## Helga Puelzl

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1399068/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

28	1,027	14	26
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
33	33	33	1152 citing authors
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Deepening our understanding of which policy advice to expect from prioritizing SDG targets: introducing the Analytic Network Process in a multi-method setting. Sustainability Science, 2022, 17, 1473-1488.	2.5	11
2	Public perceptions of using forests to fuel the European bioeconomy: Findings from eight university cities. Forest Policy and Economics, 2022, 140, 102749.	1.5	3
3	How are forests framed? An analysis of EU forest policy. Forest Policy and Economics, 2021, 127, 102448.	1.5	12
4	Quo vadis global forest governance? A transdisciplinary delphi study. Environmental Science and Policy, 2021, 123, 131-141.	2.4	17
5	Bioeconomy perception by future stakeholders: Hearing from European forestry students. Ambio, 2020, 49, 1925-1942.	2.8	19
6	Downloading Europe: A Regional Comparison in the Uptake of the EU Forest Action Plan. Sustainability, 2020, 12, 3999.	1.6	10
7	Linking forest policy issues and decision support tools in Europe. Forest Policy and Economics, 2019, 103, 4-16.	1.5	11
8	Towards sustainable forest managementÂin the European Union through polycentric forest governance and anÂintegrated landscape approach. Landscape Ecology, 2019, 34, 1737-1749.	1.9	53
9	Next-generation information to support a sustainable course for European forests. Nature Sustainability, 2019, 2, 815-818.	11.5	30
10	Orchestration in political processes: Involvement of experts, citizens, and participatory professionals in forest policy making. Forest Policy and Economics, 2018, 89, 4-15.	1.5	30
11	Sustainable development – A â€~selling point' of the emerging EU bioeconomy policy framework?. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2018, 172, 4170-4180.	4.6	167
12	Piecemeal Integration: Explaining and Understanding 60 Years of European Union Forest Policy-Making. Forests, 2018, 9, 719.	0.9	12
13	Coordinating the Uncoordinated: The EU Forest Strategy. Forests, 2018, 9, 125.	0.9	34
14	Environmental concerns in political bioeconomy discourses. International Forestry Review, 2017, 19, 41-55.	0.3	58
15	Sustainability Governance in Democracies. , 2015, , 270-293.		O
16	Bioeconomy – an emerging meta-discourse affecting forest discourses?. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 2014, 29, 386-393.	0.5	153
17	De l'institution de recherche au conseil en politique et en gestion. Revue Forestiere Francaise, 2013, , .	0.0	O
18	Sustainability Governance in Democracies. International Journal of Social Ecology and Sustainable Development, 2013, 4, 86-107.	0.1	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Indicator development in sustainability impact assessment: balancing theory and practice. European Journal of Forest Research, 2012, 131, 35-46.	1.1	33
20	The Evaluation of the Implementation of Sustainability Norms. , 2012, , 869-882.		0
21	May the Open Method of Coordination be a new instrument for forest policy deliberations in the European Union?. Forest Policy and Economics, 2011, 13, 411-418.	1.5	3
22	Sustainability indicator developmentâ€"Science or political negotiation?. Ecological Indicators, 2011, 11, 61-70.	2.6	245
23	The Evaluation of the Implementation of Sustainability Norms. International Journal of Social Ecology and Sustainable Development, 2011, 2, 31-43.	0.1	3
24	Indicator development as 'boundary spanning' between scientists and policy-makers. Science and Public Policy, 2009, 36, 743-752.	1.2	25
25	Governance Modes and Interests: Higher Education and Innovation Policy in Austria. Journal of Public Policy, 2008, 28, 289-307.	1.0	5
26	Grounding international modes of governance into National Forest Programmes. Forest Policy and Economics, 2002, 4, 259-268.	1.5	54
27	The Evaluation of the Implementation of Sustainability Norms. , 0, , 32-45.		0
28	Analysis of French forest policy in the context of ecosystem services: From the national to the regional level. Environmental Policy and Governance, 0, , .	2.1	0