Social Goals. <i>Science</i> , 2012, 335, 655-656.	6.0	83
35	Livelihood impacts of biofuel crop production: Implications for governance. <i>Geoforum</i> , 2014, 54, 248-260.	1.4	76
36	How Effective Are Biodiversity Conservation Payments in Mexico?. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015, 10, e0119881.	1.1	75

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Climate change mitigation, land grabbing and conflict: towards a landscape-based and collaborative action research agenda. <i>Canadian Journal of Development Studies</i> , 2017, 38, 305-324.	1.7	67
38	Bioenergy production and sustainable development: science base for policymaking remains limited. <i>GCB Bioenergy</i> , 2017, 9, 541-556.	2.5	66
39	Emerging Evidence on the Effectiveness of Tropical Forest Conservation. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0159152.	1.1	62
40	Large-scale land deals from the inside out: findings from Kenya's Tana Delta. <i>Journal of Peasant Studies</i> , 2012, 39, 1039-1075.	3.0	61
41	Incentivizing REDD+: How developing countries are laying the groundwork for benefit-sharing. <i>Environmental Science and Policy</i> , 2016, 63, 44-54.	2.4	61
42	The oil palm boom: socio-economic implications for Q'eqchi' households in the Polochic valley, Guatemala. <i>Environment, Development and Sustainability</i> , 2014, 16, 841-871.	2.7	60
43	Meanings, drivers, and motivations for community-based conservation in Latin America. <i>Ecology and Society</i> , 2015, 20, .	1.0	55
44	UNFCCC negotiations (pre-Kyoto to COP-9): what the process says about the politics of CDM-sinks. <i>International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics</i> , 2008, 8, 95-112.	1.5	53
45	Beyond Market Logics: Payments for Ecosystem Services as Alternative Development Practices in the Global South. <i>Development and Change</i> , 2020, 51, 3-25.	2.0	50
46	Valuing nature, paying for ecosystem services and realizing social justice: A response to Matulis (2014). <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2015, 110, 154-157.	2.9	49
47	When Participatory Forest Management makes money: insights from Tanzania on governance, benefit sharing, and implications for REDD+. <i>Environment and Planning A</i> , 2015, 47, 2097-2112.	2.1	46
48	Payments for Ecosystem Services and Motivational Crowding in Colombia's Amazon Piedmont. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2019, 156, 468-488.	2.9	46
49	How do regulated and voluntary carbon-offset schemes compare?. <i>Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences</i> , 2009, 6, 25-50.	1.0	44
50	Integrating place-specific livelihood and equity outcomes into global assessments of bioenergy deployment. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2013, 8, 035047.	2.2	44
51	“We are the city lungs”: Payments for ecosystem services in the outskirts of Mexico City. <i>Land Use Policy</i> , 2015, 43, 138-148.	2.5	43
52	Climate change policies, land grabbing and conflict: perspectives from Southeast Asia. <i>Canadian Journal of Development Studies</i> , 2017, 38, 297-304.	1.7	36
53	Global patterns of adaptation to climate change by Indigenous Peoples and local communities. A systematic review. <i>Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability</i> , 2021, 51, 55-64.	3.1	35
54	Participatory scenarios to explore local adaptation to global change in biosphere reserves: Experiences from Bolivia and Mexico. <i>Environmental Science and Policy</i> , 2015, 54, 398-408.	2.4	34

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Cash only? Unveiling preferences for a PES contract through a choice experiment in Chiapas, Mexico. <i>Land Use Policy</i> , 2016, 58, 302-317.	2.5	33
56	Participation dynamics and institutional change in the Scolec TÃ© carbon forestry project, Chiapas, Mexico. <i>Geoforum</i> , 2015, 59, 63-72.	1.4	32
57	The undelivered promises of the Clean Development Mechanism: insights from three projects in Mexico. <i>Carbon Management</i> , 2012, 3, 39-54.	1.2	30
58	â€œThis is my gardenâ€™: justice claims and struggles over forests in Vietnamâ€™s REDD+. <i>Climate Policy</i> , 2019, 19, S23-S35.	2.6	27
59	Troubled Encounters: Payments for Ecosystem Services in Chiapas, Mexico. <i>Development and Change</i> , 2020, 51, 167-195.	2.0	25
60	How Can the Clean Development Mechanism Better Contribute to Sustainable Development. <i>Ambio</i> , 2009, 38, 120-122.	2.8	23
61	Representation and participation in formulating Nepalâ€™s REDD+ approach. <i>Climate Policy</i> , 2019, 19, S8-S22.	2.6	23
62	Financing the agrarian transition? The Clean Development Mechanism and agricultural change in Latin America. <i>Environment and Planning A</i> , 2015, 47, 2031-2046.	2.1	21
63	How do biosphere reserves influence local vulnerability and adaptation? Evidence from Latin America. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 2015, 33, 97-108.	3.6	21
64	Influence of community-based natural resource management strategies in the resilience of social-ecological systems. <i>Regional Environmental Change</i> , 2018, 18, 581-592.	1.4	21
65	Quantifying active and passive restoration in Central Mexico from 1986â€“2012: assessing the evidence of a forest transition. <i>Restoration Ecology</i> , 2018, 26, 1180-1189.	1.4	20
66	Why telecoupling research needs to account for environmental justice. <i>Journal of Land Use Science</i> , 2020, 15, 1-10.	1.0	20
67	Fire is REDD+: offsetting carbon through early burning activities in south-eastern Tanzania. <i>Oryx</i> , 2017, 51, 43-52.	0.5	18
68	Large-scale Irrigation Impacts Socio-cultural Values: An Example from Rural Navarre, Spain. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2019, 159, 354-361.	2.9	18
69	Pragmatic conservation: Discourses of payments for ecosystem services in Colombia. <i>Geoforum</i> , 2020, 108, 169-183.	1.4	16
70	Justice-related impacts and social differentiation dynamics in Nepal's REDD+ projects. <i>Forest Policy and Economics</i> , 2020, 117, 102203.	1.5	16
71	Not the same for everyone: Community views of Mexico's payment for environmental services programmes. <i>Environmental Conservation</i> , 2017, 44, 201-211.	0.7	15
72	Linking Mitigation and Adaptation in Carbon Forestry Projects: Evidence from Belize. <i>World Development</i> , 2015, 76, 132-146.	2.6	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	REDD+ Crossroads Post Paris: Politics, Lessons and Interplays. <i>Forests</i> , 2017, 8, 508.	0.9	14
74	Farmers' vulnerability to global change in Navarre, Spain: large-scale irrigation as maladaptation. <i>Regional Environmental Change</i> , 2019, 19, 1147-1158.	1.4	14
75	Framing the frontier – Tracing issues related to soybean expansion in transnational public spheres. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 2021, 69, 102308.	3.6	14
76	Local responses to design changes in payments for ecosystem services in Chiapas, Mexico. <i>Ecosystem Services</i> , 2021, 50, 101305.	2.3	14
77	Carbon offsets: Accommodation or resistance?. <i>Environment and Planning A</i> , 2015, 47, 2023-2030.	2.1	11
78	A Dominant Voice amidst Not Enough People: Analysing the Legitimacy of Mexico's REDD+ Readiness Process. <i>Forests</i> , 2016, 7, 313.	0.9	11
79	A Moral Economy of Water: Charity Wells in Egypt's Nile Delta. <i>Development and Change</i> , 2017, 48, 121-145.	2.0	11
80	Habitat banking at a standstill: The case of Spain. <i>Environmental Science and Policy</i> , 2020, 109, 54-63.	2.4	11
81	In defence of simplified PES designs. <i>Nature Sustainability</i> , 2020, 3, 426-427.	11.5	11
82	Sowing the seeds of sustainable rural livelihoods? An assessment of Participatory Forest Management through REDD+ in Tanzania. <i>Land Use Policy</i> , 2020, 97, 102962.	2.5	10
83	Rule compliance and desire lines in Barcelona's cycling network. <i>Transportation Letters</i> , 2021, 13, 728-737.	1.8	10
84	Is forest regeneration good for biodiversity? Exploring the social dimensions of an apparently ecological debate. <i>Environmental Science and Policy</i> , 2021, 120, 63-72.	2.4	10
85	Climate change policies, natural resources and conflict: implications for development. <i>Climate Policy</i> , 2019, 19, S1-S7.	2.6	9
86	Challenges and Outcomes at the Ninth Session of the Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. <i>International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics</i> , 2005, 5, 105-124.	1.5	8
87	Distinct positions underpin ecosystem services for poverty alleviation. <i>Oryx</i> , 2020, 54, 375-382.	0.5	6
88	Neoliberal policy refugia: The death and life of biodiversity offsetting in the European Union and its member states. <i>Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers</i> , 2021, 46, 255-269.	1.8	5
89	Biases in the production of knowledge on ecosystem services and poverty alleviation. <i>Oryx</i> , 2021, 55, 868-877.	0.5	5
90	Environmental Justice in Telecoupling Research. , 2019, , 213-232.		4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Participatory injustice in Mexico's Readiness process to Reduce Emissions from Deforestation and forest Degradation (REDD+). Human Ecology, 2022, 50, 79-90.	0.7	4
92	The ambiguity of transparency in the artisanal and small-scale mining sector of Tanzania. The Extractive Industries and Society, 2021, 8, 101004.	0.7	2
93	Mexico's PES-Carbon Programme: A Preliminary Assessment and Impacts on Rural Livelihoods. , 2010, , .		1
94	Have Payments for Ecosystem Services Delivered for the Rural Poor?. , 2020, , 139-166.		0