

Bryan E Pfingst

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1421673/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

78
papers

3,326
citations

117625

34
h-index

161849

54
g-index

80
all docs

80
docs citations

80
times ranked

1414
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	A Broadly Applicable Method for Characterizing the Slope of the Electrically Evoked Compound Action Potential Amplitude Growth Function. <i>Ear and Hearing</i> , 2022, 43, 150-164.	2.1	13
2	Development of a chronically-implanted mouse model for studies of cochlear health and implant function. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 2021, 404, 108216.	2.0	9
3	Using the electrically-evoked compound action potential (ECAP) interphase gap effect to select electrode stimulation sites in cochlear implant users. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 2021, 406, 108257.	2.0	5
4	Estimating Health of the Implanted Cochlea using Psychophysical Strength-Duration Functions and Electrode Configuration. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 2021, 414, 108404.	2.0	1
5	Relationships between Intrascalar Tissue, Neuron Survival, and Cochlear Implant Function. <i>JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology</i> , 2020, 21, 337-352.	1.8	17
6	Effects of Electrode Location on Estimates of Neural Health in Humans with Cochlear Implants. <i>JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology</i> , 2020, 21, 259-275.	1.8	32
7	How electrically evoked compound action potentials in chronically implanted guinea pigs relate to auditory nerve health and electrode impedance. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2020, 148, 3900-3912.	1.1	15
8	Changes over time in the electrically evoked compound action potential (ECAP) interphase gap (IPG) effect following cochlear implantation in Guinea pigs. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 2019, 383, 107809.	2.0	18
9	Voltage readout from a piezoelectric intracochlear acoustic transducer implanted in a living guinea pig. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2019, 9, 3711.	3.3	22
10	Assessing the Relationship Between the Electrically Evoked Compound Action Potential and Speech Recognition Abilities in Bilateral Cochlear Implant Recipients. <i>Ear and Hearing</i> , 2018, 39, 344-358.	2.1	55
11	Neurotrophin Gene Therapy in Deafened Ears with Cochlear Implants: Long-term Effects on Nerve Survival and Functional Measures. <i>JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology</i> , 2017, 18, 731-750.	1.8	73
12	Effects of electrode deactivation on speech recognition in multichannel cochlear implant recipients. <i>Cochlear Implants International</i> , 2017, 18, 324-334.	1.2	25
13	Evaluating multipulse integration as a neural-health correlate in human cochlear-implant users: Relationship to spatial selectivity. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2016, 140, 1537-1547.	1.1	19
14	Across-site patterns of electrically evoked compound action potential amplitude-growth functions in multichannel cochlear implant recipients and the effects of the interphase gap. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 2016, 341, 50-65.	2.0	60
15	Evaluating multipulse integration as a neural-health correlate in human cochlear-implant users: Relationship to forward-masking recovery. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2016, 139, EL70-EL75.	1.1	12
16	Differential Effects of AAV.BDNF and AAV.Ntf3 in the Deafened Adult Guinea Pig Ear. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2015, 5, 8619.	3.3	56
17	Insertion trauma and recovery of function after cochlear implantation: Evidence from objective functional measures. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 2015, 330, 98-105.	2.0	52
18	Importance of cochlear health for implant function. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 2015, 322, 77-88.	2.0	105

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Integration of Pulse Trains in Humans and Guinea Pigs with Cochlear Implants. JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology, 2015, 16, 523-534.	1.8	31
20	Relationship between multipulse integration and speech recognition with cochlear implants. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2014, 136, 1257-1268.	1.1	29
21	Effects of Site-Specific Level Adjustments on Speech Recognition With Cochlear Implants. Ear and Hearing, 2014, 35, 30-40.	2.1	31
22	Using Temporal Modulation Sensitivity to Select Stimulation Sites for Processor MAPs in Cochlear Implant Listeners. Audiology and Neuro-Otology, 2013, 18, 247-260.	1.3	67
23	Psychophysically based site selection coupled with dichotic stimulation improves speech recognition in noise with bilateral cochlear implants. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2012, 132, 994-1008.	1.1	34
24	Across-site patterns of modulation detection: Relation to speech recognition. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2012, 131, 4030-4041.	1.1	69
25	The use of Neurotrophin Therapy in the Inner Ear to Augment Cochlear Implantation Outcomes. Anatomical Record, 2012, 295, 1896-1908.	1.4	55
26	Characteristics of detection thresholds and maximum comfortable loudness levels as a function of pulse rate in human cochlear implant users. Hearing Research, 2012, 284, 25-32.	2.0	33
27	The use of a dual PEDOT and RGD-functionalized alginate hydrogel coating to provide sustained drug delivery and improved cochlear implant function. Biomaterials, 2012, 33, 1982-1990.	11.4	117
28	Relationship between gap detection thresholds and loudness in cochlear-implant users. Hearing Research, 2011, 275, 130-138.	2.0	36
29	Nerve maintenance and regeneration in the damaged cochlea. Hearing Research, 2011, 281, 56-64.	2.0	65
30	Cochlear infrastructure for electrical hearing. Hearing Research, 2011, 281, 65-73.	2.0	52
31	Detection of pulse trains in the electrically stimulated cochlea: Effects of cochlear health. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2011, 130, 3954-3968.	1.1	52
32	Effects of electrode configuration on cochlear implant modulation detection thresholds. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2011, 129, 3908-3915.	1.1	13
33	Effects of Hearing Preservation on Psychophysical Responses to Cochlear Implant Stimulation. JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology, 2010, 11, 245-265.	1.8	63
34	Transgenic BDNF induces nerve fiber regrowth into the auditory epithelium in deaf cochleae. Experimental Neurology, 2010, 223, 464-472.	4.1	121
35	Psychophysical assessment of stimulation sites in auditory prosthesis electrode arrays. Hearing Research, 2008, 242, 172-183.	2.0	11
36	Effects of deafening and cochlear implantation procedures on postimplantation psychophysical electrical detection thresholds. Hearing Research, 2008, 241, 64-72.	2.0	31

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Over-expression of BDNF by adenovirus with concurrent electrical stimulation improves cochlear implant thresholds and survival of auditory neurons. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 2008, 245, 24-34.	2.0	63
38	Across-site patterns of modulation detection in listeners with cochlear implants. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2008, 123, 1054-1062.	1.1	38
39	Effects of carrier pulse rate and stimulation site on modulation detection by subjects with cochlear implants. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2007, 121, 2236-2246.	1.1	63
40	Current-Level Discrimination in the Context of Interleaved, Multichannel Stimulation in Cochlear Implants: Effects of Number of Stimulated Electrodes, Pulse Rate, and Electrode Separation. <i>JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology</i> , 2006, 7, 308-316.	1.8	7
41	Relative contributions of spectral and temporal cues for phoneme recognition. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2005, 117, 3255-3267.	1.1	205
42	Psychophysical Metrics and Speech Recognition in Cochlear Implant Users. <i>Audiology and Neuro-Otology</i> , 2005, 10, 331-341.	1.3	20
43	Efficacy of a Cochlear Implant Simultaneous Analog Stimulation Strategy Coupled with a Monopolar Electrode Configuration. <i>Annals of Otology, Rhinology and Laryngology</i> , 2005, 114, 886-893.	1.1	11
44	Current-level discrimination using bipolar and monopolar electrode configurations in cochlear implants. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 2005, 202, 170-179.	2.0	14
45	Across-Site Threshold Variation in Cochlear Implants: Relation to Speech Recognition. <i>Audiology and Neuro-Otology</i> , 2004, 9, 341-352.	1.3	56
46	Across-Site Variation in Detection Thresholds and Maximum Comfortable Loudness Levels for Cochlear Implants. <i>JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology</i> , 2004, 5, 11-24.	1.8	59
47	Effects of Stimulus Level on Speech Perception with Cochlear Prostheses. <i>JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology</i> , 2003, 4, 49-59.	1.8	22
48	Features of stimulation affecting tonal-speech perception: Implications for cochlear prostheses. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2002, 112, 247-258.	1.1	179
49	Effects of Electrode Configuration and Place of Stimulation on Speech Perception with Cochlear Prostheses. , 2001, 2, 87-103.		58
50	Effects of Electrode Configuration and Stimulus Level on Rate and Level Discrimination with Cochlear Implants. <i>JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology</i> , 2000, 1, 211-223.	1.8	29
51	Effects of time after deafening and implantation on guinea pig electrical detection thresholds. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 2000, 144, 175-186.	2.0	25
52	Auditory Prostheses. <i>Frontiers in Neuroscience</i> , 2000, , .	0.0	0
53	Across-species comparisons of psychophysical detection thresholds for electrical stimulation of the cochlea: I. Sinusoidal stimuli. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1999, 134, 89-104.	2.0	13
54	Effects of stimulus level on electrode-place discrimination in human subjects with cochlear implants. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1999, 134, 105-115.	2.0	45

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Across-species comparisons of psychophysical detection thresholds for electrical stimulation of the cochlea: II. Strength-duration functions for single, biphasic pulses. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1999, 135, 47-55.	2.0	32
56	Interactions between pulse separation and pulse polarity order in cochlear implants. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1997, 109, 21-33.	2.0	15
57	Effects of stimulus configuration on psychophysical operating levels and on speech recognition with cochlear implants. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1997, 112, 247-260.	2.0	76
58	Effects of pulse separation on detection thresholds for electrical stimulation of the human cochlea. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1996, 98, 77-92.	2.0	14
59	Stimulus features affecting psychophysical detection thresholds for electrical stimulation of the cochlea. III. Pulse polarity. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 1996, 99, 3099-3108.	1.1	22
60	Functional responses from guinea pigs with cochlear implants. I. Electrophysiological and psychophysical measures. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1995, 92, 85-99.	2.0	53
61	Functional responses from guinea pigs with cochlear implants II. Changes in electrophysiological and psychophysical measures over time. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1995, 92, 100-111.	2.0	30
62	Effects of electrode configuration on threshold functions for electrical stimulation of the cochlea. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1995, 85, 76-84.	2.0	28
63	Effects of stimulus level on nonspectral frequency discrimination by human subjects. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1994, 78, 197-209.	2.0	24
64	Effects of phase duration on detection of electrical stimulation of the human cochlea. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1993, 67, 166-178.	2.0	67
65	Stimulus features affecting psychophysical detection thresholds for electrical stimulation of the cochlea. II: Frequency and interpulse interval. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 1993, 94, 1287-1294.	1.1	40
66	Comparison of spectral and nonspectral frequency difference limens for human and nonhuman primates. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 1993, 93, 2124-2129.	1.1	15
67	Stimulus features affecting psychophysical detection thresholds for electrical stimulation of the cochlea. I: Phase duration and stimulus duration. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 1991, 90, 1857-1866.	1.1	52
68	Effects of level on nonspectral frequency difference limens for electrical and acoustic stimuli. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1990, 50, 43-56.	2.0	21
69	Changes over time in thresholds for electrical stimulation of the cochlea. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 1990, 50, 225-236.	2.0	48
70	Psychophysical Constraints on Biophysical/Neural Models of Threshold. , 1990, , 161-185.		3
71	Inner ear implants for experimental electrical stimulation of auditory nerve arrays. <i>Journal of Neuroscience Methods</i> , 1989, 28, 189-196.	2.5	11
72	Development of Cochlear-wall Implants for Electrical Stimulation of the Auditory Nerve. <i>Acta Oto-Laryngologica</i> , 1989, 107, 210-218.	0.9	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Comparisons of psychophysical and neurophysiological studies of cochlear implants. Hearing Research, 1988, 34, 243-251.	2.0	48
74	NEURAL ENCODING OF ELECTRICAL SIGNALS. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1983, 405, 146-158.	3.8	19
75	RELATION OF COCHLEAR IMPLANT FUNCTION TO HISTOPATHOLOGY IN MONKEYS. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1983, 405, 224-239.	3.8	73
76	Intensity discrimination with cochlear implants. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 1983, 73, 1283-1292.	1.1	41
77	Relation of Psychophysical Data to Histopathology in Monkeys with Cochlear Implants. Acta Oto-Laryngologica, 1981, 92, 1-13.	0.9	120
78	Psychophysical Evaluation of Cochlear Protheses in a Monkey Model. Annals of Otology, Rhinology and Laryngology, 1979, 88, 613-625.	1.1	51